

Brief Report

Amirreza Negari, Amirhossein Estiri, Sajad Kahani

August 2019

1 Optimization Problem

let $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m$ be set of free degrees of Hamiltonian, $|\phi_0\rangle$ the ground state and $|\psi\rangle$ the target state.

formally, the problem can be formulated by this equation

$$H^*(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m), t^* = \arg \max_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m, t} \mathcal{F}(e^{iH(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_m)t} |\phi_0\rangle, |\psi\rangle)$$

where $\mathcal{F}(.,.)$ is fidelity.

specifically, we are focusing on a Hisenberg model, therefore

$$H(J_1 \dots J_{N-1}, B_1 \dots B_N) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} J_i X_i X_{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^N B_i Z_i$$

In this case, by multiplying each degrees by α , and dividing t by α result remains the same, therefore we can substitute t with t_0 .

$$H^*(J_1 \dots J_{N-1}, B_1 \dots B_N) = \arg \max_{J_1 \dots J_{N-1}, B_1 \dots B_N} \mathcal{F}(e^{iHt_0} |\phi_0\rangle, |\psi\rangle) \quad (1)$$

2 Minimum Guaranteed Fidelity

for any method for estimating states (that estimates $|\phi\rangle$ with $\text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]$), we can define a “minimum guaranteed fidelity” (MGF) that

$$\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]) \geq \text{MGF}$$

in order to find MGF empirically, we define a mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with $\dim \mathcal{H} = N$ as

$$f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}) := \sum_{i=1}^N (v_{2i} + iv_{2i+1}) |i\rangle$$

Lemma 1. *for two arbitrary vectors $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\vec{\mathbf{v}}'$ in \mathbb{R}*

$$\mathcal{F}(f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}), f(\vec{\mathbf{v}}')) \geq \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v}}'$$

now we choose a set of k equidistributed points on a 2N-d unit hypersphere called S .

for an arbitrary point \vec{x} on sphere, exists $\vec{t} \in P$ that $\forall \vec{p} \in P - \{\vec{t}\} \quad \vec{t} \cdot \vec{x} > \vec{t} \cdot \vec{p}$
then by mapping to hilbert space

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \{f(\vec{p}) \mid \vec{p} \in P\} \\ d &:= \min_{\vec{x} \in P} \max_{\vec{y} \in P - \{\vec{x}\}} \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} \\ \forall |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H} \quad \exists |t\rangle \in S \quad \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |t\rangle) &\geq d \end{aligned}$$

We know from Fubini-Study metric that for three arbitrary states $|a\rangle$, $|b\rangle$ and $|c\rangle$

$$\arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|a\rangle, |c\rangle)} \geq \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|a\rangle, |b\rangle)} + \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|b\rangle, |c\rangle)}$$

now defining these

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{H}} &:= \{\text{Est}[|\phi\rangle] \mid |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}\} \\ E_S &:= \{\text{Est}[|s\rangle] \mid |s\rangle \in S\} \\ f_S &:= \min_{|s\rangle \in S} \mathcal{F}(|s\rangle, \text{Est}[|s\rangle]) \end{aligned}$$

and for all $|\phi\rangle$

$$\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]) = \max_{|e\rangle \in E_{\mathcal{H}}} \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |e\rangle)$$

we know $E_S \subseteq E_{\mathcal{H}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|\phi\rangle]) &\geq \max_{|s\rangle \in S} \mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, \text{Est}[|s\rangle]) \\ &\geq \max_{|s\rangle \in S} \cos^2 \left(\arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |s\rangle)} + \arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|s\rangle, \text{Est}[|s\rangle])} \right) \\ &\geq \max_{|s\rangle \in S} \cos^2 \left(\arccos \sqrt{\mathcal{F}(|\phi\rangle, |s\rangle)} + \arccos \sqrt{f_S} \right) \\ &\geq \cos^2(\arccos \sqrt{d} + \arccos \sqrt{f_S}) = (\sqrt{d}\sqrt{f_S} - \sqrt{1-d}\sqrt{1-f_S})^2 \end{aligned}$$

3 Numerical Optimization

3.1 One-sector Subspace

For the sake of simplicity, we can assume ground state is $|1\rangle$ ¹.

Eq. 1 as a parametric optimization problem, can be solved approximately for any target state using gradient-descent method by a deep-learning framework

This approach is the same as what Innocenti. et. al. did for gate construction.

the result of solving eq. 1 for a target state such as $|\phi\rangle$, will give us a Hamiltonian, therefore, we can define $\text{Est}[|\phi\rangle] = e^{iH^*t}$ and consequently MGF.

¹defining $|i\rangle$ as an state with i th qbit excited.

Appendix

proof of Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f(v)|f(v')\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^N f(v')_i^* f(v)_i = \sum_{i=1}^N (v_{2i} - iv_{2i+1})(v'_{2i} + iv'_{2i+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N v_{2i}v'_{2i} + v_{2i+1}v'_{2i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^N i(v_{2i}v'_{2i+1} - v'_{2i}v_{2i+1}) \\
&= \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}} + \sum_{i=1}^N i(v_{2i}v'_{2i+1} - v_{2i+1}v'_{2i+1} + v_{2i}v_{2i+1} - v'_{2i}v_{2i+1})
\end{aligned}$$

defining $I \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\langle f(v)|f(v')\rangle = \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}} + iI$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}(|f(v)\rangle, |f(v')\rangle) &= |\langle f(v)|f(v')\rangle| = \sqrt{(\vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}})^2 + I^2} \\
&\geq \vec{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{v'}}
\end{aligned}$$