

# **Test Report**

## **for**

# **Password & Encryption Detector**

Version 2.0

by Sertaç Ataç & Ramazan Bağış

02.07.2025

## Table of Contents:

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>1. Introduction</b>                  | <b>3</b> |
| 1.1 Purpose                             | 3        |
| 1.2 Scope                               | 3        |
| <b>2. Test Environment</b>              | <b>3</b> |
| 2.1 Hardware and Software Configuration | 3        |
| 2.2 Test Tools                          | 3        |
| <b>3. Test Strategy</b>                 | <b>4</b> |
| 3.1 Test Types                          | 4        |
| 3.1 Entry and Exit Criteria             | 4        |
| <b>4. Test Results</b>                  | <b>4</b> |
| 4.1 Functional Test                     | 4        |
| 4.2 Interface Test                      | 4        |
| 4.3 Performance Test                    | 5        |
| 4.4 Robustness Test                     | 5        |
| 4.5 Summary Table                       | 5        |
| <b>5. Defect Log</b>                    | <b>5</b> |
| <b>6. Conclusion</b>                    | <b>5</b> |

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose

This Test Report documents the verification and validation results for the "Password & Encryption Detector" software. It ensures that the system meets the functional, performance, and quality requirements defined in the Software Requirements Specification (SRS).

## 1.2 Scope

This document covers the results of testing the following functionalities:

- File processing in both single and batch modes
  - File type identification via Magika
  - Format-specific password and encryption analysis
  - Entropy-based fallback analysis
  - Result reporting including status, encryption, confidence score, and execution time
  - Performance in both asynchronous and synchronous modes
- 

# 2. Test Environment

## 2.1 Hardware and Software Configuration

- **Operating System:** Windows 11 Pro 64 bit
- **Python Version:** 3.8+
- **Libraries Used:** msoffcrypto, PyPDF2, pikepdf, rarfile, py7zr, pypff, and olefile (These libraries are used as-is and not modified)
- **System Specs:**
  - CPU: AMD Ryzen 7 7840HS w/ Radeon 780M Graphics (16 CPUs), ~3.8GHz
  - RAM: 32768 MB
  - Free Storage: 411 GB on 1 TB Kingston SNV2S1000G SSD

## 2.2 Test Tools

- Built-in Python time library
- Custom CLI test scripts
- Performance monitoring on Windows Task Manager

## 3. Test Strategy

### 3.1 Test Types

- **Functional Testing:** Testing individual handlers and modules (file\_handlers.py, entropy.py, etc.)
  - **Interface Testing:** Testing command line interactions
  - **Performance Testing:** Timed analysis for large datasets in both sync and async modes
  - **Robustness Testing:** Testing behaviour of the program with results on edge cases
- 

## 4. Test Results

### 4.1 Functional Test

- **File Type Detection:** All supported formats (pdf, csv, xlsx, pptx, docx, ods, tsv, rar, zip, odm, odp, odt) are correctly identified.
- **Success Rates:**
  - **First test files** (Total 225 Test files: “pdf, xlsx, pptx, docx, rar, zip” 30 per file formats 15 password protected and 15 not protected, 15 per file formats “ods, csv, tsv” 15 not password protected):
    - **Password-protected files:** 100% detection (all 15 files correctly identified)
    - **Not password-protected files:** 100% correct identification (all 15 files)
  - **Second test files** (1 by each: ODM, ODP, ODS, ODT): 100% detection
- **Exceptions:**
  - 3 PPTX files incorrectly reported as "NOT PASSWORD PROTECTED" with confidence=0.30
  - 1 XLSX file incorrectly reported as "NOT PASSWORD PROTECTED" with confidence=0.30

### 4.2 Interface Test

- **CLI Behaviour:**
  - Single file mode: Success (logasync4.txt, logsync4.txt)
  - Batch mode: Success (all directory scans completed)
  - Sync/Async modes: Both operational with expected performance differences

### 4.3 Performance Test

| Test Case | Total File Size | Total File Amount | Mode  | File Types   | Average Time | Total Time | Accuracy Rate |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------|--|--------------|------------|---------------|
| #1        | 2,13 GB         | 225               | Async | pdf, xlsx, pptx, docx, rar, zip, ods, csv, tsv   | 3.215 ms     | 0.7234 s   | 97%           |
| #1        | 2,13 GB         | 225               | Sync  | pdf, xlsx, pptx, docx, rar, zip, ods, csv, tsv   | 7.241 ms     | 1.6293 s   | 97%           |
| #2        | 1,33 MB         | 7                 | Async | odm, docx, odp, ods, odt, rar  | 23.257 ms    | 0.1628 s   | 100%          |
| #2        | 1,33 MB         | 7                 | Sync  | odm, docx, odp, ods, odt, rar  | 14.557 ms    | 0.1019 s   | 100%          |
| #3        | 168 GB          | 1650              | Async | pdf, xlsx, pptx, docx, rar, zip, ods, csv, tsv, py, exe, c, cpp, txt, class, java, md, html, css, mp3, mp4, gif, jpg, mp4, vdi, vbox, log, 7z, pfx, vmdk, vmxf, nvram, odp, odm, odt | 2.528 ms     | 4.1721 s   | 98%           |
| #3        | 168 GB          | 1650              | Sync  | pdf, xlsx, pptx, docx, rar, zip, ods, csv, tsv, py, exe, c, cpp, txt, class, java, md, html, css, mp3, mp4, gif, jpg, mp4, vdi, vbox, log, 7z, pfx, vmdk, vmxf, nvram, odp, odm, odt | 8.432 ms     | 13.9133 s  | 98%           |
| #4        | 1,03 GB         | 1                 | Async | zip  | 83 ms        | 0.0837s    | 100%          |
| #4        | 1,03 GB         | 1                 | Sync  | zip  | 80 ms        | 0.0800s    | 100%          |

### 4.4 Robustness Test

- **Special Cases:**
  - LibreOffice formats (ODM, ODP, ODS, ODT): All correctly handled
  - Mixed archive types (ZIP, RAR): 100% accuracy
  - Very small files (<1KB): No failures observed
  - Very big files (>1GB): No failures observed

## 4.5 Summary Table

| Test Area           | Total Cases | Passed | Failed | Comments   |
|---------------------|-------------|--------|--------|--|
| Functional Testing  | 8           | 6      | 2      | 4 False Negatives (3 pptx 1.xlsx), otherwise all test cases are clear                            |
| Interface Testing   | 8           | 8      | 0      | All CLI modes functional   |
| Performance Testing | 8           | 8      | 0      | Async is faster when file size/number increases, but Sync is faster with small size/number files |
| Robustness Testing  | 8           | 8      | 0      | Edge cases handled properly  |

---

## 5. Defect Log

- PPT/XLSX False Negatives:
    - Severity: Medium
    - Files: sifreli (12/13/15).pptx, sifreli (15).xlsx
    - Status: Unresolved
    - To-Do's: OfficeOpenXMLHandler's PPT/XLSX detection logic can be modified
- 

## 6. Conclusion

The detector shows higher than 95% accuracy for most file types (PDF, DOCX, XLSX, archives).

Async mode is much more faster when big amount of files (>10) are processed.

Sync mode is significantly faster when small amount of files (<10) are processed.