# **Summaries**

#### Source

MULTIAGENT SYSTEMS, Algorithmic, Game-Theoretic, and Logical Foundations (Shoham), Chapter 3

### Summary

## Normal-form game

Game theory is the mathematical study of interaction among independent, self-interested agents. The dominant branch of game theory is called **noncooperative game theory**. In this branch, the basic modelling unit is the individual (including his beliefs, preferences and possible actions). This in contrast to **cooperative game theory**, where the basic modelling unit is the group.

An agent's interests can be modelled using **utility theory**, which aims to quantify an agent's degree of preference across a set of available alternatives. An agent's **utility function** is a mapping from every state of the world to a real number which represents an agent's payoff for being in that state. When an agent needs to perform an action which may lead to different states with different probabilities, an expected utility value can be calculated for each action.

In game theory, the most familiar representation of game's strategic interactions is known as the **normal form**:

**Definition 3.2.1 (Normal-form game)** A (finite, n-person) normal-form game is a tuple (N, A, u), where:

- N is a finite set of n players, indexed by i;
- $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ , where  $A_i$  is a finite set of actions available to player i. Each vector  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$  is called an action profile;
- $u=(u_1,\ldots,u_n)$  where  $u_i:A\mapsto\mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued utility (or payoff) function for player i.

As a utility function's domain is the set of states and not the set of possible actions, this definition assumes A = O, with O the n-tuple of outcomes.

An agent's **strategy** is a mapping from the state space to the action space. A **strategy profile** is an n-tuple of strategies for n players.

#### Analysing games: from optimality to equilibrium

To analyse a game in a single-agent setting, the **optimal strategy** (the strategy that maximizes the agent's expected payoff) is determined. However, the analysis of the optimal strategy is not meaningful in a multiagent setting as the best strategy depends on the choices of other agents who hope to maximise their payoff as well. Two solution concepts to analysing games in a multiagent setting are **Pareto optimality** and **Nash equilibrium**.

**Definition 3.3.1 (Pareto domination)** Strategy profile s Pareto dominates strategy profile s' if for all  $i \in N$ ,  $u_i(s) \ge u_i(s')$ , and there exists some  $j \in N$  for which  $u_j(s) > u_j(s')$ .

**Definition 3.3.2 (Pareto optimality)** Strategy profile s is Pareto optimal, or strictly Pareto efficient, if there does not exist another strategy profile  $s' \in S$  that Pareto dominates s.

Every game must have at least one such optimum. In zero-sum games, all strategy profiles are Pareto optimal.

Intuitively, a **Nash equilibrium** is a **stable strategy profile**: no agent would want to change his strategy if he knew what strategies the other agents were following. (examples: see 3.3.3 page 63)