```
Assignment 3. Sensor fusion 2022/23. Vsevolod Hulchuk, HYVBVO.

In [9]: import numpy as np from collections import OrderedDict
```

stdout, stderr = process.communicate() end = time.time() execution time = end - start output\_file = os.path.join("output", "output.txt") with open(output file) as f: output str = f.read() rot\_err, tr\_err, avg\_distance, n\_iter = (float(i) for i in output\_str.split("\n")[2].split(": " results["time"] = execution\_time results["n\_iter"] = n\_iter results["avg\_distance"] = avg\_distance results["tr\_error"] = tr\_err results["rot\_err"] = rot\_err return results Depending on the added noise In [13]: cur\_params = default\_params.copy() results\_dict = dict() for noise in range(0, 20, 2):

## In [14]: def plot\_results(results): first\_key = list(results.keys())[0] metrics\_names\_list = list(results[first]); fig\_axes = plt\_subplots(prows=1, pcc)

15.25

를 15.00

14.75

results\_dict[noise] = run\_algo(cur\_params)

80

60

40

2.5 5.0

7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5

plt.suptitle("Metrics depending on noise")

xs1 = list(results\_dict\_icp.keys())
xs2 = list(results\_dict\_tr.keys())

metric name = metrics names list[i]

for i in range(4):
 ax = axes[i]

In [82]: cur\_params = default\_params.copy()

for i in range(4):
 ax = axes[i]

different overlaps

In [19]: cur\_params = default\_params.copy()

for i in range(4):
 ax = axes[i]

ax.legend()

TrICP

0.6

0.5

plt.plot()

22.5

20.0

17.5

15.0

Out[21]: []

results\_dict\_icp\_overlap = dict()
results\_dict\_tr\_overlap = dict()

for overlap in np.arange(0.5, 1.001, 0.1):
 cur\_params["overlap\_init"] = overlap
 cur\_params["overlap\_icp"] = 1.0

cur\_params["overlap\_icp"] = 0.8

In [21]: first\_key = list(results\_dict\_icp\_overlap.keys())[0]

plt.suptitle("Metrics depending on overlap")

metric\_name = metrics\_names\_list[i]

ax.set\_title(metric\_name)

n\_iter

xs1 = list(results\_dict\_icp\_overlap.keys())
xs2 = list(results\_dict\_tr\_overlap.keys())

3000

We can see that regular ICP performs better only for full overlap case

0.6

0.7

fig, axes = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=2, sharex=False, figsize=(20, 5))

1.0

execution time was a requirement

xs1 = list(results\_dict\_icp\_overlap.keys())
xs2 = list(results\_dict\_tr\_overlap.keys())

ax.plot(xs1, metrics\_values1, label="ICP")
ax.plot(xs2, metrics\_values2, label="TrICP")

In [22]: first\_key = list(results\_dict\_icp\_overlap.keys())[0]
metrics names list = ["time", "n iter"]

for i in range(len(metrics\_names\_list)):

metric\_name = metrics\_names\_list[i]

plt.suptitle("Time vs n\_iter")

ax.set\_ylabel("value")
ax.set\_xlabel("overlap")
ax.set\_title(metric\_name)

ax = axes[i]

ax.legend()

plt.plot()

TrICP

Initial misalligned:

In [23]: **from** IPython.display **import** Image

from IPython.core.display import HTML

0.6

Out[22]: []

Out[23]:

0.9

results\_dict\_icp\_roll = dict()
results dict tr roll = dict()

for roll in np.arange(0, 0.5, 0.1):
 cur\_params["roll"] = roll

cur\_params["overlap\_icp"] = 1.0

cur params["overlap icp"] = 0.8

In [85]: first key = list(results dict icp roll.keys())[0]

plt.suptitle("Metrics depending on noise")

xs1 = list(results\_dict\_icp\_roll.keys())
xs2 = list(results\_dict\_tr\_roll.keys())

results\_dict\_icp\_roll[roll] = run\_algo(cur\_params)

results\_dict\_tr\_roll[roll] = run\_algo(cur\_params)

metrics\_names\_list = list(results\_dict\_icp\_roll[first\_key].keys())[1:]
fig, axes = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=4, sharex=False, figsize=(20, 5))

cur params["noise"] = noise

metrics\_names\_list = list(results[first\_key].keys())[1:] fig, axes = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=4, sharex=False, figsize=(20, 5)) plt.suptitle("Metrics depending on noise") for i in range(4): ax = axes[i]xs = list(results.keys()) metric\_name = metrics\_names\_list[i] # print(results) metrics values = [res[metric name] for res in results.values()] ax.plot(xs, metrics values, label=metric name) ax.set ylabel("value") ax.set xlabel("noise") ax.set title(metric name) ax.legend() plt.plot() In [15]: plot\_results(results\_dict) Metrics depending on noise avg\_distance 160 16.00 n\_iter avg\_distance not err 0.025 15.75 140 0.4 15.50 0.020 120

7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0 2.5 5.0 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5

0.015

0.010

0.005

2.5 5.0

7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5

metrics\_values1 = [res[metric\_name] for res in results\_dict\_icp.values()] metrics values2 = [res[metric name] for res in results dict tr.values()] ax.plot(xs1, metrics\_values1, label="ICP") ax.plot(xs2, metrics values2, label="TrICP") ax.set ylabel("value") ax.set\_xlabel("translation") ax.set\_title(metric\_name) ax.legend() plt.plot() Out[75]: [] Metrics depending on noise n\_iter avg\_distance 3500 1.0 0.20 3000 0.8 2500 25 0.6 를 20 value 0.10 1500 0.4 15 1000 0.05 0.2 10 500 200 600 600 600 600 Same for rotation



And now let's nee how different algorithms perform depending on

In case TrICP does not know thew overlap ratio:

results\_dict\_icp\_overlap[overlap] = run algo(cur params)

results\_dict\_tr\_overlap[overlap] = run\_algo(cur\_params)

metrics\_names\_list = list(results\_dict\_icp\_overlap[first\_key].keys())[1:]
fig, axes = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=4, sharex=False, figsize=(20, 5))

(Otherwice it would just always perform as better as it is for 0.8 here)

metrics\_values2 = [res[metric\_name] for res in results\_dict\_tr\_overlap.values()]
ax.plot(xs1, metrics\_values1, label="ICP")
ax.plot(xs2, metrics\_values2, label="TrICP")
ax.set\_ylabel("value")
ax.set\_xlabel("overlap")

Metrics depending on overlap

0.8

0.6

— TrICP

tr\_error

0.8

0.9

0.7

TrICP

0.08

0.06

0.5

rot\_err

0.7

0.8

— ICP

1.0

0.9

metrics\_values1 = [res[metric\_name] for res in results\_dict\_icp\_overlap.values()]

10.0 - 7.5 - 5.0 - 7.5 - 5.0 - 7.5 - 5.0 - 7.5 -

0.9

Time is proportional to n\_iterations, but you can see it here cause

metrics\_values1 = [res[metric\_name] for res in results\_dict\_icp\_overlap.values()]
metrics\_values2 = [res[metric\_name] for res in results\_dict\_tr\_overlap.values()]

1.0

avg\_distance

9 10 - 9 15.0 - 9 12.5 - 10.0 -

And some visualizations of the alligned pointclouds:

Image(url= "http://server.seva-hul.com/media/IFRoS/SensFusion/init.jpg")

Time vs n iter

20.0

7.5

Alligned with naive ICP:

In [2]: Image(url= "http://server.seva-hul.com/media/IFRoS/SensFusion/naive.jpg")

Out[2]:

Alligned with trimmed ICP:

In [6]: Image(url= "http://server.seva-hul.com/media/IFRoS/SensFusion/trimmed.jpg")

Out[6]: