

# Recitation 10

Seung-hun Lee

Columbia University

December 13th, 2021

## Time series: Setting up the approach

- Collect data on same observational unit  $i$  for multiple time periods.
- Primary uses: Forecasting, modeling risks, and analyzing dynamic causal effects
- Time series differs in that errors are likely to be autocorrelated and thus require different ways to calculate the standard error.
- Let  $Y_t$  be the time series data captured at certain period  $t$  - GDP
- **Lags** are characterized as  $Y_{t-1}$  and **leads** are defined as  $Y_{t+1}$ .
- $\Delta Y_t \equiv Y_t - Y_{t-1}$ : The **first difference** at time  $t$ .

## AR and ADL: Number of lags for dependent and independent variables

- $AR(p)$ :  $Y_t$  is regressed against its own lagged values by  $p$  times:

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + u_t$$

- ▶ Each coefficient  $\beta_k$  indicates how past values are useful in forecasting
- $ADL(p, q)$ :  $p$  lags of dependent variable and  $q$  lags for  $X$  variable

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + \delta_1 X_{t-1} + \dots + \delta_q X_{t-q} + u_t$$

## AR and ADL: Selecting number of lags

- Right amount of  $p$  and  $q$  minimizes the following **information criteria**

$$AIC : \ln \left( \frac{SSR(p, q)}{T} \right) + (K) \frac{2}{T} \quad BIC : \ln \left( \frac{SSR(p, q)}{T} \right) + (K) \frac{\ln T}{T}$$

where  $K = 1 + p + q$

- **Granger causality:** Test that helps us see whether  $X$  is useful in predicting  $Y$

$$H_0 : \delta_1 = \dots = \delta_q = 0, \quad H_1 : \neg H_0$$

If the null hypothesis is rejected, we say that  $X$  *Granger-causes*  $Y$

## Forecasting: What is a good forecast?

- **Forecast interval** captures how accurate your forecasts are.
- Assume an ADL(1,1) type of equation, with forecast error  $Y_{T+1} - \hat{Y}_{T+1|T}$ , or

$$[(\beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0) + (\beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1)Y_T + (\delta_1 - \hat{\delta}_1)X_T] + u_{T+1}$$

- **Mean squared forecast error (MSFE)**: standard errors used to create forecast interval

$$\begin{aligned} E[(Y_{T+1} - \hat{Y}_{T+1|T})^2] &= E[u_{T+1}^2] + E\left[\left((\beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0) + (\beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1)Y_T + (\delta_1 - \hat{\delta}_1)X_T\right)^2\right] \\ &= \text{var}(u_{T+1}) + \text{var}[(\beta_0 - \hat{\beta}_0) + (\beta_1 - \hat{\beta}_1)Y_T + (\delta_1 - \hat{\delta}_1)X_T] \end{aligned}$$

- As sample size increases, the uncertainty part (variance term), converges to 0. So MSFE is approximately equal to  $\text{var}(u_{T+1})$ .

## Forecasting: How volatile is the forecast?

- **Root mean squared forecast error** (RMSFE) is just  $\sqrt{E[(Y_{T+1} - \hat{Y}_{T+1|T})^2]}$
- Measures the spread of the forecast error distribution (magnitude of an 'error in forecasting')
- In practice, we estimate RMSFE using either SER (from several lectures ago) or based on actual forecast history for  $t = t_1, \dots, T$  and get

$$MSFE = \frac{1}{T - t_1 + 1} \sum_{t=t_1-1}^{T-1} (Y_{t+1} - \hat{Y}_{t+1|t})^2$$

- **Forecast interval:** The bounds for the forecast intervals if size 95% is constructed as

$$\hat{Y}_{T+1|T} \pm 1.96 \times \widehat{RMSFE}$$

where  $\widehat{RMSFE}$  is obtained using one of the two methods mentioned.

## Stationarity: Is the distribution of the data stable across time or not?

- Stationary: Distribution of  $(Y_{t+1}, \dots, Y_{t+s})$  does not depend on  $t$ .
- In other words, the distribution of  $Y$  does not change over time
- Nonstationary: When there is a trend or a break in the movement of the data (or any change in underlying parameters),
- Trends
  - ▶ **Deterministic trend** is a nonrandom function of time,  $(Y_t = \alpha t^2)$
  - ▶ **Stochastic trend** is random, and time-variant distribution, such as the random walk  $Y_t = Y_{t-1} + u_t$  (You can check that  $\text{var}(Y_t) = t\sigma_u^2$ )
  - ▶ Any other case where  $\beta_1 > 1$  is also nonstationary

## Stationarity: Testing for this in AR(1)

- Eyeball test: Check graphically
- Dickey-Fuller test: Check for the existence of a 'unit root' by testing

$$H_0 : \beta_1 \geq 1, H_1 : \beta_1 < 1$$

- For a general case with  $AR(p)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + u_t \\ &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} - \beta_2 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 Y_{t-1} + \beta_2 Y_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_p Y_{t-p} + u_t \\ &\dots \\ &= \beta_0 + (\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_p) Y_{t-1} - (\beta_2 + \dots + \beta_p) \Delta Y_{t-1} - \dots - \beta_p \Delta Y_{t-p+1} + u_t \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Test whether  $H_0 : \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_p \geq 1, H_1 : \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_p < 1$



## Stationarity: Testing structural breaks

- Assume a ADL(1,1) structure, but that we know when the structural break occurs at year  $\tau$
- Let  $D_t(\tau) = 1$  if year  $t \geq \tau$  and 0 otherwise.
- Then we write the equation as

$$Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{t-1} + \delta_1 X_{t-1} + \gamma_1 D_t(\tau) + \gamma_2 D_t(\tau) Y_{t-1} + \gamma_3 D_t(\tau) X_{t-1} + u_t$$

- To check for structural break, test joint hypothesis of the following form:

$$H_0 : \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 0, H_1 : \neg H_0$$

This is the idea behind the **Chow test**.

- If structural break is unknown, we can do a **Quandt Likelihood Ratio test** that implements multiple Chow tests and finds the point where structural break most likely happened, if it occurred.

# Dynamic Causal analysis with distributed lag models

- **Dynamic causal effect** captures the effect of  $X$  on  $Y$  over time.
- Write the distributed lag model as

$$Y_t = \alpha + \beta_0 X_t + \beta_1 X_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_p X_{t-p} + u_t$$

- $\beta_0$  captures the contemporaneous impact of  $X$  on  $Y$ , holding past values of  $X$  constant.
- $\beta_j, j \in [1, p]$  captures the impact of  $X$  from  $j$  period(s) ago on  $Y$ , holding  $X$  from other periods constant

# Dynamic Causal analysis: Implementation

- Cumulative effect: Cumulative effect can be captured by summing over multiple  $\beta$ 's
- Specifically, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}Y_t &= \alpha + \beta_0 X_t + \beta_1 X_{t-1} + u_t \\&= \alpha + \beta_0 X_t - \beta_0 X_{t-1} + \beta_0 X_{t-1} + \beta_1 X_{t-1} + u_t \\&= \alpha + \beta_0 \Delta X_t + (\beta_0 + \beta_1) X_{t-1} + u_t\end{aligned}$$

- Assumptions
  - ▶ (Sequential) Exogeneity:  $E[u_t | X_t, X_{t-1}, \dots, X_1] = 0$ . Or that error terms should not be correlated with current and past values of  $X$
  - ▶ Stationarity:  $Y$  and  $X$  should have stationary distributions and  $(Y_t, X_t)$  and  $(Y_{t-j}, X_{t-j})$  becomes independent as  $j$  gets large.
  - ▶  $Y$  and  $X$  has nonzero finite moments
  - ▶ There is no perfect multicollinearity

## Dynamic Causal analysis: Standard errors

- Given that there is a possibility that autocorrelation can exist, we need a standard error that takes into account autocorrelation and heteroskedasticity.
- This is known as **heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent** errors (HAC errors).
- The takeaway is that standard errors in the typical STATA output can be wrong and we need to take a slightly different approach.
- Use `newey` in STATA, with  $m$  lags for standard errors

$$m = 0.75 \times T^{1/3}$$

where  $T$  is the total time periods in the data