

WEEK 6

Create a knowledgebase using propositional logic and show that the given query entails the knowledge base or not

```
def evaluate_expression(q, p, r):
```

```
    # Evaluate the given expression  $(\sim q \vee \sim p \vee r) \wedge (\sim q \wedge p) \wedge q$ 
```

```
    expression_result = ((not q or not p or r) and (not q and p) and q)
```

```
    return expression_result
```

```
def generate_truth_table():
```

```
    # Print the header of the truth table
```

```
    print(" q | p | r | Expression (KB) | Query (r)")
```

```
    print("---|---|---|-----|-----")
```

```
    # Evaluate and print each row of the truth table
```

```
    for q in [True, False]:
```

```
        for p in [True, False]:
```

```
            for r in [True, False]:
```

```
                expression_result = evaluate_expression(q, p, r)
```

```
                query_result = r
```

```
                print(f" {q} | {p} | {r} | {expression_result} | {query_result}")
```

```
def query_entails_knowledge():
```

```
    # Check if query entails the knowledge
```

```
    for q in [True, False]:
```

```
        for p in [True, False]:
```

```
            for r in [True, False]:
```

```
                expression_result = evaluate_expression(q, p, r)
```

```
                query_result = r
```

```
# If the expression is true and the query is false, query does not entail the knowledge
```

```
if expression_result and not query_result:
```

```
    return False
```

```
# If the loop completes without returning, query entails the knowledge
```

```
return True
```

```
def main():
```

```
    # Generate and print the truth table
```

```
    generate_truth_table()
```

```
    # Check if query entails the knowledge and print the result
```

```
    if query_entails_knowledge():
```

```
        print("\nQuery entails the knowledge.")
```

```
    else:
```

```
        print("\nQuery does not entail the knowledge.")
```

```
if __name__ == "__main__":
```

```
    main()
```

```
➞  q | p | r | Expression (KB) | Query (r)
---|---|---|-----|-----
True | True | True | False | True
True | True | False | False | False
True | False | True | False | True
True | False | False | False | False
False | True | True | False | True
False | True | False | False | False
False | False | True | False | True
False | False | False | False | False
```

```
Query entails the knowledge.
```

q	p	r	Expression (KB)	Query (r)
True	True	True	True	True
True	True	False	True	False
True	False	True	False	False
True	False	False	True	False
False	True	True	True	True
False	True	False	True	False
False	False	True	False	False
False	False	False	False	False

Query does not entail the knowledge.

WEEK 7

Create a knowledgebase using propositional logic and prove the given query using resolution.

```
import re
```

```
def main(rules, goal):
```

```
    rules = rules.split(' ')
```

```
    steps = resolve(rules, goal)
```

```
    print("\nStep\t|Clause\t|Derivation\t')
```

```
    print('-' * 30)
```

```
    i = 1
```

```
    for step in steps:
```

```
        print(f' {i}. \t| {step} \t| {steps[step]} \t')
```

```
        i += 1
```

```
def negate(term):
```

```
    return f'~{term}' if term[0] != '~' else term[1]
```

```
def reverse(clause):
```

```
    if len(clause) > 2:
```

```
        t = split_terms(clause)
```

```
    return f'{t[1]}v{t[0]}'  
return ""
```

```
def split_terms(rule):  
    exp = '(~*[PQRS])'  
    terms = re.findall(exp, rule)  
    return terms
```

```
def contradiction(goal, clause):  
    contradictions = [ f'{goal}v{negate(goal)}', f'{negate(goal)}v{goal}']  
    return clause in contradictions or reverse(clause) in contradictions
```

```
def resolve(rules, goal):  
    temp = rules.copy()  
    temp += [negate(goal)]  
    steps = dict()  
    for rule in temp:  
        steps[rule] = 'Given.'  
    steps[negate(goal)] = 'Negated conclusion.'  
    i = 0  
    while i < len(temp):  
        n = len(temp)  
        j = (i + 1) % n  
        clauses = []  
        while j != i:  
            terms1 = split_terms(temp[i])  
            terms2 = split_terms(temp[j])  
            for c in terms1:  
                if negate(c) in terms2:  
                    t1 = [t for t in terms1 if t != c]  
                    t2 = [t for t in terms2 if t != negate(c)]  
                    gen = t1 + t2
```

```

if len(gen) == 2:
    if gen[0] != negate(gen[1]):
        clauses += [f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}']
    else:
        if contradiction(goal,f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}'):
            temp.append(f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}')
            steps[""] = f"Resolved {temp[i]} and {temp[j]} to {temp[-1]}, which is in turn null. \
\nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed as true. Hence, {goal} is
true."

            return steps
elif len(gen) == 1:
    clauses += [f'{gen[0]}']
else:
    if contradiction(goal,f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}'):
        temp.append(f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}')
        steps[""] = f"Resolved {temp[i]} and {temp[j]} to {temp[-1]}, which is in turn null. \
\nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed as true. Hence, {goal} is
true."

        return steps

for clause in clauses:
    if clause not in temp and clause != reverse(clause) and reverse(clause) not in temp:
        temp.append(clause)
        steps[clause] = f'Resolved from {temp[i]} and {temp[j]}.'

j = (j + 1) % n
i += 1
return steps

```

```

[6] rules = 'Rv~P Rv~Q ~RvP ~RvQ' # (P^Q) <=> R : (Rv~P)v(Rv~Q)^(~RvP)^(~RvQ)
goal = 'R'
main(rules, goal)

```

Step	Clause	Derivation
1.	Rv~P	Given.
2.	Rv~Q	Given.
3.	~RvP	Given.
4.	~RvQ	Given.
5.	~R	Negated conclusion.
6.		Resolved Rv~P and ~RvP to Rv~R, which is in turn null.

A contradiction is found when ~R is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.

```

[8] rules = 'PvQ PvR ~PvR RvS Rv~Q ~Sv~Q' # (P=>Q)=>Q, (P=>P)=>R, (R=>S)=>~(S=>Q)
main(rules, 'R')

```



Step	Clause	Derivation
1.	PvQ	Given.
2.	PvR	Given.
3.	~PvR	Given.
4.	RvS	Given.
5.	Rv~Q	Given.
6.	~Sv~Q	Given.
7.	~R	Negated conclusion.
8.	QvR	Resolved from PvQ and ~PvR.
9.	Pv~S	Resolved from PvQ and ~Sv~Q.
10.	P	Resolved from PvR and ~R.
11.	~P	Resolved from ~PvR and ~R.
12.	Rv~S	Resolved from ~PvR and Pv~S.
13.	R	Resolved from ~PvR and P.
14.	S	Resolved from RvS and ~R.
15.	~Q	Resolved from Rv~Q and ~R.
16.	Q	Resolved from ~R and QvR.
17.	~S	Resolved from ~R and Rv~S.
18.		Resolved ~R and R to ~RvR, which is in turn null.

A contradiction is found when ~R is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.