

# Brief Report

Amirreza Negari, Amirhossein Estiri, Sajad Kahani

August 2019

## 1 Optimization Problem

let  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m$  be set of free degrees of Hamiltonian,  $|\phi_0\rangle$  the ground state and  $|\psi\rangle$  the target state.

formally, the problem can be formulated by this equation

$$H^*(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m), t^* = \arg \max_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m, t} \mathcal{F}(e^{iH(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m)t} |\phi_0\rangle, |\psi\rangle)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}(.,.)$  is fidelity.

specifically, we are focusing on a Hisenberg model, therefore

$$H(J_1 \dots J_{N-1}, B_1 \dots B_N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i X_i X_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^N B_i Z_i$$

In this case, by multiplying each degrees by  $\alpha$ , and dividing  $t$  by  $\alpha$  result remains the same, therefore we can substitute  $t$  with  $t_0$ .

$$H^*(J_1 \dots J_{N-1}, B_1 \dots B_N) = \arg \max_{J_1 \dots J_{N-1}, B_1 \dots B_N} \mathcal{F}(e^{iHt_0} |\phi_0\rangle, |\psi\rangle) \quad (1)$$

## 2 Minimum Guaranteed Fidelity

for any method for estimating states (that estimates  $|\phi\rangle$  with  $\text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]$ ), we can define a “minimum guaranteed fidelity” (MGF) that

$$\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]) \geq \text{MGF}$$

in order to find MGF empirically, we define a mapping  $f : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  with  $\dim \mathcal{H} = N$  as

$$f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}) := \sum_{i=1}^N (v_{2i} + iv_{2i+1}) |i\rangle$$

**Lemma 1.** *for two arbitrary vectors  $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{v}}'$  in  $\mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathcal{F}(f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}), f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}')) \geq \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v}}'$$

now we choose a set of  $k$  equidistributed points on a 2N-d unit hypersphere called  $S$ .

for an arbitrary point  $\vec{x}$  on sphere, exists  $\vec{t} \in P$  that  $\forall \vec{p} \in P - \{\vec{t}\} \quad \vec{t} \cdot \vec{x} > \vec{t} \cdot \vec{p}$   
then by mapping to hilbert space

$$S := \{f(\vec{p}) \mid \vec{p} \in P\}$$

$$d := \min_{\vec{x} \in P} \max_{\vec{y} \in P - \{\vec{x}\}} \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$$

$$\forall |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \quad \exists |t\rangle \in S \quad \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |t\rangle) \geq d$$

We know from Fubini-Study metric that for three arbitrary states  $|a\rangle$ ,  $|b\rangle$  and  $|c\rangle$

$$\arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|a\rangle, |c\rangle)} \geq \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|a\rangle, |b\rangle)} + \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|b\rangle, |c\rangle)}$$

now defining these

$$E_{\mathcal{H}} := \{\text{Est}[|\phi\rangle] \mid |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$E_S := \{\text{Est}[|s\rangle] \mid |s\rangle \in S\}$$

$$f_S := \min_{|s\rangle \in S} \mathcal{F}(|s\rangle, \text{Est}[|s\rangle])$$

and for all  $|\phi\rangle$

$$\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]) = \max_{|e\rangle \in E_{\mathcal{H}}} \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |e\rangle)$$

we know  $E_S \subseteq E_{\mathcal{H}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]) &\geq \max_{|s\rangle \in S} \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|s\rangle]) \\ &\geq \max_{|s\rangle \in S} \cos^2 \left( \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |s\rangle)} + \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|s\rangle, \text{Est}[|s\rangle])} \right) \\ &\geq \max_{|s\rangle \in S} \cos^2 \left( \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |s\rangle)} + \arccos \sqrt{f_S} \right) \\ &\geq \cos^2(\arccos \sqrt{d} + \arccos \sqrt{f_S}) = (\sqrt{d}\sqrt{f_S} - \sqrt{1-d}\sqrt{1-f_S})^2 \end{aligned}$$

## 3 Numerical Optimization

### 3.1 One-sector Subspace

For the sake of simplicity, we can assume ground state is  $|1\rangle$ <sup>1</sup>.

Eq. ?? as a parameteric optimization problem, can be solved approximately for any target state using gradient-descent method by a deep-learning framework

This approach is the same as what Innocenti. et. al. did for gate construction.

the result of solving eq. ?? for a target state such as  $|\phi\rangle$ , will give us a Hamiltonian, therefore, we can define  $\text{Est}[|\phi\rangle] = e^{iH^*t}$  and consequently MGF.

---

<sup>1</sup>defining  $|i\rangle$  as an state with  $i$ th qbit excited.

## Appendix

proof of Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f(v)|f(v')\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N f(v')_i^* f(v)_i = \sum_{i=1}^N (v_{2i} - iv_{2i+1})(v'_{2i} + iv'_{2i+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N v_{2i}v'_{2i} + v_{2i+1}v'_{2i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^N i(v_{2i}v'_{2i+1} - v'_{2i}v_{2i+1}) \\
&= \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}} + \sum_{i=1}^N i(v_{2i}v'_{2i+1} - v_{2i+1}v'_{2i+1} + v_{2i}v_{2i+1} - v'_{2i}v_{2i+1})
\end{aligned}$$

defining  $I \in \mathbb{R}$  as

$$\langle f(v)|f(v')\rangle = \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}} + iI$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(|f(v)\rangle, |f(v')\rangle) &= |\langle f(v)|f(v')\rangle| = \sqrt{(\vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}})^2 + I^2} \\
&\geq \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}}
\end{aligned}$$