|  |
| --- |
| **Test Strategy Document**  **(TSD)** |

Issue Date: 05/13/2019

**SAMProject**

Contents

[1. Test Strategy Document Purpose and Objectives 3](#_Toc9001363)

[2. Project Scope 4](#_Toc9001364)

[3. Test Objectives & Approach 6](#_Toc9001365)

[Test Objectives 6](#_Toc9001366)

[Test Approach 6](#_Toc9001367)

[4. Software Testing Lifecycle 8](#_Toc9001368)

[Test Tools 8](#_Toc9001369)

[Test Products 8](#_Toc9001370)

[5. Roles & Responsibilities 9](#_Toc9001371)

[6. Test Milestones 9](#_Toc9001372)

[7. Test Environment and Release Management 10](#_Toc9001373)

[Test Environment 10](#_Toc9001374)

[Code Merge Process 10](#_Toc9001375)

[Test Environment 10](#_Toc9001376)

[8. Test Deliverables 11](#_Toc9001377)

[9. Acceptance Process and Defect Management 11](#_Toc9001378)

[Defect Prioritisation 11](#_Toc9001379)

[9.1.1 Defect Severity 12](#_Toc9001380)

[9.1.2 Defect Impact 13](#_Toc9001381)

[10. Entry and Exit Criteria 13](#_Toc9001382)

[Entry Criteria 13](#_Toc9001383)

[Exit Criteria 13](#_Toc9001384)

[11. Dependencies 13](#_Toc9001385)

[12. Risks & Contingencies 14](#_Toc9001386)

[13. Assumptions & Constraints 14](#_Toc9001387)

[Assumptions 14](#_Toc9001388)

[Constraints 15](#_Toc9001389)

[14. Communication Plan 15](#_Toc9001390)

1. Test Strategy Document Purpose and Objectives

The purpose of the Test Strategy Document (TSD) is to define and describe the testing approach for the SAM Software project phase roll out.

The main objectives of the TSD are to:

* Establish an overarching and comprehensive framework and approach that covers all test planning, test design and test execution across all delivery test streams within the project.
* Describe the project test activities and deliverables.
* Present the planned test milestones, any assumptions and/or test dependencies to key project stakeholders, both internal and external.
* Highlight the entry and exit criteria for each of the testing phases.
* Define the test coverage management, defect management and other testing-related processes.
* Specify the test tools, test environments and test data requirements.
* Identify risks, impacts and mitigation actions.
* Establish the test team structure, roles and responsibilities required to complete the testing within the planned timeframes.

This document is not intended to provide detailed information regarding each test activity planned for Phase 1 roll-out. The Detailed Test Plans produced must be compatible with the framework, concepts and the overarching approach described in this strategy document.

1. Project Scope

SAM will provide the agreed capabilities and features, as listed in the below table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Capabilities** | **Ribbon (Features)** | **Phase 1** |
|  |
| **File Tab** | New | X |
| Open | X |
| Save | X |
| Saves as | X |
| Export | X |
| Back button | X |
| Window Minimize / Restore / Maximized | X |
| Window Title | X |
|  | |  |
| **Home Tab** | Dividers (4X) | X |
| Paste | X |
| Copy | X |
| Delete | X |
| Undo | X |
| Redo | X |
| Cut | X |
| Rename (Edit??) | X |
| Auto Recalculate | X |
| Insert | X |
| Find | X |
| Properties | X |
| Tabular View | X |
| Object Setup | X |
| Move Up | X |
| Move Down | X |
| Force Recalculation | X |
| Protect | X |
| Units | X |
| Show Ancestry | X |
| Level | X |
| Run | X |
| Record Macro | X |
|  | |  |
| **Layout Tab** | Design Explorer | X |
| Graphics | X |
| Messages pane | X |
| KCL Panel | X |
| Help | X |
|  | |  |
| **Temp Location Tab** | Link to Paramarine | X |
| Link to Excel | X |
| Input Valve | X |
| Output Valve | X |
| Trace Anscestor (Spelling mistake - Ancestor) | X |
| Trace Descendents | X |
| Remove Desc Trace | X |
| Remove Ancs Trace | X |
| Remove Traces | X |
|  | |  |
| **SAM Tab** | All Scenarios | X |
| Additional Scenarios | X |
| Random Scenario | X |
| Update Results | X |
| Compare |  |
| Optimise | X |
|  |  |  |
| **Quick Access Toolbar** | Save | X |
|  | Undo | X |
|  | Redo | X |
|  | Pause | X |
|  | Auto Recalculate | X |
|  | No Name (Pause) (BUG??) | X |
|  | Play (No name??? Bug ???) | X |
|  | |  |
| **Settings Tab** | Decimal Places | X |
| Text   * + Text color   + Protected   + Constrained Input   + Constrained Output   + Overridden Output | X |
| Graphs   * + Probability Distribution   + Histogram | X |
| Excel   * + Link In   + Link Out | X |
| Max. number of columns [20,……20000] | X |
| Prompt user if rows exceed [9,999,999] | X |
| Auto remove previous messages (Automatically removes previous message) | X |
| Max. number of messages [10,000 limit] | X |
| Messages Plane   * + Auto remove previous messages   + Max. number of messages [10,000] | X |
| Auto adjust the width of the design | X |
| Min. width | X |
| Max. width | X |
| Global Graph Series Settings | X |
| Zero | X |
| Hide All   * Minor * Major | X |
| Legend | X |
| Legend Position   * Top * Right * Bottom | X |
| Advanced  Edit/delete solid body split operations | X |
|  | X |
|  | |  |

1. Test Objectives & Approach

## Test Objectives

The primary objective for testing is to validate that the developed SAM solution conforms to the acceptance criteria agreed by QinetiQ as well as ensuring that:

* Functionality – SAM satisfies all mandatory requirements.
* Efficiency and Performance – SAM performs within acceptable response times and can support anticipated volumes in the future.
* Security and Privacy – SAM facilitates appropriate management of user data that complies with legal and regulatory requirements.
* Reliability and Availability – SAM is fault tolerant, available for use when required and has capabilities to recover from failovers.
* Usability – SAM facilitates friendly and easy user navigation and operation.

## Test Approach

A phased approach for testing will be adopted by Test Team, facilitating stage containment and a level of control over software quality. This quality control is achieved through the explicit use of Entry and Exit Criteria per test phase. The test phases are organised such that optimal quality is attained in the most optimum manner.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **PROJECTED MILESTONE** |  |
| **Phase 1** | | **Completion Period** |
|  |  |  |
|  | **Task to be Completed** | **6 Weeks** |
|  | Understand the application |  |
|  | Walkthrough the application |  |
|  | Experiment with the application |  |
|  | Request for the requirement and design materials |  |
|  | Request for the application to be installed on the testers machine | |
|  | Capture the requirement |  |
|  | Break the requirement down in sections to be estimated and automated | |
|  | Estimate the requirement in terms of days and number of resources required | |
|  | Create a Gherkin BDD feature file which will be expanded in future phase. This document will then become the source of truth for QinetiQ. |  |
|  | Create a page object class for the object to automate |  |
|  | Create Test Method that tests a requirement as a proof of concept | |
|  | Create a report of the test |  |
|  | Demo the Tests/Spike created |  |
|  | Get feedback from stakeholders |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Phase 2** | **Task to be Completed** | **Completion Period** |
|  | Insert Ribbon |  |
|  | Requirement for Insert Ribbon | 12 weeks |

**TEST APPROACH**


* Unit Testing (UT): It is performed in order to verify that each basic component or unit of code within SAM can function and conforms to its design specification.
* System Testing (ST): It demonstrates that SAM meets the specified requirements. Test case design should aim to specifically test each functional requirement.
* System Integration Testing (SIT): It is focusing on testing two or more system components. Specifically, system integration testing is the testing of software components that have been distributed across multiple platforms (e.g., Application server, and database server) to produce failures caused by system integration defects (i.e., defects involving distribution and back-office integration).
* Regression Testing RT): Retesting of mandatory/critical, high priority requirements, normal flows of use cases, previous defects and functionality introduced in a recent release.
* Performance Testing (PT):
  + Test that SAM performs under normal conditions in a way that meets the specified performance-related non-functional requirements of availability and reliability.
  + Test that SAM performs in an acceptable way when a load is placed on the system which is the maximum expected and when the load exceeds the maximum expected. Load variations may include number of users, volume of transactions, volume of data.
  + Test that any given end to end business process/flow in SAM can be executed without any performance deterioration.
  + Test that the integrated SAM behaves as a whole system.

Test results will be used as evidence that these activities have been successfully completed by SAM and will be provided for reference.

GUI Automation Testactivity will be conducted with the aim to demonstrate SAM’s ability to meet the acceptance criteria for the various features agreed as in scope for delivery for Phase 1. The focus for AAT will be on the output of the system as viewed and used by SAM user.

1. Software Testing Lifecycle

For the Software Testing Lifecycle (STLC), SAM follows the traditional V model methodology, as highlighted in the diagram below.



## Test Tools

SAM will use a defect management tool, to manage all functional defects and business process issues raised during the test process.

The Test will report and provide regular status updates to the SAM PM.

## Test Products

SAM is tested in steps, in line with the build and release strategies, from individual units of code through integrated subsystems, to the deployed releases and to the final system. Each phase of testing will have a product associated to it. A test product is defined in terms of scope, requirement coverage, risks mitigation, testing techniques, entry and exit criteria.

1. Roles & Responsibilities

The main test roles identified are:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Role | Responsibility |
| Test Team  (TT) | Testing at every stage of the solution; this includes the creation of a Test Strategy Document.  Also is responsible for the following test components:   * Test Plan * Test Design & Script * Test Execution * Test Acceptance & Results.   Responsible for ensuring all requirements have been tested successfully, in line with the defined project test strategy and standards. |
| TestTeam  (TT) | * Will assume the responsibility for ensuring the release of the final solution, which will be done in the timescales agreed. * Ensuring that all steps in the process are documented and completed accordingly. |
|  |  |

1. Test Milestones

The internal test activity for Phase 1 is planned to be initiated by QinetiQ. Upon completion of this activity, we will start the cycle, activity planned to commence as planned.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **PROJECTED MILESTONE** |  |
| **Phase 1** | | **Completion Period** |
|  |  |  |
|  | **Task to be Completed** | **6 Weeks** |
|  | Understand the application |  |
|  | Walkthrough the application |  |
|  | Experiment with the application |  |
|  | Request for the requirement and design materials |  |
|  | Request for the application to be installed on the testers machine | |
|  | Capture the requirement |  |
|  | Break the requirement down in sections to be estimated and automated | |
|  | Estimate the requirement in terms of days and number of resources required | |
|  | Create a Gherkin BDD feature file which will be expanded in future phase. This document will then become the source of truth for QinetiQ. |  |
|  | Create a page object class for the object to automate |  |
|  | Create Test Method that tests a requirement as a proof of concept | |
|  | Create a report of the test |  |
|  | Demo the Tests/Spike created |  |
|  | Get feedback from stakeholders |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| **Phase 2** | **Task to be Completed** | **Completion Period** |
|  | Insert Ribbon |  |
|  | Requirement for Insert Ribbon | 12 weeks |

1. Test Environment and Release Management

## Test Environment

There following environments have been identified for SAM:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Notes** |
| Test | Initial build & deployment.  Execution of smoke & automation tests, regression test. |
|  |  |

## Code Merge Process

Following each code drop, a shakeout will be conducted, and the following is verified:

* Connectivity between the various applications
* Applications are running
* Test and Reference Data Exists

**Note:**

1. Code fix drops will be required into TEST Env. Code Drop frequencies and times to be agreed by both parties.
2. Detailed release notes covering the area of functionality that was changed, what business processes and integrations are impacted should be provided by Test Team.

## Test Environment

* The Test Team will ensure that the test environment is updated after each refresh.

1. Test Deliverables

The below are the test deliverables for TSD:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Deliverable Name** | **Description** |
| Test Strategy Document (TSD) |  |
| Test Plan | GUI Automation Test Plan for SAM Phase 1 |
| Test Completion Report | Summary report that describes implementation testing, outcome of the test phase, summary of the test coverage, summary of the defects etc. |

1. Acceptance Process and Defect Management

SAM will use a standard set of definitions for classifying defects throughout the different levels of testing.

Each test case will have expected results defined. Defect management and test management processes, Azure DevOps will be used at the project level for acceptance testing.

The agreed process is that all test failures will be recorded directly by Test Team, once raised, Test Team will be responsible for managing the fix process, as in the attached process flow.

## Defect Prioritisation

Priority identifies the Defect importance by correlating impact and severity which will drive the required response and resolution time, as shown in the following table:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Impact** | **Severity** | | | |
| Critical | High | Medium | Low |
| Extensive | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Significant | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Moderate | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Minor | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |

| **Priority** | **Business Definition** | **Target Fix Time** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Critical | Fixing the defect before test phase closure is Imperative. System cannot go live with this defect. | Before end of GUI Automation Test for the Test. |
| High | Fixing the defect before test phase closure is mandatory.  System cannot go live with this defect | Before end of GUI Automation Test for the Test |
| Medium | Fixing the defect before test phase closure is desirable but not mandatory. | Before the beginning Stage testing, for the next cycle or Release. |
| Low | Fixing for the defect should be deferred to the next cycle or the next release | These defects need to have workarounds and ETA’s against the defects. Require PM approval. |

## Defect Severity

The approach for dealing with any defects and associated severity is below:

| **Severity** | **Definition** | **Response Time** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1-CRITICAL | **Critical** impact on the ability to use application and its components.  **Critical** impact on system users.   * System Crashes | 4 hours |
| 2-HIGH | **High** impact on the ability to use application and its components.  **High** impact on system users.   * Content on critical application is incomplete | 1 business day |
| 3-MEDIUM | **Medium** impact on the ability to use application and its components.  **Medium** impact on system users   * Desirable functionality does not work as specified, a work around exists, but requires additional administrative support. | 2 business days - or as agreed depending on volume of Severity 1 and 2 Defects |
| 4-LOW | **Low impact** on the ability to use application and its components.  **Low** impact on system users   * Spelling or format error on internal report - no material impact on the end users * Anything agreed as nonessential or redundant for successful system function and operation | 3 business days or as agreed depending on volume of Severity 1 and 2 Defects |

## Defect Impact

The below is the criteria for determining the impact:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Impact** | **Definition** |
| Critical | The change is immediately necessary to prevent severe business/ service/ user impact. |
| High | The change is needed as soon as possible because of potentially damaging business/ service/ user impact. |
| Medium | The change will solve re-occurring problems or repair missing functionality.  This change can be scheduled. |
| Low | The change will lead to improvements, changes in workflow, or configuration. This change can be scheduled. |

1. Entry and Exit Criteria

## Entry Criteria

* Functional Specification Document (FSD)
* Test Strategy Document (TSD)
* Test Environment + Test Data Readiness
* Test summary and Completion reports
* Mitigation actions identified for any outstanding severity 3 and 4 defects
* Test Plan is completed, reviewed and signed-off by the Project Manager and key stakeholders
* Test Environment shaken out, shakeout testing is passed and available for testing
* Test Management tool /Defect Management Tool Readiness

## Exit Criteria

* All agreed Test case have been executed and documented.
* Successful GUI Automation Test (at least 80% success rate)
* No critical, major and show stopper defects
* Sign-off on test completion report
* All open severity 3 & 4 defects have been reviewed and assigned a resolution timeframe
* All UAT defects have been documented in the defect management tool
* GUI Automation Test Summary Report has been formally signed off

1. Dependencies

For successful delivery of the test effort outlined in this test strategy/plan the following dependencies/pre-requisites must be delivered as scheduled to ensure that test activities can commence and/or complete as planned.

* Provision Test Team with access to test environment
* Provision Test Team with access to defect management system

1. Risks & Contingencies

The following risks and contingencies ascertain to the testing strategy:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Risks** | **Contingencies** |
| Testing environment not available | Establish a communication plan for environment issue resolution and escalations points. |
| Testing environment misconfigured | Establish a communication plan for environment issue resolution and escalations points. |
| Testing environment performance issues | Establish a communication plan for environment issue resolution and escalations points. |
| Test environment restrictions or differences relative to production | Establish a communication plan for resolution and escalations. |
| Connectivity issues with all the interfaces. | Where the availability of an environment instance is limited, test cases related to the particular system will be scheduled to be executed at the particular window where the instance is available. (i.e. interline partner bookings) |

1. Assumptions & Constraints

## Assumptions

The following assumptions and constraints have been identified:

* Test Team will provide defect resolution during testing activity and comply with the priority rating and expected turnaround times above described.

## Constraints

* N/A

1. Communication Plan

This section describes the mechanisms and forums to be used in communicating progress and resolving issues across both parties throughout the testing lifecycle.

| Event | Agenda | Frequency | Target Audience |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Test Phase Kick-Off | * Communicate test plans and schedules to test team * Provide Environment details, etc. | Once only at the beginning of a Test Phase | Test Lead and Business Test Lead, Business Testers |
| Test Phase Kick Off | * Review and confirmation of test phase entry criteria | Once only at the beginning of a Test Phase | Project Manager, Test Lead, Business Analyst and Business Team |
| Progress Tracking – Test Case Prep | * Compare Planned vs. Actual | Weekly | to SAM PM |
| Progress Tracking – Test Execution | * Planned vs Actual * Defects (Open / Closed / New etc.) | Weekly | to SAM PM |
| Defects Triage | * Outstanding defects * Prioritising defects * Defect Resolution | Weekly | With SAM PM, |
| Test Phase Closure | * Test Phase Exit criteria verification * Outstanding defects summary and resolution plan * Test Execution Summary and Coverage | Test Completion Report to be provided at the end of every test phase | to SAM PM |
| Testing Issues and Risks | * Discuss issues & risks. Mitigation strategies | Weekly | to SAM PM |