Recitation 8

Seung-hun Lee

Columbia University

November 29th, 2021

Why use IV? Deal with $E[u_i|X_i] \neq 0$

- Recall that OLS estimates can be biased when we have omitted variable bias, measurement error, and simultaneity bias
- Instrumental variable: Allows us to eliminate bias
 - When you have an independent variable X, there are parts of this variable that are correlated with u and the other parts that are independent of u.
 - ▶ If we are able to find Z that is correlated with X but not with u, using this Z variable would allow you to sort variable X into what is correlate with u and what is not.
 - \triangleright Then, using the part that is not correlated with u, variable Z allows us to get unbiased estimates.

Uses of IV

- ► Endogenous variable: *X* determined as choice, not as given
- Simultaneity bias: Y can lead to changes in X
- Omitted variable bias: Unincorporated determinant of Y
- Measurement error in X

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 2 / 14

What conditions should IV satisfy?

- Must satisfy
 - **Relevance**: Variable Z satisfies relevancy condition if $cov(X, Z) \neq 0$
 - **Exogeneity**: Variable Z satisfies exogeneity condition if cov(Z, u) = 0
- In words,
 - Variable Z should be somewhat correlated with the variable X
 - Variable Z should not be correlated with u
 - ► (For exogeneity): Variable Z should affect Y only through X, or when X is controlled for, Z alone should not affect Y (exclusion)
- More on exclusion (on model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u$)

$$cov(Z, u) = cov(Z, Y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X) = 0$$

$$= cov(Z, Y) - cov(Z, \beta_0) - cov(Z, \beta_1 X) = 0$$

$$\implies cov(Z, Y) = cov(Z, \beta_1 X)$$

This condition means that Z is correlated with Y *only through X*

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 3 / 14

2SLS estimation

Regress with X as dependent, Z as independent variable. Regress

$$X = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Z + v$$

From this regression, obtain the predicted values of X, denoted as $\hat{X} = \hat{\delta}_0 + \hat{\delta}_1 Z$. This \hat{X} is the part that is related with Z but is uncorrelated with u.

• Regress with Y as dependent, \hat{X} as independent variable. Regressing with this \hat{X} will satisfy the $E[u|\hat{X}]=0$ condition, as the \hat{X} is uncorrelated with u. Thus, your regression equation looks like this:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \hat{X} + u$$

Then you run a OLS regression on the above equation and get the 2SLS estimator $\hat{\beta}_{TSLS}$.

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 4 / 14

Estimating using a covariance method

Note that

$$cov(Z, Y) = cov(Z, \beta_1 X) \implies cov(Z, Y) = \beta_1 cov(Z, X)$$

From this, we can get

$$\beta_1 = \frac{cov(Z, Y)}{cov(Z, X)}$$

where division is possible because we require a relevancy condition ($cov(Z, X) \neq 0$)

• Taking the sample counterparts, we get our IV estimator

$$\hat{eta}_{TSLS} = rac{oldsymbol{s}_{ZY}}{oldsymbol{s}_{ZX}}$$

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 5 / 14

Reduced form method

Denote

$$X = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + v$$
 (where $cov(Z, v) = 0$)
 $Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + w$ (where $cov(Z, w) = 0$)

• Rewrite the first equation in terms of Z and get

$$Z = \frac{X}{\pi_1} - \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_1} - \frac{v}{\pi_1}$$

• Then plug this into the second equation. Reorganizing this equation, you should get

$$Y = \left(\gamma_0 - \frac{\pi_0 \gamma_1}{\pi_1}\right) + \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1}\right) X + \left(w - \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1}u\right)$$

• As a result, β_1 from the equation with X as independent variable is $\beta_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1}$.

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 6 / 14

IV estimate is consistent!

Consistency: 2SLS can be written as

$$\hat{\beta}_{1,TSLS} = \frac{s_{zy}}{s_{zx}} \simeq \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(X_i - \bar{X})}$$

As $n \to \infty$, we can show that $\hat{\beta}_{1,TSLS} \to \beta_1$

- Note that $cov(Z, Y) = cov(Z, \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u) = \beta_1 cov(Z, X) + cov(Z, u)$
- If Z is a valid IV, cov(Z, u) = 0
- ► So $\hat{\beta}_{1,TSLS} \rightarrow \frac{\beta_1 cov(Z,X)}{cov(Z,X)} = \beta_1 \text{ QED}$

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 7 / 14

Multivariate case and key assumptions

Suppose that we have

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + ... + \beta_k X_{ki} + \delta_1 W_{1i} + ... + \delta_l W_{li} + u_i$$

where X variables are endogenous and W variables are exogenous.

- Assume that we have found a total of m (not necessarily equal to k) variables that could qualify as IVs, all of them satisfying
 - IV1 $E[u_i|W_{1i},...,W_{li}]=0$ (At least for exogenous variables, this is satisfied)
 - IV2 $(Y_i, X_{1i}, ..., X_{ki}, W_{1i}, ..., W_{li}, Z_{1i}, ..., Z_{mi})$ are IID
 - IV3 The Y, X, W, Z variables all have nonzero finite 4th moments
 - IV4 The instruments are valid. That is $cov(Z_{ji}, u_i) = 0$ for all j = 1, ..., m and relevancy conditions are satisfied for all Z's.

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 8 / 14

Identification issues on multivariate case

- A parameter is identified if different values of the parameter produce different distributions
 of the data.
- In other words, there is a one-to-one matching of the parameters and the distributions.
- If it is the case that the same distribution can be obtained from different parameter values, we say that the parameters are not identified
- If we have k endogenous regressors and m IV's
 - ▶ **Just-identified**: When m = k. There are just enough instruments to identify k endogenous variables
 - **Overidentified**: When m > k. There are more than enough instruments.
 - ▶ Underidentified: When m < k. There are not enough instruments. The coefficients for X's will not be identified</p>
- Need at least as much instrumental variables as the number of endogenous regressors you have

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 9 / 14

IV estimators are normally distributed (but only in large samples)

Break down the 2SLS estimators into

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta}_{TSLS} &= \frac{s_{zy}}{s_{zx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(X_i - \bar{X})} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} = \beta_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} = \beta_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} \end{split}$$

• After subtracting both sdies by β_1 and multiplying both sides by \sqrt{n} , we get

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{TSLS} - \beta_1) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z}) u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z}) X_i}$$

- Denominator $\stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} cov(Z, X)$ by (weak) law of large numbers
- Numerators $\sim N(0, var[(Z \mu_Z)u])$ by central limit theorem.
- Thus, $\hat{\beta}_{TSLS}$ has a normal distribution.

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 10 / 14

We can directly test relevance condition

Assume we have

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 W_{1i} + ... + \beta_{1+r} W_{ri} + u_i$$

where only X_i is endogenous.

Suppose we have m instruments. Then our first stage equation looks like

$$X_{i} = \pi_{0} + \pi_{1}Z_{1i} + ... + \pi_{m}Z_{mi} + \pi_{(m+1)i}W_{1i} + ... + \pi_{(m+r)i}W_{ri} + v_{i}$$

- We say our instrument is relevant if at least one of $\pi_1,...,\pi_m$ is statistically nonzero.
- Run the F-test with these null and alternative hypothesis

$$H_0: \pi_1 = ... = \pi_m = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1: \neg H_0$$

 By rule of thumb, we want F-statistics to be larger than 10. Otherwise, we have a weak instrument problem (and IV estimator may not be normally distributed even in large numbers)

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 11 / 14

We can get a taste of exogeneity condition with many instruments

Consider the following case: We want to regress

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + ... + \beta_k X_{ki} + \delta_1 W_{1i} + ... + \delta_l W_{li} + u_i$$

and we found m possible candidates for instrumental variables, $Z_1, ..., Z_m$

- Overidentifying restriction test we conduct here is a J-test that uses TSLS estimators and not the hypothesized β values. The steps are as follows
 - Regress the above equation using 2SLS. Obtain the residuals $\hat{u}_i = Y_i \widehat{Y}_i$
 - Regress residuals onto instruments and other controls, namely

$$\hat{u}_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{1i} + \ldots + \alpha_m Z_{mi} + \alpha_{m+1} W_{1i} + \ldots + \alpha_{m+l} W_{li} + v_i$$

- **3** Compute the *F*-statistics from $H_0: \alpha_1 = ... = \alpha_m = 0$ vs. $H_1: \neg H_0$
- Operive the *J* statistics as follows $J = m \times F$. *J* follows χ^2_{m-k} distribution
- 1 If you have an endogenous IV, you will end up rejecting the null
- Then, you need to make a guess on which instrument is violating the exogeneity condition, drop those, and redo the above procedure. (Good luck!)

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 12 / 14

Overidentification test as a 'distance' between different IVs

- Assume a case with one endogenous variable X and two IVs (Z_1, Z_2)
- The estimates for the coefficient for X are

$$\hat{\beta}_{Z_1} = \frac{cov(Z_1, Y)}{cov(Z_1, X)}, \ \hat{\beta}_{Z_2} = \frac{cov(Z_2, Y)}{cov(Z_2, X)}$$

The numbers look different, although both $\hat{\beta}$'s are suppose to be the same coefficient estimating impact of X_1 on Y.

- The overidentification test checks whether the differences between $\hat{\beta}_{Z_1}$, $\hat{\beta}_{Z_2}$ are large.
 - If they converge to same item, we do not have to worry. Otherwise, one or more IV might be faulty

13 / 14 November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8

What if we have just enough IVs?

- To be very honest, there is not much we can do in terms of rigorous testing approach. This is usually the area of judgement call.
- One way to do this is to use the approach of exclusion restriction you argue that the instrumental variable Z affects Y only through X.
 - ▶ If Z affects Y directly without X, then the exclusion restriction fails.
- You try to persuade others based on logic, previous practices, or intuition (usually a combination of all three).

November 29th, 2021 Recitation 8 14 / 14