TLSv1.3

...quite a big change

TLSv1.3

- Administrivia
- Process
- Protocol
- Issues

Administrivia

- TLSv1.3 = RFC8446
- Took 4 years to get done
- 160 pages (eek!) do not ignore Apendices C,D and E!
- Written for implementers you may need to read it more than once (some less clear forward references), but it's pretty readable really

List of implementations at:

https://github.com/tlswg/tlswg-wiki/blob/master/IMPLEMENTATIONS.md

Process

- Work started in 2014, motivations included TLS attacks seen in theory and in the wild and Snowdonia
- Represents a major change in the protocol version numbering bikeshed was well painted
- Academic cryptographers worked closely with implementers to (hopefully!) ensure we don't see the same crypto/protocol failures in future
- Two academic workshops were held and the protocol design was modified numerous times to better match cryptographic theory
 - 2016 TRON: https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2016/tron-workshop-programme/
 - 2017 TLS-DIV: https://www.mitls.org/tls:div/

Major Changes

- Drop less desirable algorithms and move to AEAD everywhere
- Change how new ciphersuites get defined and get RECOMMENDED
- Added "0-RTT" mode, a double-edged sword! (aka sharp implement)
- RSA key transport removed, all key exchanges provide forward secrecy
- More encryption of handshake including some extensions
- ECC is now built-in
- No more compression or custom DH groups
- Pre-shared keying, tickets and session handling all done in one way
- PKCS#1v1.5 -> RSA PSS for protocol signatures (but not certificates)
- Versioning muck need to pretend to not be TLSv1.3 for deployment in the real world of middleboxes

TLSv1.3 Features

- These slides are not a replacement for reading the spec
- 1-RTT handshake
- HRR
- PSK/Resumption
- 0-RTT
- Ciphersuite re-factoring
- Key Derivation
- Versioning muck
- (Notable) extensions
- Record Protocol
- Security Properties

Full "1-RTT" Handshake

```
Client
                                                 Server
Key ^ ClientHello
Exch | + key share*
    | + signature algorithms*
    | + psk key exchange modes*
    v + pre shared key* ---->
                                                   ServerHello ^ Key
                                                  + key_share* | Exch
                                              + pre_shared_key* v
                                          {EncryptedExtensions} ^ Server
                                          {CertificateRequest*} v Params
                                                {Certificate*} ^
                                           {CertificateVerify*} | Auth
                                                    {Finished} v
                                          [Application Data*]
    ^ {Certificate*}
Auth | {CertificateVerify*}
    v {Finished}
      [Application Data] <----> [Application Data]
```

Handshake with HelloRetryRequest

Client		Server
ClientHello + key_share	> <	HelloRetryRequest + key_share
ClientHello + key_share	>	
		ServerHello + key_share {EncryptedExtensions} {CertificateRequest*} {Certificate*} {CertificateVerify*} {Finished}
{Certificate*} {CertificateVerify*}	<	[Application Data*]
{Finished} [Application Data]	> <>	[Application Data]

Resumption/Re-use of PSK

Client		Server
Initial Handshake:		
ClientHello		
+ key_share	>	
		ServerHello
		+ key_share
		{EncryptedExtensions}
		{CertificateRequest*}
		{Certificate*}
		{CertificateVerify*}
		{Finished}
	<	[Application Data*]
{Certificate*}		
{CertificateVerify*}		
{Finished}	>	
(= ===================================	<	[NewSessionTicket]
[Application Data]	<>	[Application Data]
Subsequent Handshake:		
ClientHello		
+ key share*		
+ pre shared key	>	
F = 3_0.33.1		ServerHello
		+ pre shared key
		+ key share*
		{EncryptedExtensions}
		{Finished}
	<	
(Einiched)	>	[Application Data*]
{Finished}	•	
[Application Data]	<>	[Application Data]

"0-RTT" Early Data

```
Client
                                                   Server
ClientHello
+ early data
+ key share*
+ psk_key_exchange_modes
+ pre_shared_key
(Application Data*) ---->
                                              ServerHello
                                         + pre shared key
                                             + key share*
                                    {EncryptedExtensions}
                                            + early data*
                                               {Finished}
                                      [Application Data*]
(EndOfEarlyData)
{Finished}
                       ---->
[Application Data] <---->
                                       [Application Data]
```

"0-RTT" Issues

"0-RTT" is a DANGEROUS IMPLEMENT

- "0-RTT" isn't really quite accurate terminology client needs first to have a PSK, and of course doesn't get an answer for at least one RTT and there could be a DNS RTT first
- Browsers want to send HTTP GET requests in "first flight" without this feature it's likely TLSv1.3 would not have been adopted on the web
 - People need more incentives than just better security to cause them to upgrade
- Problem: early-data can be REPLAYed
 - Attacker records 0-RTT messages incl. early data
 - Replay that against another instance of a load-balanced server, e.g. in another data-centre where load-balanced instances can't easily share an anti-replay cache
 - Example: DPRIVE DNS/TLS with anycast recursives
- Bigger problem: properly handling the semantics of early-data is neither simple nor obvious, but the attraction of go-faster-stripes is simple and obvious
- Smaller problem early-data not authenticated until server validates client's Finished can cause API headaches in servers, - do not act on early-data until after Finished is checked
 - Web servers might or might not (yuk) adhere to this rule, as in theory (but not in practice),
 HTTP GET and some other HTTP request methods are idempotent; see RFC 8470

Ciphersuite Re-factoring

- As the handshake has changed a lot, the WG decided to separate out record layer crypto from key exchange and authentication so...
- TLSv1.3 ciphersuites only reflect the record layer encryption (bulk cipher and key derivation function hash function) and not the key exchange and authentication parameters
 - TLS_AES_128_GCM_SHA256 is a TLSv1.3 ciphersuite
 - TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA256 is a TLSv1.2 ciphersuite
- Key exchange and authentication parameters are dealt with in handshake extensions in TLSv1.3, e.g. using the key_share, supported_groups and signature_algorithms extensions in ClientHello and other handshake messages

Key Schedule/Derivation Function

Key Schedule/Derivation (1/2)

```
PSK -> HKDF-Extract = Early Secret
                +----> Derive-Secret(.,
                                       "ext binder" |
                                       "res binder",
                                       = binder key
                +----> Derive-Secret(., "c e traffic",
                                      ClientHello)
                                       = client early traffic secret
                +----> Derive-Secret(., "e exp master",
                                      ClientHello)
                                       = early exporter_master_secret
          Derive-Secret(., "derived", "")
(EC) DHE -> HKDF-Extract = Handshake Secret
```

Key Schedule/Derivation (2/2)

```
(EC) DHE -> HKDF-Extract = Handshake Secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "c hs traffic",
                                   ClientHello...ServerHello)
                                    = client handshake traffic secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "s hs traffic",
                                   ClientHello...ServerHello)
                                    = server handshake traffic secret
       Derive-Secret(., "derived", "")
  0 -> HKDF-Extract = Master Secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "c ap traffic",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = client application traffic secret 0
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "s ap traffic",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = server application traffic_secret_0
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "exp master",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = exporter master secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "res master",
                                   ClientHello...client Finished)
                                    = resumption master secret
```

Versioning Muck

- Middleboxes break things, so TLSv1.3 pretends to be TLSv1.2 in various ways
- supported versions extension is where the real info is now
- ClientHello/ServerHello pretend to be TLSv1.0 or TLSv1.2
- "Dummy" change_cipher_spec messages (see Appendix D.4) make the handshake look more like TLSv1.2
- HelloRetryRequest pretends to be a TLSv1.2 ServerHello (magic values distinguish HRR)
- Record layer messages pretend to be TLSv1.2
- Absent this muck, at least 5-10% of TLSv1.3 sessions fail
- Appendix D also covers additional cases, e.g. where only some loadbalanced server instances are updated at the moment (maybe due to reboots/failures or slow rollout of a new TLSv1.3 deployment)

Notable Extensions

- There are lots, some are mandatory to use for TLSv1.3, some are in-practice mandatory for the web, some not mentioned so far include:
- cookie helps with DDoS and DTLS
- post_handshake_auth is how TLS client auth is supported in TLSv1.3
- psk_key_exchange_modes and pre_shared_key when using PSK
- encrypted_extensions used from server -> client
- Some TLSv1.2 extensions remain usable in TLSv1.3 e.g. ALPN (RFC 7301)

Record Layer

- Now AEAD and differently derived keys but same max record size (2^14 octets) and same external headers (incl. fake version)
- AEAD => "MAC-then-encrypt" issues that caused a number of problems go away

Security Properties

- See Appendix E of the spec, and the references therein, the TRON and TLS-DIV proceedings, and other publications
- Forward secrecy is not absolute TLSv1.3 attempts to provide FS wrt long term private keys but e.g. DH public vaue re-use for performance reasons can result is less than perfect FS
- TLSv1.3 attempts to confidentiality protect identities, which is new. Server identity protection however cannot resist active attack.
- Separation between key purposes is much more deliberate and far less ad-hoc than earlier versions of TLS.
- Remember the security differences wrt "0-RTT"
- Traffic analysis still works padding mechanism exists but HOWTO use it successfully is a work-in-progress

Summary

- TLSv1.3 is a real improvement in almost all respects
 - Other than "0-RTT" changes are all improvements IMO, some significant
- Deployment is significant
- Careful though it'd not be the first time we thought we'd gotten something new correct and were ultimately proven wrong