Final Project Rubric for Foundations of Programming in Python

Overview

- Total Points: 100
- Deliverables:
 - A) Code Implementation (70 points)
 - B) Documentation (30 points)

A) Code Implementation (70 Points)

1. Functionality (40 Points)

Criteria	Points	
Complete Feature Implementation		
- All specified features are correctly implemented and functional	20	
- Most features are implemented; minor issues present	15	
- Some features are missing or not working correctly	10	
- Major features are missing or not functional	5	
- Project does not meet the basic functionality requirements	0	
User Interface Functionality		
- GUI is fully functional and user-friendly	10	
- GUI is mostly functional; minor usability issues	7	
- GUI has significant usability issues	4	
- GUI is minimal or not functional	0	
Data Persistence (if applicable)		
- Data saving and loading features work correctly	5	
- Data persistence features have minor issues	3	
- Data persistence features are not working	0	
Input Validation and Error Handling		
- Program handles invalid inputs gracefully without crashing	5	
- Some invalid inputs cause errors or crashes	3	
- No input validation; program crashes on invalid input	0	

2. Code Quality and Best Practices (20 Points)

Criteria		
Code Organization		
- Code is well-organized with appropriate functions and/or classes	10	
- Code is moderately organized; some functions/classes used	7	
- Code is poorly organized; minimal use of functions/classes	4	
- Code is unorganized; no functions/classes used	0	
Programming Concepts Usage		
- Appropriate use of data structures, control flow, and algorithms	5	
- Basic use of programming concepts; could be improved	3	
- Inappropriate or incorrect use of programming concepts	0	
Code Efficiency		
- Code is efficient with no unnecessary complexity	5	
- Code has some inefficiencies or unnecessary complexity	3	
- Code is inefficient and overly complex	0	

3. Comments and Internal Documentation (10 Points)

Criteria		
Commenting		
- All code sections are appropriately commented	5	
- Most code sections are commented; some comments missing	3	
- Few comments present; many sections lack explanations	1	
- No comments in the code		
Readability		
- Code is easy to read; proper indentation and naming conventions used	5	
- Code is readable; minor issues with formatting or naming	3	
- Code is difficult to read; poor formatting and naming	1	
- Code is unreadable due to poor formatting	0	

B) Documentation (30 Points)

1. Formatting and Structure (10 Points)

Criteria	Points
Title Page	

- Includes a separate title page with project title, student's name, date, and period	2	
- Title page is missing one element	1	
- Title page is missing or lacks multiple required elements	Θ	
Purpose		
- Clearly explains the purpose of the activity in two sentences	2	
- Purpose is unclear or too brief/lengthy	1	
- Purpose is missing	Θ	
Procedures and Explanation		
- Organized and detailed explanation of procedures followed	2	
- Explanation is incomplete or lacks organization		
- Procedures are not explained	0	
Pictures of Code for Each Explained Part		
- Includes code snippets/screenshots for each explained part	2	
- Some code snippets/screenshots are missing	1	
- No code snippets/screenshots provided	0	
Results and Outputs with Screenshots		
- Includes screenshots of results and outputs	2	
- Some screenshots are missing or incomplete	1	
- No screenshots of results provided		

2. Detailed Explanation of Code Implementation (10 Points)

Criteria		
Comprehensiveness		
- Thoroughly explains how the code works, step by step	5	
- Explains most parts; minor details missing	3	
- Explanation is incomplete; significant details missing	1	
- Explanation is minimal or not provided	Θ	
Programming Concepts Explanation		
- Clearly explains which programming concepts are used and how	5	
- Explains some concepts; lacks depth	3	
- Minimal explanation of programming concepts	1	
- No explanation of programming concepts	0	

3. Conclusion (10 Points)

Criteria		
Results Discussion		
- Clearly states what the results were	3	
- Results are mentioned but lack clarity	2	
- Results are not discussed	0	
Error Analysis		
- Identifies any errors encountered and discusses them	3	
- Mentions errors but lacks detail	2	
- Does not discuss errors	0	
Improvements		
- Provides thoughtful insights on how to improve the project	4	
- Suggestions for improvement are vague or minimal	2	
- Does not provide any suggestions for improvement	0	

Total Score Calculation

•	Code Implementation	: / 70 Points
•	Documentation:	_ / 30 Points
•	Extra Credit:	/ 10 Points
•	Late Penalty:	Points Deducted
•	Final Score:	/ 100 Points

Detailed Breakdown of Documentation Requirements

Title Page (Separate Page)

- Must Include:
 - \circ $\mbox{\bf Title}$ of the project.
 - Student's Name
 - Date
 - Period

Purpose

- Requirements:
 - Explain the purpose of the activity in two sentences.
 - Should provide a clear and concise overview of what the project aims to achieve.

Procedures and Explanation

• Requirements:

- Provide an organized and detailed step-by-step explanation of how the project was developed.
- Discuss the approach taken to solve the problem.
- Include explanations of key functions, classes, or modules used.

Pictures of Code for Each Explained Part

- Requirements:
 - Include code snippets or screenshots corresponding to each part explained in the procedures.
 - Ensure that the code images are clear and readable.
 - \bullet Label each code snippet appropriately.

Results and Outputs Including Screenshots

- Requirements:
 - Present screenshots of the program in action.
 - Include examples of different functionalities (e.g., adding a task, answering a quiz question).
 - Ensure screenshots are clear and illustrate the key features.

Conclusion

- Requirements:
 - What were the results?
 - Summarize the outcomes of the project.
 - Discuss whether the project met its objectives.
 - What was the error?
 - Identify any issues or bugs encountered.
 - Explain how they were addressed or remain unresolved.
 - How would you improve?
 - Provide insights into potential enhancements.
 - Reflect on what could be done differently in future iterations.