

Good Software

D.R.Y. – Cohesion - Single-Responsibility – Coupling – Dependency Injection

Writing Software

How to write **GOOD** software.

S.O.L.I.D. Design Patterns

Cohesion

how much the parts of your code belong together

Cohesion

Cohesion

how much the parts of your code belong together

- **High Cohesion** – the parts of the class are **tightly** related
- **Low Cohesion** – the parts are **lightly** or **not** related
- Which is better? Why?
- **High cohesion** is better because it means the parts make sense.

Single-Responsibility

Do 1 thing well

Single-Responsibility

- Every module or class should have only one reason to change
- It should have responsibility over a **single** feature or functionality of the software
- Based on the concept of **Cohesion**.
- High Cohesion usually means your class likely has a single reason to change.
- If your class has functions or data not related to the class itself, then it has Low Cohesion and likely would have multiple reasons to change.

OOP: Single-Responsibility

- Imagine a Tank class that has a method for **turning the turret** and a method for **sending a text** message to your online friends.
- The Tank class might have to change if the speed of turning the turret changes AND it might have to change if the ways to text your friends changes.
- It really has **2 responsibilities** (tank behavior and texting behavior).

```
class Tank
{
    //references
    void TurnTurret()
    {
        //logic for updating turret
    }

    //references
    void TextFriends(string msg, List<int> friends)
    {
        //logic for sending a message to your friends
    }
}
```



Single Responsibility Principle

Just because you *can* doesn't mean you *should*.

Coupling

how dependent pieces of code are on each other.

Coupling

Coupling

how **dependent** pieces of code are on each other.

- **Tight Coupling** means two pieces of code need each other directly.
- **Loose Coupling** means two pieces of code need each other but don't know specifically about the each other.
- Which is better? Why?
- **Loose coupling** is better because it makes your code **more flexible**

OOP: Coupling

Tight Coupling:

```
void DoSomething() //tightly coupled to the Circle class
{
    IShape _shape = new Circle(15);
    //or worse...
    Circle cir = new Circle(15);
}
```

OOP: Coupling

Loose Coupling:

```
void DoSomething() //loosely coupled now
{
    //the factory could return an Ellipse instead
    IShape _shape = ShapeFactory.CreateShape(Shape_Circle);
}
```

Dependency Inversion

Use indirections

Dependency Inversion

- High level and low level classes **should not directly depend** on each other.
- Abstractions should not depend on details but rather **concrete classes should depend on abstractions**.
 - C class should depend on the X interface but NOT vice-versa.



DEPENDENCY INVERSION PRINCIPLE

Would You Solder A Lamp Directly To The Electrical Wiring In A Wall?

Is-a versus Has-a

OOP: IS-A vs HAS-A

- MetroBus and SchoolBus have an **IS-A** relationship with Bus.
 - MetroBus **IS-A** Bus.
- Bus **HAS-A** _passengers field. This is **containment** or **composition**.

Composition over Inheritance

- In general, **favor composition over inheritance**. Why?
 - Keeps the hierarchy chain **simpler** and more **flexible**.
- When possible, **program to an interface**. Why?
 - **Looser coupling!** Remember Dependency Inversion?

Summary

Good Software

- Don't Repeat Yourself
- High Cohesion
- Loose Coupling
- Do 1 thing well
- Think before you code

For More Info

- S.O.L.I.D. Principles (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SOLID>)
- High Cohesion ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cohesion_\(computer_science\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cohesion_(computer_science)))
- Loose Coupling (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Loose_coupling)
- Favor Composition over inheritance
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Composition_over_inheritance