

Design Document

Politecnico di Milano
Merlino Lorenzo & Iodice Andrea

December 30, 2023

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Purpose	4
1.2	Scope	4
1.3	Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations	4
1.3.1	Definitions	4
1.3.2	Acronyms	5
1.3.3	Abbreviations	5
1.4	Revision History	5
1.5	Reference Documents	5
1.6	Document Structure	5
2	Architectural design	7
2.1	Overview	7
2.1.1	High level view	7
2.1.2	Distributed view	8
2.2	Component view	8
2.2.1	The Relational Database	10
2.2.2	The Model	10
2.2.3	The GitHub Manager	10
2.2.4	The Notification Manager	10
2.2.5	The Authentication Manager	10
2.2.6	The HTTP Manager	10
2.2.7	The Battle Manager	10
2.2.8	The Tournament Manager	10
2.2.9	The Submission Evaluation Manager	10
2.2.10	The Web-App	10
2.2.11	The Web Server	11
2.3	Deployment view	11
2.4	Runtime views	13
2.5	Component interfaces	26
2.5.1	Web Server	26
2.5.2	Http Manager	26
2.6	Selected architectural Styles and patterns	27
2.6.1	4-tier Architecture	27
2.6.2	Model View Controller pattern	27
2.6.3	Facade pattern	28
2.6.4	Mediator pattern	28
2.7	Other design decisions	28
2.7.1	Availability	28
2.7.2	User Session	28

2.7.3	Notifications	28
2.7.4	Data Storage	28
2.7.5	Rust backend	28
3	User Interface Design	29
4	Requirement traceability	30
4.1	[R1]	30
4.2	[R2]	30
4.3	[R3]	30
4.4	[R4]	30
4.5	[R5]	31
4.6	[R6]	31
4.7	[R7]	31
4.8	[R8]	31
4.9	[R9]	32
4.10	[R10]	32
4.11	[R11]	32
4.12	[R12]	33
4.13	[R13]	33
4.14	[R14]	33
4.15	[R15]	34
4.16	[R16]	34
4.17	[R17]	34
4.18	[R18]	34
4.19	[R19]	35
4.20	[R20]	35
4.21	[R21]	35
4.22	[R22]	35
4.23	[R23]	36
4.24	[R24]	36
4.25	[R25]	36
4.26	[R26]	36
4.27	[R27]	37
4.28	[R28]	37
4.29	[R29]	37
4.30	[R30]	37
4.31	[R31]	37
4.32	[R32]	38
4.33	[R33]	38
4.34	[R34]	38
4.35	[R35]	38
4.36	[R36]	39
4.37	[R37]	39
4.38	[R38]	39
4.39	[R39]	39
4.40	[R40]	40
4.41	[R41]	40
5	Implementation, Integration and Test Plan	41
5.1	Overview	41
5.2	Implementation plan	41
5.2.1	First rank: Database	41
5.2.2	Second rank: Model and Github Manager	41

5.2.3	Third rank: Tournament, Authentication, Battle, Submission evaluation and Notification Manager	41
5.2.4	Fourth rank: Http Manager	41
5.2.5	Fifth rank: Web Server	41
5.2.6	Sixth rank: CodeKata WebApp	41
5.3	Component Integration and Testing	42
5.4	System testing	44
5.5	Additional specification on testing	45
6	Effort Spent	46
7	References	47

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The System to Be will allow users to take part in coding battles. Each coding battle will be part of a tournament and consists of a coding project to be completed. Educators will create tournaments and create battles by supplying the project structure. Students will be able to create teams and the teams will be able to submit a solution to the coding battle. Each solution will be scored using different criterias, such as the amount of test cases passed, amount of time required to submit the solution and quality of the code provided. Some criteria will be automatically asserted and the remaining ones will be asserted by the creator of the battle.

1.2 Scope

CodeKataBattle (CKB) is a new platform that helps students improve their software development skills by training with peers on code kata.

Educators use the platform to challenge students by creating code kata battles in which teams of students can compete against each other, thus proving and improving their skills.

Educators create tournaments to which students can enroll. After enrolling in a tournament students can take part in code kata battle by joining or creating a team.

A code kata battle is a programming exercise. The exercise includes a brief textual description and a software project with build automation scripts that contains a set of test cases that the program must pass.

Teams participating in a battle are expected to follow a test-first approach and develop a solution that passes the required tests. Groups deliver their solution to the platform before the deadline. At the end of the battle, the platform and the educators assign scores to groups, in order to create a competition rank.

A Educator cannot be a student and vice versa.

1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

1.3.1 Definitions

- **Coding Battle:** programming exercise proposed by an Educator in the context of a tournament.
- **Tournament:** a series of coding battles, in which Students can take part as teams. It's created and managed by an Educator.
- **Team:** group of students who enroll in a tournament. Students in the same team work together and the solution they submit will be taken into consideration for each member of the team.
- **Rank:** position of a Student in a tournament's leaderboard, which is calculated on each Student's score.
- **Score:** points gained by a Student by submitting a solution to a Coding Battle.
- **Open battle:** a battle that accepts new submissions.
- **Closed battle:** a battle that doesn't accept new submissions but some still need to be evaluated.
- **Completed battle:** a battle that doesn't accept new submissions and where all the submissions have been evaluated.
- **Open tournament:** A tournament where new battles can be created.

- **Closed tournament:** A tournament where new battles cannot be created but some are still not completed.
- **Completed tournament:** A tournament where new battles cannot be created and all battle have been completed
- **Team leader:** Student who created the team.
- **Automated grading:** A series of scores that is automatically assigned to a solution, it is composed of:
 - Number of test passed
 - Time passed after the battle publication
 - Static analysis result
- **User:** either a Student or an Educator
- **Educator:** user of the system, who manages tournaments and battles.
- **Student:** user of the system, who participates in tournaments and battles.

1.3.2 Acronyms

- **RASD:** Requirements Analysis and Specification Document
- **DD:** Design Document

1.3.3 Abbreviations

- **[R_n]:** the n-th requirement
- **[UC_n]:** the n-th use case

1.4 Revision History

- Version 1.0

1.5 Reference Documents

- The specification of the RASD and DD assignment of the Software Engineering II course, held by professor Matteo Rossi, Elisabetta Di Nitto and Matteo Camilli at the Politecnico di Milano, A.Y. 2023/2024;
- Slides of Software Engineering 2 course on WeBeep;
- DD Sample from A.Y. 2022-2023
- Github API documentation

1.6 Document Structure

Mainly the current document is divided in 7 chapters, which are:

1. Introduction: The first chapter includes the introduction in which is explained the purpose of the document, then, a brief recall of the concepts introduced in the RASD is given. Finally, important information for the reader is given, i.e. definitions, acronyms, synonyms and the set of documents referenced.

2. Architectural Design: it includes a detailed description of the architecture of the system, including the high level view of the elements, the software components of CKB, a description through runtime diagrams of various functionalities of the system and, finally, an in-depth explanation of the architectural pattern used.
3. User Interface Design: are provided the mockups of the application user interfaces, with the links between them to help in understanding the flow between them.
4. Requirements Traceability: it describes the connections between the requirements defined in the RASD and the components described in the first chapter. This is used as proof that the design decisions have been taken with respect to the requirements, and therefore that the designed system can fulfill the goals.
5. Implementation, Integration and Test Plan: it describes the process of implementation, integration and testing to which developers have to stick in order to produce the correct system in a correct way.
6. Effort spent
7. References: it contains the references to any documents and to the Software used in this document.

2 Architectural design

2.1 Overview

This section describes the overview of the system from a design standpoint, defining its main components and their relationships

2.1.1 High level view

The CodeKata system is a distributed system with a four-tier architecture. The four tiers are:

- Presentation: displays the user interface and manages the user interactions.
- Web Server: manages the information sent by the presentation layer, is in charge of load balancing and caching.
- Application: handles the system logic managing authentication and requests from the presentation layer. It filters access to the data layer
- Data: maintains all persistent data of the system and the shared data between different instances of the application layer




Figure 1: High level view

The communication between client and server through http requests and responses. The system can be accessed via browser by both Students and Educators.

The presentation tier communicates with the web server layer which is in charge of distributing the request between the multiple application layer instances.

The application tier elaborates the requests and access the data from the data layer. The application tier interfaces with the GitHub api on the user behalf.

User session is identified a by JWT, Json Web Token. it contains the user internal ID, the user Github username and the user Github access token




Figure 2: High level view

2.1.2 Distributed view

The system has multiple instances of the application tier to meet availability and performance requirements.

2.2 Component view

The system is divided into different components, the following diagram describes which components are in the system and how they are connected between each other.

External components that are not part of the system are colored white. Presentation components are colored purple. Web server components are colored green. Application components are colored yellow. Data components are colored blue.




Figure 3: Component view

2.2.1 The Relational Database

contains all the relevant data to the application and it resolves the queries received from the model component with the requested data.

2.2.2 The Model

is used to store the data that are part of the persistent state of the system (which in our case is stored on a database) when this is loaded into the application. Moreover, it provides all the methods that are necessary to access those data and it also implements the logic to manipulate them. In other words: whenever some data contained in the database is needed by any component of the system, for any kind of computation, they delegate the task of retrieving such information to the model component. It not only retrieves them but it also stores them in ad-hoc data structures so that they are ready to be used for the required computations. The model component is the only one interacting with the Relational Database.

2.2.3 The GitHub Manager

manages all interactions between components and the GitHub api.

2.2.4 The Notification Manager

registers the notification's creation and manages its internal status until deletion. It interfaces with the model to record new notifications, retrieve existing ones and delete old ones

2.2.5 The Authentication Manager

handles the user login and interfaces with the GitHub Manager to verify the user access token. It interfaces with the model to record the access token or retrieve user info.

2.2.6 The HTTP Manager

handles http requests and manages the http sessions.

2.2.7 The Battle Manager

handles battles' creation and updates their status when needed. When creating a battle it verifies that the battle configuration is valid, handles teams creations, teams joins and team invites. It interfaces with the Notification manager to notify invites to join a team, when a Student joins a team and when a battle is created or closed. Interfaces with the database to record battles and teams data.

2.2.8 The Tournament Manager

handles the creation of tournaments, the additions of allowed educators into the tournaments, the enrollment of students into tournaments and the status of the tournaments. It interfaces with the database to record and retrieve tournament data.

2.2.9 The Submission Evaluation Manager

handles the automatic grading of new submissions of open battles. Handles manual grading of closed battles. Interfaces with the database to record the team gradings.

2.2.10 The Web-App

is the web interface used by users to access the system and interact with it. It sends requests to the Web Server via HTTP and shows the user the responses from the system.

2.2.11 The Web Server

manages incoming requests from the Web-App component and distributes them between the application's instances. Handles the distributions of static files to the Web-App.

2.3 Deployment view




Figure 4: Deployment view

Further details about the elements in the graph are provided in the following.

- **Firewall** is a device that monitors the packets incoming to the system, if a packet is potentially dangerous it is not forwarded. It is placed before the Web Server and allows incoming packets only if

directed to the web server with the correct port and the correct protocol, in this way the only packets that enter the network are considered safe.

- **Computer** is a regular computer used by the user. No special applications are required to be installed on it, except for a web browser. This will be necessary to surf the internet and reach the CKB web page. An HTTPS connection with the system is established by passing through a firewall and after the forwarding, operated by the designated load balancer, to an available application server.
- **Web server** receives all the requests sent from the web app. It then forwards the received request to the different instances of the application allowing for the incoming load to be balanced on the different instances . It also distributes the web app static files and handles the caching of requests when needed.
- **Application server** receives all the requests sent from the web server and elaborates them. It sends requests to the Database server to read and write data when needed. It communicates directly with the GitHub api.
- **Database server** host the relational database that contains all the system data it is accessed by the application server.

2.4 Runtime views




Figure 5: UC1




Figure 8: UC4




Figure 9: UC5




Figure 10: UC6




Figure 11: UC7




Figure 14: UC10




Figure 15: UC11




Figure 16: UC12

2.5 Component interfaces

2.5.1 Web Server

selectTournament(tournamentID)

Rest API call used to get the tournament view

Param: tournamentID the ID of the tournament

Returns: all data needed to build the tournament view if successful

selectBattle(battleID)

Rest API call used to get the battle

Param: battleID the ID of the battle

Returns: all data needed to build the battle view if successful

createTeam(teamInfo)

Rest API call used create a new team for a battle

Param: teamInfo the info about the new team

Returns: 200 if successful

getInvites(battleID)

Rest API call used to get the invites for the specified battle

Param: battleID the ID of the battle

Returns: list of invites and their id if successful

acceptInvite(inviteID)

Rest API call used to accept an invite to a team

Param: inviteID the id of the invite

Returns: 200 if successful

getAvailableStudents(battleID)

Rest API call used to get the available students for the specified battle

Param: battleID the ID of the battle

Returns: list of students usernames and their id if successful

inviteStudent(newInviteInfo)

Rest API call used to invite a new student

Param: newInviteInfo info regarding the student being invited and the battle

Returns: 200 if successful

2.5.2 Http Manager

selectTournament(tournamentID)

Rest API call used to get the tournament view

Param: tournamentID the ID of the tournament

Returns: all data needed to build the tournament view if successful

selectBattle(battleID)

Rest API call used to get the battle

Param: battleID the ID of the battle

Returns: all data needed to build the battle view if successful

createTeam(teamInfo)

Rest API call used create a new team for a battle

Param: teamInfo the info about the new team

Returns: 200 if successful

getInvites(battleID)

Rest API call used to get the invites for the specified battle

Param: battleID the ID of the battle

Returns: list of invites and their id if successful

acceptInvite(inviteID)

Rest API call used to accept an invite to a team

Param: inviteID the id of the invite

Returns: 200 if successful

getAvailableStudents(battleID)

Rest API call used to get the available students for the specified battle

Param: battleID the ID of the battle

Returns: list of students usernames and their id if successful

inviteStudent(newInviteInfo)

Rest API call used to invite a new student

Param: newInviteInfo info regarding the student being invited and the battle

Returns: 200 if successful

2.6 Selected architectural Styles and patterns

2.6.1 4-tier Architecture

CKB will be built over a 4-tier architecture which provides many benefits thanks to the modularization of the system in three independent layers (or tiers): Presentation tier: Top-level tier including the user's interface. Its main function is to rearrange the received data from the web and application tiers and present them to the user in a more intuitive and comprehensible manner. Web Server tier: this tier receives requests via HTTP from the user's client and redirects them to an application server that is available. After the web server receives the response from the application tier, it will forward the response message to the user. Moreover, this tier is going to have a caching function (it will send static files to the user, when required) and a load balancing function: the requests received are sent to a server that has enough resources to elaborate it. Application tier: It includes the business logic of the application, that is the logic according to which the application takes decisions and performs calculations. Moreover, it passes and processes data between the two surrounding layers. Data tier: It includes the Database system and provides an API, to the application tier, for accessing and managing the data stored in the DB Adopting this type of architecture guarantees a higher flexibility by allowing at first to develop and then to update a specific part of the system apart from the others. Besides, the middle tiers between client and data server ensures a better protection of the stored data. In fact the information is accessed through the application layer instead of being accessed directly by the client.

2.6.2 Model View Controller pattern

For implementing the web application, that allows the user to access all the functionalities offered by CKB, was chosen to follow the Model-View-Controller pattern (MVC pattern). It includes three main components:

- Model: contains the application's data and provides methods for its manipulation
- View: it contains all the different possible visual representations of the data
- Controller: sits between the model and the view. Upon the occurrence of events (for example the pression of a button) execute a predefined reaction which may include some operations on the model that are then reflected on the view

The distribution of tasks between these three elements translates into an increased decoupling of the components which leads to a series of benefits such as reusability, simplicity of implementation, etc.

2.6.3 Facade pattern

The facade pattern is used in the implementation of the Http Manager component to hide the complexity of the application server to the client applications to which is provided a simpler interface. This solution ulteriorly increases the decoupling of the various components of our system; in particular between the client applications and application servers.

2.6.4 Mediator pattern

The mediator pattern is a behavioral pattern used to reduce coupling between modules willing to communicate with each other. The mediator component sits in between two or more objects and encapsulates how such objects communicate; this way not requiring them to know implementation details about each other. In our system there is one mediator:

- GitHub Manager acts as a mediator linking the internal components of the application server with the API provided by GitHub. This solution guarantees a greater flexibility of the system which can adapt to a change of the GitHub's API by simply modifying the MapsManager.

2.7 Other design decisions

2.7.1 Availability

Load balancing and replication are concepts that have been introduced in the system to increase the availability and reliability of the system. In this way the system would be as fault-tolerant as possible regarding data management and service availability.

2.7.2 User Session

The user session is being tracked between http calls thanks to an encrypted JWT in the request cookies. This JWT contains:

- UserID internal id
- Github API user access token
- Github username

the encryption of the JWT is a critical aspect of the system security.

2.7.3 Notifications

New notifications are loaded when the user loads the home page.

2.7.4 Data Storage

A single SQL database is present in the design. This could introduce availability and performance issues, to compensate the database should be deployed on a separated device allowing it to have the maximum ammount of recources. On the other hand having a single SQL database allows the system data storage to preserve ACID proprieties, which would be impossible with a distributed database. Periodicals backup of the database are needed to increase the system reliability.

2.7.5 Rust backend

The rust backend allows the system to handle high ammounts of requests consuming as little resources as possibles. this allows the system to be very efficient as the majority of the requests are mainly interactions with the database and have little data transformation overhead.

3 User Interface Design

4 Requirement traceability

4.1 [R1]

The system allows Students to log in via GitHub.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Authentication Manager
- GitHub Manager
- Model
- Database

4.2 [R2]

The system allows Educators to log in via GitHub.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Authentication Manager
- GitHub Manager
- Model
- Database

4.3 [R3]

The system allows Educators to create a tournament.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.4 [R4]

The system allows Educators to view a list of only tournaments they manage.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.5 [R5]

The system allows Educators to add other Educators to a tournament they manage.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.6 [R6]

The system allows Educators to view a list of all Educators that manage the tournament they are managing.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.7 [R7]

The system allows Educators to end a tournament they manage.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.8 [R8]

The system allows Educators to view a list of Students that are enrolled in a tournament they manage.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.9 [R9]

The system allows Educators to create a battle in a tournament they manage.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Battle Manager
- Model
- Database

4.10 [R10]

The system allows Educators to view a list of teams that are participating to a battle they have created.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle Manager
- Model
- Database

4.11 [R11]

The system allows Educators to view a list of submitted solutions for a battle they have created.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Authentication Manager
- GitHub Manager
- Battle Manager
- Model
- Database

4.12 [R12]

The system allows Educators to view the repository of each solution submitted to a battle they have created.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Authentication Manager
- GitHub Manager
- Battle Manager
- Model
- Database

4.13 [R13]

The system allows Educators to grade a solution for a battle they have created, after the deadline of a battle.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle Manager
- Submission Evaluation Manager
- Model
- Database

4.14 [R14]

The system allows Educators to see the grade given by the automated tests to a solution of a battle.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle Manager
- Submission Evaluation Manager
- Model
- Database

4.15 [R15]

The system allows Educators to view the rank scoreboard of a tournament they manage.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle Manager
- Submission Evaluation Manager
- Model
- Database

4.16 [R16]

The system allows Students to view a list of all available tournaments.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.17 [R17]

The system allows Students to join a tournament.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.18 [R18]

The system allows Students to view a list of tournaments they are enrolled in.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Model
- Database

4.19 [R19]

The system allows Students to view a list of all available battles of a given tournament.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament Manager
- Battle Manager
- Model
- Database

4.20 [R20]

The system allows Students to create a team for a battle.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle Manager
- Model
- Database

4.21 [R21]

The system allows Team Leaders to invite other students to their team.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle Manager
- Model
- Database

4.22 [R22]

The system allows Students to view a list of invitations received.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle manager
- Model
- Database

4.23 [R23]

The system allows Students to accept an invite.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle manager
- Model
- Database

4.24 [R24]

The system allows Students to decline an invite.

- CodeKata Web App

4.25 [R25]

The system allows Students to view a list of battles they have joined, given a tournament.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament manager
- Model
- Database

4.26 [R26]

The system allows Students to view the description of a battle they are enrolled into.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle manager
- Model
- Database

4.27 [R27]

The system allows Students to view the repository of a battle they are enrolled into.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle manager
- Model
- Database

4.28 [R28]

The system allows Students to view the score of the solution submitted, given a battle, and after the solution has been graded.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Battle manager
- Model
- Database

4.29 [R29]

The system allows Students to view the rank scoreboard of a tournament they are enrolled in.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Tournament manager
- Model
- Database

4.30 [R30]

The system runs GitHub Actions on battles' repository.

- Github Manager

4.31 [R31]

The system detects when a new version of the main branch is available.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager

4.32 [R32]

System notifies student they have been invited to a team.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.33 [R33]

System assign automatic grading.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Submission Evaluation manager
- Github Manager
- Model
- Database

4.34 [R34]

System notifies students a new battle is available.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.35 [R35]

System notifies students a new tournament is available.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.36 [R36]

System notifies a tournament has been closed.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.37 [R37]

System notifies a student that a battle has ended.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.38 [R38]

System notifies a team their solution has been graded.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.39 [R39]

System notifies educators that a new submission is ready to be graded.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.40 [R40]

System notifies students that the student they have invited to their team has accepted/rejected the invite.

- CodeKata Web App
- Web Server
- Http Manager
- Notification manager
- Model
- Database

4.41 [R41]

System is able to fork the educator's repository.

- Github manager
- Model
- Database

5 Implementation, Integration and Test Plan

5.1 Overview

This chapter describes how the implementation and the system will be executed. The order with which the components will be implemented and tested. The kind test that will be executed on the system as integration tests and as system tests.

5.2 Implementation plan

The implementation will follow a bottom-up approach to reduce the amount of stubs needed for integration testing, although stub and drivers will still be needed for unit testing. After the implementation and the modification of a module unit tests will be run. Each component will expose the interfaces listed in the 2.5 subsection.

Components will be divided in ranks, components in the same ranks can be implemented in parallel as they are independent from one another. The system will be implemented from the first to the latest rank, one rank at the time.

5.2.1 First rank: Database

The database's schema design will be the first step of the implementation. For every end point listed on the 2.5 subsection a corresponding prepared statement will be implemented, this will improve the database performance and will make query to the database for the needed data easier and independent from the true structure of the database schema.

5.2.2 Second rank: Model and Github Manager

The Model will require a test database in order to execute unit testing.

The Github Manager will require a stub for the unit, integration and system testing as the github api is rate limited and using the real api for testing will reduce the ammount of request available to the system.

5.2.3 Third rank: Tournament, Authentication, Battle, Submission evaluation and Notification Manager

These five components are indipenedt from each other and can be developed in parallel.

5.2.4 Fourth rank: Http Manager

The Http Manager will expose an http server. The unit and integration test's drivers will be required to connect to the http server.

5.2.5 Fifth rank: Web Server

The Web server's configuration will define the load balancing system and the static files distribution. As the web server is an external unit testing will be only responsable to assert the correct configuration in order to more easely identify error in it without having to wait until the integration testing.

5.2.6 Sixth rank: CodeKata WebApp

The Web app implementation will be the final step. The unit testing of the webapp will require a dedicated framework to automate the interface testing.

5.3 Component Integration and Testing

Each component will have a driver and stud used for the unit testing of that component. Regarding integration testing, system integration will follow the implementation order and outisied than the Model and Github Manager, components will not need studs. For each step all the top component will have their own driver that will execute the testing in parallel with the other drivers. The model stud will be copy of the production database schema.

Here is a list of images describing the steps of the integration testing process:




Figure 18: First step of integration testing




Figure 19: Second step of integration testing




Figure 20: Third step of integration testing




Figure 21: Fourth step of integration testing




Figure 22: Fifth step of integration testing

5.4 System testing

After creating the components, unit testing them, integrating the system and testing the system integration the system will be tested in its entirety to verify that all functions have been developed correctly and the system complies with the requirements. Different aspects of the system will be tested such as:

- **Functional testing:** this will verify that the system satisfies the functional requirements defined in the RASD.
- **Performance testing:** this will verify that the system satisfies the performance requirements and it will help identify the system's real performances, making it able to find system inefficiencies if they are present.
- **Usability testing:** this will verify how easy it is to use the system and if there are problems with the user experience.
- **Load testing:** this will verify how the system behaves under heavy load and will help find resource-wasting bugs if they are present.
- **Stress testing:** this will verify how the system recovers after a failure.

5.5 Additional specification on testing

All the test will need to be repeated every time some part of the implementation is changed, this is done to maximise the system reliability.

6 Effort Spent

Merlino Lorenzo	2h	20h	2h	4h	5h	
Iodice Andrea	1h	10h	-h	1h	-h	

7 References

- UML diagrams: plantUML, starUML