# DiD for Big Data in R Theoretical Background

#### Bradley Setzler, Penn State

This draft compiled on: November 1, 2022

## Notation and Identification (1/4)

#### **Notation and Definition of Treatment:**

- i: unit of observation.
   → We will often say "individual".
- t: calendar time. Sample time frame is  $\mathcal{T}$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  We will often say "'year".
- $D_{i,t}$ : indicator for currently receiving treatment.  $\rightarrow$  Permanent treatment:  $D_{i,t} = 1 \implies D_{i,t+1} = 1, \ t \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- $G_i \equiv \min\{t : D_{i,t} = 1\}$ : time that *i* first receives treatment.
  - $\rightarrow$  We will often say "cohort" or "onset time".  $\rightarrow$  If *i* never receives treatment, we can write  $G_i = \infty$ .
  - $\rightarrow$  Note:  $D_{i,t} \equiv 1\{t \geq G_i\}$ .
- $E_{i,t} \equiv (t G_i)$ : time since first treatment.
  - $\rightarrow$  We will often say "event time".
  - → Sometimes we will consider fixing an event time *e* years after treatment versus *b* years before treatment.
- Potential outcomes: Let  $Y_{i,t}(g)$  denote the outcome that is experienced if treated at g.
- Observed outcome:  $Y_{i,t} = Y_{i,t}(\infty) + \sum_g 1\{G_i = g\}(Y_{i,t}(g) Y_{i,t}(\infty)).$

#### Notation and Identification (2/4)

**Goal:** Identify ATT at an event time. We assume throughout that the goal is to identify the average treatment effect on the treated (ATT) at event time *e*. Formally, we seek to identify,

$$\mathrm{ATT}_e \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,t}(g) - Y_{i,t}(\infty)|E_{i,t} = e]$$

The identification challenge is that  $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i,t}(\infty)|E_{i,t}=e]$  is a counterfactual object – it is the average outcome that would have been experienced by those receiving treatment for e years if they had not received treatment.

Decomposition into cohort-specific ATTs. Define,

$$ATT_{g,e} \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(g) - Y_{i,g+e}(\infty)|G_i = g]$$
  
$$\omega_{g,e} \equiv \mathbb{E}[G_i = g|E_{i,t} = e]$$

where the cohort shares that have been treated at each event time  $(\omega_{g,e})$  are observed. Rearranging terms,

$$ATT_e = \sum_{g} \omega_{g,e} ATT_{g,e}$$

Thus, given e, it is sufficient to identify  $ATT_{g,e}$ ,  $\forall g$  s.t.  $\omega_{g,e} > 0$ .

#### Notation and Identification (3/4)

**Control Group:** Define a control group membership indicator  $\mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i)$ . At a minimum,  $\mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i)=1 \implies G_i > (g+e)$ . We may further restrict  $\mathcal{C}$  based on context, e.g., some consider the never-treated control group,  $\mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i)=1\{G_i=\infty\}$ .

#### Assumption 1: Parallel trends.

$$\exists b < 0 \text{ s.t.} \quad \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) - Y_{i,g+b}(\infty) | \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i) = 1]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) - Y_{i,g+b}(\infty) | G_i = g], \ \forall e \ge 0$$

This restricts the relationship between the treated group  $G_i = g$  and the control group  $C_{g,e}(G_i)=1$ : the change in average outcome for the treated group would have been the same in the absence of treatment as that of the control group.

#### **Assumption 2: No anticipation.**

$$\exists b < 0 \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+b}(g)|G_i = g] = \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+b}(\infty)|G_i = g], \ \forall g$$

This restricts *when* the treated cohorts respond to treatment.

**Note:** Both assumptions need only hold for the event time e and pre-period b chosen by the researcher.

#### Notation and Identification (4/4)

**Difference-in-differences:** Define the population estimator,

$$\mathsf{DiD}_{g,e} \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b} | G_i = g] - \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b} | \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i) = 1]$$

It depends only on observed outcomes, not counterfactuals.

**Impose parallel trends:** By the parallel-trends assumption, we can replace the second expectation as follows:

$$\mathsf{DiD}_{g,e} \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b} | G_i = g] - \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) - Y_{i,g+b}(\infty) | G_i = g]$$

**Impose no anticipation:** By the no-anticipation assumption, we can cancel out the two terms involving  $Y_{i,g+b}$ :

$$\mathsf{DiD}_{g,e} \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}|G_i = g] - \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(\infty)|G_i = g] = \mathsf{ATT}_{g,e}$$

Thus, we have proven that  $DiD_{g,e} = ATT_{g,e}$  if the parallel-trends and no-anticipation assumptions hold for the pair (g,e).

**Result:** If parallel-trends and no-anticipation hold  $\forall g \text{ s.t. } \omega_{g,e} > 0$ ,

$$\mathsf{ATT}_e = \sum_{g: \ w_{g,e} > 0} \omega_{g,e} \mathsf{DiD}_{g,e}$$

#### Estimator used by DiD for Big Data (1/4)

**Estimator based on averages.** Replacing population means with sample means, the package implements the following DiD:

$$\mathrm{DiD}_{g,e} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b} | G_i = g]}_{\text{Difference for treated group}} - \underbrace{\left(\mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b} | \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i) = 1]\right)}_{\text{Difference for control group}}$$

where, following Callaway and Sant'Anna (2021), we require that parallel-trends and no-anticipation holds for one of these 3 possible control groups:

$$\label{eq:cge} \begin{array}{ll} \text{``all''} & \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(\textit{G}_i) = \mathbb{1}\{\textit{G}_i > (g+e)\} \\ \text{``future-treated''} & \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(\textit{G}_i) = \mathbb{1}\{\textit{G}_i > (g+e) \& \textit{G}_i < \infty\} \\ \text{``never-treated''} & \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(\textit{G}_i) = \mathbb{1}\{\textit{G}_i = \infty\} \end{array}$$

**Researcher choices.** The DiD researcher must make 3 choices:

- 1. What is the range of event times e for which you would like ATT<sub>e</sub> estimates? Default: e = -5, ..., 5.
- **2.** Which pre-period should be the base? Default: b = -1.
- **3.** Which of the 3 control selections  $\mathcal{C}$  to use? Default: "all".

## Estimator used by DiD for Big Data (2/4)

Fix some (e, b). Consider testing ATT<sub>g,e</sub> = 0.

**Notation:** Consider treatment group  $\mathcal{T}_g \equiv 1\{G_i = g\}$  and control group  $\mathcal{C}_g$ , which could be any of the three options above.

Define within-i differences  $A_i \equiv Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{T}_g$  with mean  $\mu_{A,g} \equiv \mathbb{E}[A_i|i \in \mathcal{T}_g]$ , and  $B_i \equiv Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b}$ ,  $i \in \mathcal{C}_g$  with mean  $\mu_{B,g} \equiv \mathbb{E}[B_i|i \in \mathcal{C}_g]$ , where subscripts are dropped if unambiguous.

**Hypothesis Testing:** Since ATT<sub>g,e</sub>  $\equiv \mu_{A,g} - \mu_{B,g}$ , consider,

Test statistic:  $DiD_{g,e} = \overline{A}_g - \overline{B}_g$ , Null  $H_0: \mu_{A,g} - \mu_{B,g} = 0$ 

**Central Limit Theorem:** Denote the population variances by  $\sigma_{A,g}^2 \equiv \text{Var}[A_i|i \in \mathcal{T}_g]$  and  $\sigma_{B,g}^2 \equiv \text{Var}[B_i|i \in \mathcal{C}_g]$ . By the CLT under the null, with samples drawn independently across i,

$$\overline{A}_{g} \sim_{d} \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{A,g}, \ \sigma_{A,g}^{2}/N_{A,g}\right), \quad \overline{B}_{g} \sim_{d} \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_{B,g}, \ \sigma_{B,g}^{2}/N_{B,g}\right)$$

$$\implies \text{DiD}_{g,e} = \left(\overline{A}_{g} - \overline{B}_{g}\right) \sim_{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \ \sigma_{A,g}^{2}/N_{A,g} + \sigma_{B,g}^{2}/N_{B,g}\right)$$

Thus, 
$$SE(DiD_{g,e}) = \sqrt{\sigma_{A,g}^2/N_{A,g} + \sigma_{B,g}^2/N_{B,g}}$$
. The empirical counterpart is trivial to compute (e.g. no matrix inversion needed). <sub>7/13</sub>

## Estimator used by DiD for Big Data (3/4)

Rather than the ATT for a specific cohort *g*, we often are interested in the event time *e* ATT averaged across cohorts.

Average Effects by Event Time. Let  $\omega_g \equiv \mathbb{E}[G_i = g | G_i < \infty]$  denote the share of treated units in cohort g. We can define,

$$\mathsf{DiD}_{\mathsf{e}} \equiv \sum_{\mathsf{g} \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{\mathsf{g}} \, \mathsf{DiD}_{\mathsf{g},\mathsf{e}} = \sum_{\mathsf{g} \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{\mathsf{g}} \, \left( \overline{\mathsf{A}}_{\mathsf{g}} - \overline{\overline{\mathsf{B}}}_{\mathsf{g}} \right), \; \mathsf{ATT}_{\mathsf{e}} \equiv \sum_{\mathsf{g} \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{\mathsf{g}} \big( \mu_{\mathsf{A},\mathsf{g}} - \mu_{\mathsf{B},\mathsf{g}} \big)$$

**Recall:** For a given (g, e), the treated and control group are mutually exclusive. Thus, independence across i ensures that  $\text{Cov}(\overline{A}_g, \overline{B}_g) = 0$ . We used this result on the previous slide to obtain simple SEs with no covariance terms for  $\text{DiD}_{g,e} = \overline{A}_g - \overline{B}_g$ .

Repeated i in the event time average. Unlike  $\mathrm{DiD}_{g,e}$ ,  $\mathrm{DiD}_{e}$  depends on both  $\overline{B}_{g}$  and  $\overline{B}_{g'}$  for different cohorts g,g'. The same individual i often appears in multiple control groups (e.g. never-treated units can appear in all control groups). Thus, it is typically true that  $\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{B}_{g},\overline{B}_{g'})\neq 0$ , so we cannot ignore covariance terms when calculating the SE for  $\mathrm{DiD}_{e}$ . Similarly, if g< g', we often have  $\mathrm{Cov}(\overline{B}_{g},\overline{A}_{g'})\neq 0$ , since some members i of the control group at g later enter the treated group g'.

8 / 13

### Estimator used by DiD for Big Data (4/4)

**Event study parameters:** For  $\mathcal{H} \in \{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}\}$ , one may wish to plot averages across event times:

$$\bar{Y}_{g,e}^{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{H}_g} Y_{i,g+e}, \ \mathsf{SE}(\bar{Y}_{g,e}^{\mathcal{H}}) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{H},g,e}^2}{|\mathcal{H}_g|}}, \ \sigma_{\mathcal{H},g,e}^2 = \mathsf{Var}[Y_{i,g+e}|i \in \mathcal{H}_g]$$

$$\bar{Y}_{e}^{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{g} \bar{Y}_{g,e}^{\mathcal{H}}, \ \mathsf{SE}(\bar{Y}_{g,e}^{\mathcal{H}}) = \sqrt{\sum_{g} \omega_{g}^{2} \mathsf{Var}(\bar{Y}_{g,e}^{\mathcal{H}})},$$

The package provides these means and SEs by default.

**Plots:** The package also provides automated plots, both for presenting the event study parameters and for presenting the ATT estimates. These can be plotted by (g,e) or by e (average over g).

#### Accounting for Covariates (1/4)

Recall: Definition of parallel trends.

$$\exists b < 0 \text{ s.t.} \quad \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) - Y_{i,g+b}(\infty) | \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i) = 1]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) - Y_{i,g+b}(\infty) | G_i = g], \ \forall e \ge 0$$

**Time-invariant Covariate:** Suppose potential outcomes in the case with no-treatment are given by the model,

$$Y_{i,t}(\infty) = \alpha_i + \mu_t + X_i \beta_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

Is the parallel-trends condition violated? Note that,

$$Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) - Y_{i,g+b}(\infty) = (\mu_{g+e} - \mu_{g+b}) + X_i(\beta_{g+e} - \beta_{g+b})$$

Plugging this into the expectations above, we see that:

- 1. If  $\beta_{g+e} = \beta_{g+b}$ , then parallel trends holds, as  $X_i$  cancels out in  $Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) Y_{i,g+b}(\infty)$ .
- 2. If  $\beta_{g+e} \neq \beta_{g+b}$ , then parallel trends holds only if,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i|\mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i)=1] = \mathbb{E}[X_i|G_i=g]$$

## Accounting for Covariates (2/4)

**Recap:** If  $Y_{i,t}(\infty) = \alpha_i + \mu_t + X_i\beta_t + \epsilon_{i,t}$  and  $\beta_{g+e} \neq \beta_{g+b}$ , then parallel trends holds only if,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i|\mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i)=1] = \mathbb{E}[X_i|G_i=g]$$

**"Bin" correction:** If  $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$  is discrete, parallel trends holds if we condition on treatment and control groups with the same  $X_i$  bin:

$$\mathbb{E}[X_i|C_{g,e}(G_i)=1 \& X_i=x] - \mathbb{E}[X_i|G_i=g \& X_i=x]=x-x=0$$

Parallel trends must hold in this model when conditioning on treatment and control groups within the same  $X_i = x$  bin.

**Testing:** Define  $DiD_{g,e}(x)$  as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b} | G_i = g \& X_i = x] - \mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b} | C_{g,e}(G_i) = 1 \& X_i = x]$$

Then, we can test that  $ATT_{g,e}$  is zero using,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{DiD}_{g,e} &= \sum_{\mathcal{X}} \omega_g(x) \mathsf{DiD}_{g,e}(x), \quad \omega_g(x) = \mathbb{E}[X_i = x | G_i = g] \\ \mathsf{SE}\left(\mathsf{DiD}_{g,e}\right) &= \sqrt{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (\omega_g(x))^2 \mathsf{SE}(\mathsf{DiD}_{g,e}(x))^2} \end{aligned}$$

There are no covariances because each i has only one  $X_i = x$  bin. 11 / 13

## Accounting for Covariates (3/4)

**Time-varying Covariates:** Suppose that it is the covariates X rather than the coefficient  $\beta$  that varies over time:

$$Y_{i,t}(\infty) = \alpha_i + \mu_t + X_{i,t}\beta + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

Is parallel trends violated? Note that,

$$Y_{i,g+e}(\infty) - Y_{i,g+b}(\infty) = (\mu_{g+e} - \mu_{g+b}) + (X_{i,g+e} - X_{i,g+b})\beta$$

Plugging this into the expectations above, parallel trends requires

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{i,g+e} - X_{i,g+b} | \mathcal{C}_{g,e}(G_i) = 1] = \mathbb{E}[X_{i,g+e} - X_{i,g+b} | G_i = g]$$

Though this will not hold in general, it holds if X is age or time, as  $X_{i,g+e}-X_{i,g+b}=(e-b)$  is the same for  $G_i=g$  and  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Discrete correction for time-varying covariates:** Suppose  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  is discrete. Then, the difference  $\tilde{X}_i \equiv X_{i,g+e} - X_{i,g+b}$  is also discrete. We can condition on  $\tilde{X}_i = x$  and use the same approach we used for time-invariant covariates above.

## Accounting for Covariates (4/4)

**Recall:** We consider the case in which *X* varies over time:

$$Y_{i,t}(\infty) = \alpha_i + \mu_t + X_{i,t}\beta + \epsilon_{i,t}$$

**Regression representation:** Let  $\mathcal{H}_{g,e}$  denote the union of the treated and control group for a particular (g,e) pair. Then,

$$(Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b}) = \tilde{\mu} + 1\{G_i = g\}(Y_{i,g+e}(g) - Y_{i,g+e}(\infty)) + (X_{i,g+e} - X_{i,g+b})\beta + \tilde{\epsilon}, \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{H}_{g,e}$$

which is a regression equation with no fixed effects that can be estimated separately for each g, e pair. In particular, the coefficient on  $1\{G_i = g\}$  recovers  $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i,g+e}(g) - Y_{i,g+e}(\infty)|G_i = g]$ .

**OLS:** Thus, we can apply OLS to regress  $Y_{i,g+e} - Y_{i,g+b}$  on  $1\{G_i = g\}$  and  $X_{i,g+e} - X_{i,g+b}$ , using the standard OLS point estimate and SE for the coefficient on  $1\{G_i = g\}$ . Note that this OLS formulation accommodates both discrete and continuous  $X_{i,t}$ . Importantly, no fixed effects have to be estimated, even in the case with continuous time-varying covariates – the regression just has a few regressors, remaining computationally fast and efficient.