Recitation 9: IV estimation

Seung-hun Lee

Columbia University
Undergraduate Introduction to Econometrics Recitation

November 17th, 2022

Instrumental variables

Why use IV? Deal with $E[u_i|X_i] \neq 0$

- Recall that OLS estimates can be biased when we have omitted variable bias, measurement error, and simultaneity bias
- Instrumental variable: Allows us to eliminate bias
 - When you have an independent variable X, there are parts of this variable that are correlated with u and the other parts that are independent of u.
 - If we are able to find Z that is correlated with X but not with u, using this Z variable would allow you to sort variable X into what is correlate with u and what is not.
 - ► Then, using the part that is not correlated with *u*, variable *Z* allows us to get unbiased estimates.
- Uses of IV
 - Endogenous variable: X determined as choice, not as given
 - Simultaneity bias: Y can lead to changes in X
 - Omitted variable bias: Unincorporated determinant of Y
 - Measurement error in X

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 3 / 16

Association vs causation

- Association refers to any type of statistical dependence
 - It mostly refers to correlation, which is

$$corr(x,y) = \frac{cov(x,y)}{\sqrt{var(x)}\sqrt{var(y)}}$$

- Running an OLS is practically equivalent to finding the correlation
 - From the population regression,

$$\beta_1 = \frac{E[X - E[X]]E[Y - E[Y]]}{(E[X - E[X]])^2} = \operatorname{corr}(X, Y) \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{var}(Y)}{\operatorname{var}(X)}}$$

implying that β_1 is obtained by multiplying corr(X, Y) with some constant

- Same correlation direction (variances are nonnegative)
- ▶ Not good for finding causal effect of X on Y: Switching the two would give same results

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 4 / 16

What conditions should IV satisfy?

- Must satisfy
 - ▶ **Relevance**: Variable Z satisfies relevancy condition if $cov(X, Z) \neq 0$
 - **Exogeneity**: Variable Z satisfies exogeneity condition if cov(Z, u) = 0
- In words,
 - ▶ Variable Z should be somewhat correlated with the variable X
 - Variable Z should not be correlated with u
 - ► (For exogeneity): Variable Z should affect Y only through X, or when X is controlled for, Z alone should not affect Y (exclusion)
- More on exclusion (on model $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u$)

$$cov(Z, u) = cov(Z, Y - \beta_0 - \beta_1 X) = 0$$

= $cov(Z, Y) - cov(Z, \beta_0) - cov(Z, \beta_1 X) = 0$
 $\implies cov(Z, Y) = cov(Z, \beta_1 X)$

This condition means that Z is correlated with Y only through X

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 5 / 16

2SLS estimation

• Regress with X as dependent, Z as independent variable. Regress

$$X = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Z + v$$

From this regression, obtain the predicted values of X, denoted as $\hat{X} = \hat{\delta}_0 + \hat{\delta}_1 Z$. This \hat{X} is the part that is related with Z but is uncorrelated with u.

• Regress with Y as dependent, \hat{X} as independent variable. Regressing with this \hat{X} will satisfy the $E[u|\hat{X}] = 0$ condition, as the \hat{X} is uncorrelated with u. Thus, your regression equation looks like this:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \hat{X} + u$$

Then you run a OLS regression on the above equation and get the 2SLS estimator $\hat{\beta}_{TSLS}$.

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 6 / 16

Estimating using a covariance method

Note that

$$cov(Z, Y) = cov(Z, \beta_1 X) \implies cov(Z, Y) = \beta_1 cov(Z, X)$$

From this, we can get

$$\beta_1 = \frac{cov(Z, Y)}{cov(Z, X)}$$

where division is possible because we require a relevancy condition ($cov(Z, X) \neq 0$)

Taking the sample counterparts, we get our IV estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{TSLS} = \frac{s_{ZY}}{s_{ZX}}$$

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 7 / 16

Reduced form method

Denote

$$X = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + v$$
 (where $cov(Z, v) = 0$)
 $Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Z + w$ (where $cov(Z, w) = 0$)

• Rewrite the first equation in terms of Z and get

$$Z = \frac{X}{\pi_1} - \frac{\pi_0}{\pi_1} - \frac{v}{\pi_1}$$

• Then plug this into the second equation. Reorganizing this equation, you should get

$$Y = \left(\gamma_0 - \frac{\pi_0 \gamma_1}{\pi_1}\right) + \left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1}\right) X + \left(w - \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1}u\right)$$

• As a result, β_1 from the equation with X as independent variable is $\beta_1 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\pi_1}$.

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 8 / 16

IV estimate is consistent!

Consistency: 2SLS can be written as

$$\hat{\beta}_{1,TSLS} = \frac{s_{zy}}{s_{zx}} \simeq \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(X_i - \bar{X})}$$

As $n \to \infty$, we can show that $\hat{\beta}_{1,TSLS} \to \beta_1$

Note that
$$cov(Z, Y) = cov(Z, \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + u) = \beta_1 cov(Z, X) + cov(Z, u)$$

• If Z is a valid IV,
$$cov(Z, u) = 0$$

▶ So
$$\hat{\beta}_{1,TSLS} \rightarrow \frac{\beta_1 cov(Z,X)}{cov(Z,X)} = \beta_1 \text{ QED}$$

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 9 / 16

IV estimators are normally distributed (but only in large samples)

Break down the 2SLS estimators into

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta}_{\text{TSLS}} &= \frac{s_{zy}}{s_{zx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(X_i - \bar{X})} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})Y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + u_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} = \beta_1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})u_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} = \beta_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z})X_i} \end{split}$$

• After subtracting both sdies by β_1 and multiplying both sides by \sqrt{n} , we get

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_{\mathsf{TSLS}} - \beta_1) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z}) u_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_i - \bar{Z}) X_i}$$

- Denominator $\stackrel{p}{\rightarrow} cov(Z, X)$ by (weak) law of large numbers
- Numerators $\sim N(0, var[(Z \mu_Z)u])$ by central limit theorem.
- Thus, $\hat{\beta}_{TSLS}$ has a normal distribution.

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 10 / 16

Multivariate case and Identification issues

Suppose that we have

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + ... + \beta_k X_{ki} + \delta_1 W_{1i} + ... + \delta_l W_{li} + u_i$$

where X variables are endogenous and W variables are exogenous.

- Assume that we have found a total of m (not necessarily equal to k) variables that could qualify as IVs, all of them satisfying
 - ▶ **Just-identified**: When m = k. There are just enough instruments to identify k endogenous variables
 - ▶ **Overidentified**: When m > k. There are more than enough instruments.
 - ▶ **Underidentified**: When m < k. There are not enough instruments. The coefficients for X's will not be identified
- Need at least as much instrumental variables as the number of endogenous regressors you have

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 11 / 16

IV assumptions

IV assumptions, multiple variable case

- **IV1** $E[u_i|W_{1i},...,W_{li}]=0$ (At least for exogenous variables, this is satisfied)
- IV2 $(Y_i, X_{1i}, ..., X_{ki}, W_{1i}, ..., W_{li}, Z_{1i}, ..., Z_{mi})$ are IID
- IV3 The Y, X, W, Z variables all have nonzero finite 4th moments
- **IV4** The instruments are valid. That is $cov(Z_{ii}, u_i) = 0$ for all i = 1, ..., m and relevancy conditions are satisfied for all Z's.

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 12 / 16

We can directly test relevance condition

Assume we have

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 W_{1i} + ... + \beta_{1+r} W_{ri} + u_i$$

where only X_i is endogenous.

 \bullet Suppose we have m instruments. Then our first stage equation looks like

$$X_i = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z_{1i} + ... + \pi_m Z_{mi} + \pi_{(m+1)i} W_{1i} + ... + \pi_{(m+r)i} W_{ri} + v_i$$

- We say our instrument is relevant if at least one of $\pi_1,...,\pi_m$ is statistically nonzero.
- Run the *F*-test with these null and alternative hypothesis

$$H_0: \pi_1 = ... = \pi_m = 0 \text{ vs. } H_1: \neg H_0$$

• By rule of thumb, we want *F*-statistics to be larger than 10. Otherwise, we have a weak instrument problem (and IV estimator may not be normally distributed even in large numbers)

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 13 / 16

We can get a taste of exogeneity condition with many instruments

• Consider the following case: We want to regress

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{1i} + ... + \beta_k X_{ki} + \delta_1 W_{1i} + ... + \delta_l W_{li} + u_i$$

and we found m possible candidates for instrumental variables, $Z_1, ..., Z_m$

- Overidentifying restriction test we conduct here is a J-test that uses TSLS estimators and not the hypothesized β values. The steps are as follows
 - **1** Regress the above equation using 2SLS. Obtain the residuals $\hat{u}_i = Y_i \hat{Y}_i$
 - Regress residuals onto instruments and other controls, namely

$$\hat{u}_i = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{1i} + \dots + \alpha_m Z_{mi} + \alpha_{m+1} W_{1i} + \dots + \alpha_{m+l} W_{li} + v_i$$

- **3** Compute the *F*-statistics from $H_0: \alpha_1 = ... = \alpha_m = 0$ vs. $H_1: \neg H_0$
- **1** Derive the *J* statistics as follows $J = m \times F$. *J* follows χ^2_{m-k} distribution
- If you have an endogenous IV, you will end up rejecting the null
- Then, you need to make a guess on which instrument is violating the exogeneity condition, drop those, and redo the above procedure. (Good luck!)

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 14 / 16

Overidentification test as a 'distance' between different IVs

- Assume a case with one endogenous variable X and two IVs (Z_1, Z_2)
- The estimates for the coefficient for X are

$$\hat{\beta}_{Z_1} = \frac{cov(Z_1, Y)}{cov(Z_1, X)}, \ \hat{\beta}_{Z_2} = \frac{cov(Z_2, Y)}{cov(Z_2, X)}$$

The numbers look different, although both $\hat{\beta}$'s are suppose to be the same coefficient estimating impact of X_1 on Y.

- The overidentification test checks whether the differences between $\hat{\beta}_{Z_1}$, $\hat{\beta}_{Z_2}$ are large.
 - If they converge to same item, we do not have to worry. Otherwise, one or more IV might be faulty

15 / 16 November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412)

What if we have just enough IVs?

- To be very honest, there is not much we can do in terms of rigorous testing approach. This is usually the area of judgement call.
- One way to do this is to use the approach of exclusion restriction you argue that the instrumental variable *Z* affects *Y* only through *X*.
 - ▶ If Z affects Y directly without X, then the exclusion restriction fails.
- You try to persuade others based on logic, previous practices, or intuition (usually a combination of all three).

November 17th, 2022 Recitation 9 (UN 3412) 16 / 16