Minutes week 8

Location: [DV IZ3]
Date: [04-04-2023]
Time: [14:15 - 14:40]
Attendees   [Danny Bunschoten, Emils Dzintars, Aadesh Ramai,
                Sergiu-Nicolae Stancu, Konstantin Teplykh, Yuraj Mangalgi]
Chairman: Emils Dzintars
Minute Taker: Aadesh Ramai

Agenda-items:

Opening by chair
The three deadlines that were initially on friday, namely the closing of the git repository, the Heuristic Evaluation and the product pitch have all been moved to next Tuesday.

Check in
Everyone was doing fine! Everyone has managed to send in their self-reflection before the deadline but no one has managed to get a pass. We did not do well in the product pitch.

Announcements
The announcements of the new deadlines were done by the TA after the chair had opened

Approval of the agenda
No one had any additions to the agenda

Approval of minutes
Everyone has read the minutes of last week.

Coding
- The bugs regarding the drag and drop feature and long polling have been fixed. However, the synchronisation of the card details whenever two clients are looking at the same card needs working on, which Aadesh will try to finish.
- We have decided to stop implementing features by Friday and focus more on testing, as our line coverage was about 25% and we have agreed that we should definitely shoot for a higher line coverage.
- Regarding the testing, we have gotten some feedback about the use of mocks. We should have an instance where we do not use mocks and create a new repository for testing. This is because we need to have one example where we do not use mocks to show we know how to test the repositories

without the use of mocks. We can do this by creating our own testrepository which extends from the regular one.
- We have decided that Danny and Sergiu will add some tests to catch up.

Heuristic evaluation and Product pitch
- Both the Heuristic Evaluation and Product pitch are graded and we have decided to work on them both after Friday, with the Heuristic Evaluation having a higher priority since it has a higher grade percentage.
- Regarding the Heuristic Evaluation, we should remove the appendix and move the mock-ups to the introduction. Moreover, these mock-ups might have to change.
- The product pitch was quite unprofessional and this is definitely something we should work on as the professor will watch the product pitch before the final oral exam, so it should make a good first impression.
- The things we should keep in mind when improving it are that we should not repeat any features and we should have better transitions.

Present our application
We should make it clear in our product pitch that the tilda that sometimes shows up in a card is the symbol that indicates that the card contains a description,

Feedback round
Everyone thought the meeting went quite well

Question round
- In the server side controller classes, we should not add the repositories with injection. These should be removed and the controller should only talk with the services and the services with the repositories.
- With a spinning wheel we have decided that the next chairman and minute taker will be Danny and Konstantin respectively.
- We should really make sure that every point in the backlog is shown at least once in the product pitch.
- Regarding the self-reflection, it's vital that every situation has at least one quote or paraphrase from the theory. Furthermore, the structure does not have to be 'pretty' like an essay, it's expected we structure the self-reflection as follows. Situation: …, action: …

Closure
No minutes