

SFG NEXUS - Gaps and Questions for Clarification

Date: 5 October 2025

Analyst: Senior Business Systems Analyst

Company: SFG Aluminium (UK) Ltd

Purpose

This document identifies business rules, calculations, and workflows that require clarification from SFG stakeholders to ensure accurate implementation of the NEXUS system and associated SharePoint/Planner automation.

Classification System

Priority Levels:

- **CRITICAL** - Blocks implementation or causes incorrect financial calculations
- **HIGH** - Impacts daily operations or customer experience
- **MEDIUM** - Improves efficiency but workarounds exist
- **LOW** - Nice-to-have clarification for future optimization

Impact Areas:

- **Financial** - Affects pricing, margins, or financial reporting
 - **Operational** - Impacts day-to-day workflow efficiency
 - **Reporting** - Affects KPIs and management visibility
 - **Customer** - Directly impacts customer experience
 - **Compliance** - Regulatory or legal implications
-

Unknowns and Gaps Requiring Clarification

1. Quote Markup Calculation Rules

Priority: CRITICAL

Impact Area: Financial

Current Understanding

The system has fields for `markup`, `markupAmount`, `baseValue`, and `netValue`, but specific calculation rules are not defined in code.

Questions

1. What is the target margin percentage for each quote type?

- Supply & Install: ?%
- Supply Only: ?%
- Remedial: ?%
- Survey: ?%
- Maintenance: ?%

2. How should risk markup be calculated?

- Is it a fixed percentage or variable based on factors?
- What factors increase risk markup? (projectComplexity, productType, etc.)
- Are there minimum/maximum markup thresholds?

3. Are there markup rules based on project value?

- Lower value projects: higher % margin?
- Higher value projects: lower % margin but higher absolute value?

4. How should markup for buy-in items be handled?

- Same markup as fabricated items?
- Separate markup rules?
- Field: `buyInItemsMarkup` exists but calculation not defined

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **CRITICAL:** Incorrect pricing leads to lost margins or uncompetitive quotes
- Risk of inconsistent pricing across estimators
- Difficulty auditing quote profitability

Recommended Approach

Define a **Quote Type Rule Table** in SharePoint:

<i>Quote Type</i>	<i>Base Markup %</i>	<i>Min Markup %</i>	<i>Max Markup %</i>	<i>Risk Adjustment %</i>
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<i>Supply & Install</i>	<i>25%</i>	<i>20%</i>	<i>35%</i>	<i>+0-10%</i>
<i>Supply Only</i>	<i>15%</i>	<i>10%</i>	<i>25%</i>	<i>+0-5%</i>
<i>Remedial</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>25%</i>	<i>40%</i>	<i>+10% (fixed)</i>

2. CEO Approval Threshold

Priority: HIGH

Impact Area: Financial + Operational

Current Understanding

Approval thresholds identified for < £5k, £5k-£10k, £10k-£50k, but CEO approval threshold not explicitly defined.

Questions

1. At what quote/job value does CEO approval become mandatory?

- Suggested: £50,000+
- Or different threshold (e.g., £25k, £75k, £100k)?

2. Does CEO approval apply to:

- Quotes only?
- Jobs only?
- Both quotes and jobs?
- Purchase orders above a certain value?

3. Can CEO approval be overridden in emergency situations?

- If yes, by whom?
- What is the emergency approval process?

4. What happens if CEO is unavailable?

- Designated alternate approver?
- Maximum wait time before escalation?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **HIGH:** Risk of processing high-value transactions without proper oversight
- Inconsistent approval routing for large projects
- Potential compliance issues

Recommended Approach

Define in **Approval Workflow Table**:

Approval Tier	Value Range	Approvers	Approval Count	Override Allowed
Tier 4	£50,000+	Sales Mgr + Finance Mgr + CEO	3	No (except CEO)

3. Survey Pricing - Regional Variations

Priority: **MEDIUM**

Impact Area: **Financial + Customer**

Current Understanding

Current rule: £1/mile with £50 minimum, FREE for Manchester area (M-prefix) if quote < £2k.

Questions

1. Are there different pricing models for different regions?

- London/South East: higher rate?
- Scotland/Wales: different calculation?
- Northern England: standard rate?

2. Should there be a maximum survey charge cap?

- E.g., maximum £200 for surveys over 200 miles?
- Or charge full calculated amount regardless of distance?

3. Are there volume discounts?

- Multiple surveys for same customer in short timeframe?
- Large project with multiple site visits?

4. How to handle overnight stays for distant surveys?

- Add accommodation costs?
- Fixed daily allowance?
- Pass through at cost?

5. What about survey complexity?

- Simple site survey: standard rate
- Complex technical survey: higher rate?
- Multi-day survey: daily rate?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **MEDIUM:** Inconsistent survey pricing across regions

- Potential revenue loss on long-distance surveys
- Customer confusion about survey charges

Recommended Approach

Create **Survey Pricing Matrix**:

Region	Cost per Mile	Minimum Charge	Free Threshold	Max Charge
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Manchester (M)	£1.00	£50	£2,000	£150
Greater NW (L, BB, PR, BL)	£1.00	£50	N/A	£150
Midlands (B, NG, DE)	£1.25	£75	N/A	£200
South (London)	£1.50	£100	N/A	£250

4. Late Payment Interest and Enforcement

Priority: **MEDIUM**

Impact Area: **Financial** +  **Compliance**

Current Understanding

System has `creditStatus` field (GOOD, WATCH, HOLD, BAD) and `creditTerms` (default 30 days), but no late payment calculation rules.

Questions

- What interest rate applies to late payments?**
 - UK statutory rate (8% + Bank of England base rate)?
 - Fixed rate (e.g., 2% per month)?
 - No interest charged?
- When does `creditStatus` change?**
 - GOOD → WATCH: Payment overdue by X days?
 - WATCH → HOLD: Payment overdue by X days?
 - HOLD → BAD: Payment overdue by X days?
- What actions are triggered by `creditStatus` changes?**
 - WATCH: Send reminder email?
 - HOLD: Stop accepting new orders?
 - BAD: Initiate debt collection?
- How to handle partial payments?**
 - Apply to oldest invoice first?
 - Pro-rata across all outstanding invoices?
 - Customer chooses which invoice to pay?
- What is the write-off policy?**
 - After how many days is a debt considered unrecoverable?
 - Who approves write-offs?
 - Are there debt recovery procedures before write-off?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **MEDIUM**: Revenue loss due to poor credit management
- Legal compliance risk (Late Payment legislation)

- Inconsistent customer credit terms

Recommended Approach

Define **Credit Management Policy**:

Status	Trigger	Action	Approval Required
GOOD	Payment on time	Standard terms	No
WATCH	1-30 days overdue	Send reminder	No
HOLD	31-60 days overdue	Block new orders	Operations Manager
BAD	60+ days overdue	Debt collection	Finance Manager

Late Payment Interest: UK Statutory Rate (currently 8% + BoE base = ~13.25% p.a.)
Calculate on day 31 after invoice date

5. Quality Check Criteria and Standards

Priority: CRITICAL

Impact Area: Operational + Compliance

Current Understanding

System has `QualityCheck` entity with fields for primary/secondary checker, but specific pass/fail criteria not defined.

Questions

- What are the specific quality check requirements for each fabrication stage?**
 - Drawing review: What dimensions/specs must be verified?
 - Material cutting: Tolerance levels? (e.g., $\pm 2\text{mm}$)
 - Glass quality: Visual inspection standards?
 - Powder coating: Coverage and finish standards?
 - Assembly: Alignment and fit tolerances?
- What are the pass/fail thresholds?**
 - Minor defects: acceptable? (e.g., < 3 minor issues = pass)
 - Major defects: automatic fail?
 - Critical defects: stop production immediately?
- Who is authorized to perform quality checks?**
 - Primary checker: Production staff?
 - Secondary checker: Production Manager only?
 - Can the same person who fabricated perform quality check? (answer: probably NO)
- What happens when a quality check fails?**
 - Return to previous stage?
 - Rework in place?
 - Scrap and restart?
 - Who approves rework decisions?
- Are there industry standards to comply with?**
 - BS 6206 (Impact performance of flat safety glass)

- BS EN 1279 (Glass in building - Insulating glass units)
- Others?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **CRITICAL:** Risk of defective products reaching customers
- Potential safety issues with glass products
- Inconsistent quality across production
- Compliance risk with building regulations

Recommended Approach

Create **Quality Standards Matrix**:

Stage	Check Type	Standard	Tolerance	Pass/Fail Criteria
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Drawing	Dimensional	BS 8000-7	±2mm	All dimensions within tolerance
Glass Cutting	Edge Quality	BS 6206	Visual	No chips, cracks, or sharp edges
Powder Coating	Finish	BS EN 12206	Visual + DFT	Even coverage, 60-120µm thickness
Assembly	Alignment	Internal	±1mm	All joints aligned, no gaps > 1mm
Final Inspection	Overall	Combined	As above	All checks passed + customer specs met

6. Drawing Revision Limits and Escalation

Priority: MEDIUM

Impact Area: Operational + Customer

Current Understanding

System tracks drawing versions (e.g., 1.0, 1.1, 2.0), but no limits or escalation rules defined.

Questions

1. Is there a maximum number of revisions before escalation?

- E.g., 3 revisions → escalate to Project Manager?
- 5 revisions → escalate to Customer for clarification meeting?

2. Who pays for excessive revisions?

- First X revisions: included in quote price?
- Subsequent revisions: charge customer?
- If charged, how much per revision?

3. What triggers a new drawing version vs. a new revision?

- Minor changes: increment revision (1.0 → 1.1)?
- Major changes: new version (1.x → 2.0)?

4. How long are drawings valid?

- Are there expiry dates for quotes based on drawing age?
- Must customer re-approve if drawing older than X months?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **MEDIUM:** Excessive revision cycles delay projects
- Customer frustration with unclear change management

- Revenue loss if revisions not charged appropriately

Recommended Approach

Define **Drawing Revision Policy**:

```
Revision Count | Action | Cost
-----|-----|-----
1-2 | Standard process | Included
3-4 | Project Manager notified | Included (warn customer)
5+ | Customer meeting required | £250 per additional revision

Version Changes:
- Minor adjustment (dimensions, specs): Increment revision (1.0 → 1.1)
- Major redesign (layout, product type): New version (1.x → 2.0)

Drawing Validity: 6 months from last customer approval
```

7. Supplier Performance Ratings and Selection

Priority: MEDIUM

Impact Area: Operational + Financial

Current Understanding

System has supplier performance fields (`performanceRating` , `deliveryRating` , `qualityRating` , `priceRating`) but calculation method not defined.

Questions

- How are supplier ratings calculated?**
 - Based on what metrics? (on-time delivery %, defect rate, etc.)
 - Weighted average or simple average?
 - How frequently are ratings updated?
- What defines a “preferred supplier”?**
 - `isPreferred = true` field exists, but criteria not defined
 - Performance rating > X?
 - Volume of business?
 - Strategic partnership?
- How should supplier selection be automated in Materials Analysis?**
 - Always choose preferred supplier?
 - Choose lowest price?
 - Balance price vs. performance rating?
 - Consider lead times?
- What happens when a supplier’s performance degrades?**
 - Rating below X: Remove “preferred” status?
 - Rating below Y: Stop using supplier?
 - Warning period before action?
- Are there supplier approval/onboarding processes?**
 - New supplier checklist?
 - Required certifications?

- Credit check?
- Contract terms?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **MEDIUM:** Inconsistent supplier selection
- Risk of using unreliable suppliers
- Difficulty justifying supplier choices to customers

Recommended Approach

Define **Supplier Rating System**:

```
Metric | Weight | Calculation | Rating Scale
-----|-----|-----|-----
Delivery | 40% | % of orders delivered on time | 1-5 stars
Quality | 30% | % of orders without defects | 1-5 stars
Price | 20% | Competitive pricing vs market | 1-5 stars
Service | 10% | Communication and support | 1-5 stars

Overall Rating = Weighted Average
Preferred Supplier: Overall Rating >= 4.0 stars + Volume >= £50k/year

Supplier Selection Priority:
1. Preferred suppliers with rating >= 4.5
2. Preferred suppliers with rating >= 4.0
3. Non-preferred suppliers with rating >= 4.0
4. All others (manual selection required)
```

8. Fabrication Helper Assignment Rules

Priority: **MEDIUM**

Impact Area: **Operational + Financial**

Current Understanding

System shows:

- helperTimeReduction = 20% (faster with helper)
- helperCostIncrease = 33% (cost increase)
- powderCoatingRequiresTwoOperatives = true

Questions

1. When should a helper be assigned?

- Job value over £X?
- Project complexity level?
- Tight deadline?
- Always for powder coating?

2. How is the cost increase calculated?

- 33% increase applied to total job cost?
- Or 33% increase on labor cost only?
- Passed to customer or absorbed in margin?

3. How are helpers selected and scheduled?

- Dedicated helper staff?
- Rotational assignment?
- Skill-matched to lead fabricator?

4. What is the cost-benefit calculation?

- When does time saving justify cost increase?
- Is there a break-even formula?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **MEDIUM:** Suboptimal resource allocation
- Over/under-utilization of helper staff
- Inconsistent project costing

Recommended Approach

Define **Helper Assignment Rules:**


```
Criteria | Helper Required | Rationale
-----|-----|-----
Powder coating job | Yes (mandatory) | Safety and quality requirement
Job value > £15,000 | Yes (recommended) | Time savings justify cost
Project complexity = COMPLEX | Yes (recommended) | Risk mitigation
Deadline < 7 days | Yes (if capacity available) | Meet customer timeline
Standard jobs | No (optional) | Cost optimization

Cost Calculation:
- Helper cost = Lead fabricator hourly rate × 0.5 (assuming junior helper)
- Time saving = 20% reduction in total hours
- Cost increase = 33% on labor portion only (not materials)

Break-even: Jobs over £10k and estimated >40 hours benefit from helper
```

9. Installation Staffing and Vehicle Assignment

Priority: HIGH

Impact Area: Operational +  Compliance (Safety)

Current Understanding

System calculates:

- recommendedStaff based on glass weight
- recommendedVehicles
- requiresMechanicalAid for heavy lifts

Questions

1. What are the exact formulas for staffing recommendations?

- Glass panel weight < X kg: 1 person?
- Glass panel weight X-Y kg: 2 people?
- Glass panel weight > Y kg: 2 people + mechanical aid?

2. What are the safety thresholds?

- Maximum weight per person?

- When is mechanical aid (lift, crane, etc.) mandatory?
- What safety equipment is required at each weight level?

3. How are vehicles assigned?

- Small van: jobs up to X size/weight?
- Large van: jobs over X?
- Specialist vehicle (with crane): when required?

4. What about site access constraints?

- How to factor in: stairs, narrow access, high-rise installation?
- Do these increase staffing requirements?

5. Are there regulatory compliance requirements?

- HSE (Health & Safety Executive) guidelines?
- Manual Handling Operations Regulations 1992?
- Working at Height Regulations 2005?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **HIGH:** Safety risk to installation staff
- Risk of injury claims
- Regulatory compliance violations
- Inefficient resource allocation

Recommended Approach

Define **Installation Staffing Matrix** (based on HSE guidelines):

Glass Panel Weight	Staff Required	Mechanical Aid	Vehicle Type	Safety Equipment
< 20 kg	1 person	No	Small van	Gloves, safety boots
20-50 kg	2 people	No	Standard van	+ Lifting straps
50-100 kg	2 people	Recommended	Large van	+ Suction lifters
100-250 kg	2 people	Mandatory	Van + trailer	+ Glass manipulator
> 250 kg	3+ people	Mandatory	Specialist vehicle	+ Crane/hoist

Site Access Factors (add +1 staff **if** any apply):

- Stairs (> 1 flight)
- Working at height (> 3 meters)
- Restricted access (< 1m width)
- High-rise (> 5 floors)

10. Warranty and Callback Risk Assessment

Priority: **MEDIUM**

Impact Area: **Financial** + **Customer**

Current Understanding

Quote type rules have fields for `warrantyRisk` and `callbackRisk`, but assessment method not defined.

Questions

1. What factors indicate high warranty risk?

- Remedial work: always high risk?

- Bespoke/complex products: higher risk?
- New product types: learning curve risk?
- Challenging site conditions?

2. How should warranty risk affect pricing?

- High risk: add X% markup?
- Reserve funds for potential callbacks?
- Extended warranty offered/required?

3. What is the historical callback rate?

- % of jobs requiring callback within 12 months?
- Average cost of callback?
- Most common reasons for callback?

4. What is the standard warranty period?

- Fabrication: X years?
- Installation: Y years?
- Different warranties for different product types?

5. How are warranty claims processed?

- Who approves warranty work?
- How is cost allocated (materials, labor)?
- Impact on future quotes/pricing?

Impact of Not Clarifying

- **MEDIUM:** Inadequate provision for warranty costs
- Margin erosion from frequent callbacks
- Customer dissatisfaction with warranty disputes

Recommended Approach

Create **Warranty Risk Assessment Matrix:**

Risk Factor	Risk Level	Markup Adjustment
Remedial work	High	+5-10%
Bespoke/complex product	Medium-High	+3-5%
Challenging site (height, access)	Medium	+2-3%
New product type (< 10 jobs)	Medium	+2-3%
Standard fabrication & install	Low	0%

Standard Warranty:

- Fabrication defects: 5 years
- Installation defects: 2 years
- Normal wear **and** tear: Not covered
- Customer damage: Chargeable repair

Callback Budget Reserve: 2% of job value **for** high-risk jobs

Summary of Priorities

CRITICAL (Must Clarify Before Go-Live)

1. **Quote Markup Calculation Rules** - Financial impact
2. **Quality Check Criteria** - Safety and compliance

HIGH (Clarify Within First Month)

1. **CEO Approval Threshold** - Governance and oversight
2. **Installation Staffing Rules** - Safety compliance

MEDIUM (Clarify for Optimization)

1. **Survey Pricing Variations** - Regional consistency
 2. **Late Payment Interest** - Credit management
 3. **Drawing Revision Limits** - Project efficiency
 4. **Supplier Performance** - Operational efficiency
 5. **Fabrication Helper Rules** - Resource optimization
 6. **Warranty Risk Assessment** - Financial protection
-

Recommended Next Steps

Step 1: Stakeholder Workshop (Priority: CRITICAL)

Participants:

- CEO (approval thresholds, strategic decisions)
- Finance Manager (markup, margins, credit management)
- Operations Manager (workflows, resource allocation)
- Production Manager (quality standards, fabrication processes)
- Sales Manager (customer experience, survey pricing)
- Installation Manager (staffing, safety compliance)

Agenda:

1. Review all CRITICAL and HIGH priority gaps
2. Make decisions and document in policy manual
3. Agree on implementation timeline

Step 2: Policy Documentation (Priority: CRITICAL)

Create formal policy documents for:

- **Financial Policies** (markup, pricing, credit)
- **Approval Authorities** (thresholds, routing)
- **Quality Standards** (fabrication, installation)
- **Health & Safety** (installation staffing, risk assessment)

Step 3: System Configuration (Priority: HIGH)

Implement decisions in:

- SharePoint lists (calculated columns, validation rules)
- Power Automate flows (approval routing, notifications)
- Planner tasks (assignment rules, due dates)

Step 4: User Training (Priority: HIGH)

Train staff on:

- New policies and procedures
- System workflows and approvals
- Quality standards and documentation
- Safety compliance requirements

Step 5: Monitoring and Adjustment (Ongoing)

- Track KPIs and compliance metrics
- Monthly review of policy effectiveness
- Quarterly adjustments based on business performance

Appendix: Questions Template for Stakeholders

Use this template to collect answers from SFG stakeholders:

SFG NEXUS - Business Rules Clarification Form

Date: _____

Completed by: _____

Role: _____

Section 1: Quote Markup Rules

Q1: Target margin % **for** Supply & Install quotes: _____%Q2: Target margin % **for** Supply Only quotes: _____%Q3: Target margin % **for** Remedial quotes: _____%Q4: Risk markup adjustment **for** complex projects: _____%Q5: Buy-in items markup: Same **as** fabricated ☐ Different: _____% ☐

Section 2: Approval Thresholds

Q6: CEO approval required **for** quotes/jobs over: £_____Q7: CEO approval applies to: Quotes ☐ Jobs ☐ Both ☐Q8: Emergency override allowed: Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, by whom: _____

Section 3: Survey Pricing

Q9: Standard rate per mile: £_____

Q10: Minimum charge: £_____

Q11: Manchester free threshold: £_____

Q12: Regional variations: Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, attach rate cardQ13: Maximum survey charge cap: £_____ (**or** "No cap")

Section 4: Credit Management

Q14: Late payment interest rate: _____% p.a. (**or** "UK statutory")

Q15: Credit status triggers:

- WATCH: _____ days overdue
- HOLD: _____ days overdue
- BAD: _____ days overdue

Q16: Write-off threshold: _____ days **and** approved by _____

Section 5: Quality Standards

Q17: Fabrication tolerance: ☐ _____ mm

Q18: Quality check required at stages: _____

Q19: Primary checker: _____ (role)

Q20: Secondary checker: _____ (role)

Q21: Industry standards to comply with: _____

Section 6: Staffing **and** Safety

Q22: Max manual handling weight per person: _____ kg

Q23: Mechanical aid required **for** glass over: _____ kg

Q24: Installation staffing formula: _____

Section 7: Warranty

Q25: Standard warranty period - Fabrication: _____ years

Q26: Standard warranty period - Installation: _____ years

Q27: Warranty risk markup **for** remedial work: +_____%

Q28: Callback budget reserve: _____% of job value

Additional Notes:

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Document Version: 1.0

Last Updated: 5 October 2025

Status: AWAITING STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK

Next Action: Schedule Stakeholder Workshop