Logistic Regression

DRIPTA MJ

Department of Mathematics

RAMAKRISHNA MISSION VIVEKANANDA EDUCATIONAL AND RESEARCH INSTITUTE

BELUR MATH, INDIA

Machine Learning

CS230

Sem 3, 2018-19

- Binary classification where output y can take two values, e.g. $y \in \{0, 1\}$.

- Probabilistic model for binary classification.

- Discriminative model: Output y is modelled, while x is considered fixed.

- Can be considered as extension of linear regression to classification problems.

- Examples:

  - Spam classifier: Positive class if spam, negative class otherwise.

  - Classify cancer patients into high or low risk groups.

Binary classification

- Dataset: $\{(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (\mathbf{x}^{(2)}, y^{(2)}), ..., (\mathbf{x}^{(N)}, y^{(N)})\}$.

- Augmented vectorial representation of the inputs:

$$\mathbf{x} = \left[x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_D\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$$

  where $x_0 = 1$ and $x_1, x_2, ..., x_D$ are the features of the problem.

- Outputs: $y \in \{0, 1\}$.

- Idea: Use a nonlinear function that maps the outputs between 0 and 1.

- For an input $\mathbf{x}$, the weight vector $\mathbf{w} = [w_0, w_1, w_2, ... w_D]^{\mathrm{T}}$ is used to compute a score $\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}$. The nonlinear function then squashes the score between 0 and 1.

- A popular nonlinear function: Sigmoid.

Sigmoid function

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$

- Sigmoid is a smooth function of the inputs.

- Formulation enables gradient-based parameter learning.

Probabilistic modelling

- Use sigmoid function to model probability of the class $y = 1$ (say).

$$p(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

where

$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{D} w_j x_j$$

- Performing marginalization gives the probability of the other class, i.e. $y = 0$:

$$p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) + p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = 1 - p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$$

Decision boundary

- Decision boundary is the curve separating predictions of different classes.
- For binary classification, decision boundary can be obtained by solving:

$$p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = 0.5$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}))} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} = 0$$

- This is a linear decision boundary.

Compact representation

- Probabilities of the two classes can be expressed as:

$$p(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

$$p(y = 0 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = 1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$$

- The likelihood of a single data point can be compactly expressed as

$$p(y | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = p(y = 0 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})^{(1-y)} p(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})^y$$

$$= \left(1 - p(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})\right)^{(1-y)} p(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})^y$$

Likelihood function

- Assuming the data points were generated independently, the likelihood can be written as

$$L(\mathbf{w}) = p(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, ..., y^{(N)} | \mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}, ..., \mathbf{x}^{(N)}, \mathbf{w})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(y^{(i)} | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{w})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{N} \left(1 - p(y^{(i)} = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{w})\right)^{(1-y^{(i)})} \left(p(y^{(i)} = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{w})\right)^{y^{(i)}}$$

- Taking log on both sides we get

$$\log L(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[(1 - y^{(i)}) \log \left(1 - p(y^{(i)} = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{w})\right) + y^{(i)} \log p(y^{(i)} = 1 | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{w}) \right]$$

- Negative of the log likelihood, i.e. $-\log L(\mathbf{w})$, is the cross-entropy loss.

Gradient ascent

- Gradient ascent: Compute the direction of steepest ascent towards the optimum, and update the weights by moving in that direction with step-size λ

$$w_j^{(t+1)} := w_j^{(t)} + \lambda \frac{\partial \log L(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j}$$

where λ is the learning rate.

- Vectorial representation:

$$\mathbf{w}^{(t+1)} := \mathbf{w}^{(t)} + \lambda \nabla \log L(\mathbf{w})$$

where

$$\nabla \log L(\mathbf{w}) = \left[\frac{\partial \log L(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_0}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \log L(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_D} \right]^T$$

$$\sigma'(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\left[\frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-z))}\right]$$

$$= -\frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-z))^2}(-\exp(-z))$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-z))}\left(\frac{1 + \exp(-z) - 1}{(1 + \exp(-z))}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-z))}\left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(-z))}\right)$$

$$= \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z))$$

Gradient of log likelihood

- Gradient of the log likelihood $\log L(\mathbf{w})$ with respect to a particular weight w_j can be computed as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \log(L(\mathbf{w})) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{1 - y^{(i)}}{1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} + \frac{y^{(i)}}{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) \frac{\partial \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{\partial w_j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{1 - y^{(i)}}{1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} + \frac{y^{(i)}}{\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) \frac{\partial \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{\partial (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \frac{\partial (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{\partial w_j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{-(1 - y^{(i)})\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + y^{(i)}(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))}{(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})} \right) (1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \frac{\partial (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})}{\partial w_j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + y^{(i)}\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) + y^{(i)} - y^{(i)}\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right) x_j^{(i)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(y^{(i)} - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right) x_j^{(i)}$$

- Weights optimization using gradient ascent:

  – Initialize weights $\mathbf{w}$ randomly.

  – Iterate weights until convergence

$$w_j^{(t+1)} = w_j^{(t)} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(y^{(i)} - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right) x_j^{(i)}$$

- The optimized weights can then be used for class prediction of a new unobserved example.

- The example is assigned to the class yielding the largest posterior probability:

$$y_* = \arg \max_{k=[0,1]} p(y_* = k | \mathbf{x}_*, \mathbf{y})$$

Confusion matrix

- A table used to describe/visualize the performance of a classification algorithm.

- Confusion matrix for a binary classification problem:

		Prediction	
		Negative	Positive
Actual	Negative	980	6
	Positive	4	10

- Standard metric: Accuracy

  – Ratio of number of correct predictions to all predictions.

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negative}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Positive} + \text{True Negative} + \text{False Negative}}$$

Confusion matrix

		Prediction	
		Negative	Positive
Actual	Negative	True Negative (TN)	False Positive (FP)
	Positive	False Negative (FN)	True Positive (TP)

- Terminology:

  - True Positive (TP): Actual observation is positive, and prediction is also positive.

  - False Negative (FN): Actual observation is positive, but prediction is negative.

  - True Negative (TN): Actual observation is negative, and prediction is also negative.

  - False Positive (FP): Actual observation is negative, but prediction is positive.

Precision

		Prediction	
		Negative	Positive
Actual	Negative	True Negative (TN)	False Positive (FP)
	Positive	False Negative (FN)	True Positive (TP)

- A metric to measure the quality of the positive predictions.

- Computes the fraction of the +ve predictions which are accurate.

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Positive}}$$

$$= \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{Total Positive Predictions}}$$

- Use precision for more confidence on accurate +ve (TP) predictions.
  - Example: In spam detection, the classifier should be confident while labelling an email as spam (TP). Some actually spam emails going into inbox (FN) can be accepted, but do not want any important email to go into spam folder (FP), i.e. we are more concerned about the percentage of accurate +ve predictions.

		Prediction	
		Negative	Positive
Actual	Negative	True Negative (TN)	False Positive (FP)
	Positive	False Negative (FN)	True Positive (TP)

- Computes the fraction of actual +ve examples which are accurately predicted:

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Negative}}$$

$$= \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{Total Actual Positive}}$$

- Use recall if FN is unacceptable.
  - Example: Classify cancer patients into high and low risk groups. Want high risk group (+ve) to be accurately predicted, i.e. the ratio of TP to (TP+FN) should be high.

- +ve recall is also known as sensitivity.

F1 score

		Prediction	
		Negative	Positive
Actual	Negative	True Negative (TN)	False Positive (FP)
	Positive	False Negative (FN)	True Positive (TP)

- Is preferred when we want a balance between precision and recall.

- Is defined as the harmonic mean of precision and recall:

$$F1 = \left(\frac{\text{Recall}^{-1} + \text{Precision}^{-1}}{2} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= 2 \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

- Also known as multinomial logistic regression.

- Extension of logistic regression to classification problems involving multiple (more than 2).

- The decision boundary between classes is still linear.

Softmax regression

- Suppose there are K output classes, e.g. $y \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$.

- Instead of a vector of weights, we now have a matrix of weights

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1, \ \mathbf{w}_2, \ .... \ \mathbf{w}_K \end{bmatrix}$$

  where the vector $\mathbf{w}_k$ corresponds to weights for class k.

- Probability of a class is modelled using the softmax function:

$$p(y = j | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_j^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(\mathbf{w}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})}$$

- Normalization constant is same for every class.

Softmax regression

- Sum of probabilities of an example over different classes is equal to one:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} p(y = k | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) = p(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) + p(y = 2 | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) + \ldots + p(y = K | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W})$$

$$= \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(\mathbf{w}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})} + \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_2^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(\mathbf{w}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})} + \ldots + \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_K^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{k=1}^{K} \exp(\mathbf{w}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x})}$$

$$= 1$$

- The likelihood of a single data point can be expressed as

$$p(y | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[p(k | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}) \right]^{\mathbb{1}_{y=k}}$$

- Likelihood function:

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(y^{(n)}|\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{W})$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[p(k|\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{W}) \right]^{\mathbb{1}_{y^{(n)}=k}}$$

- Log-likelihood:

$$\log p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}) = \log \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[p(k|\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{W}) \right]^{\mathbb{1}_{y^{(n)}=k}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[p(k|\mathbf{x}^{(n)}, \mathbf{W}) \right]^{\mathbb{1}_{y^{(n)}=k}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left[\frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_k^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}^{(k)})}{\sum_{m=1}^{K} \exp(\mathbf{w}_m^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}^{(m)})} \right]^{\mathbb{1}_{y^{(n)}=k}}$$

Training and Prediction

- Maximize log-likelihood $\log p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W})$ with respect the weights $\mathbf{W}$ using gradient ascent (or other such method).

- Use the optimized weights to compute the posterior probabilities (pertaining to different classes) for a new example.

- The test example is assigned to the class yielding the highest posterior probability.