TLSv1.3

...quite a big change

TLSv1.3

- Administrivia
- Process
- Protocol
- Issues

Administrivia

- TLSv1.3 = RFC8446
- Took 4 years to get done
- 160 pages (eek!) do not ignore Apendices C,D and E!
- Written for implementers you may need to read it more than once (some less clear forward references), but it's pretty readable really

List of implementations at:

https://github.com/tlswg/tlswg-wiki/blob/master/IMPLEMENTATIONS.md

Process

- Work started in 2014, motivations included TLS attacks seen in theory and in the wild and Snowdonia
- Represents a major change in the protocol version numbering bikeshed was well painted
- Academic cryptographers worked closely with implementers to (hopefully!) ensure we don't see the same crypto/protocol failures in future
- Two academic workshops were held and the protocol design was modified numerous times to better match cryptographic theory
 - TRON: https://www.ndss-symposium.org/ndss2016/tron-workshop-programme/
 - TLS-DIV: https://www.mitls.org/tls:div/

Major Changes

- Drop less desirable algorithms and move to AEAD everywhere
- Change how new ciphersuites get defined and get RECOMMENDED
- Added "0-RTT" mode, a double-edged sword! (aka sharp implement)
- RSA key transport removed, all key exchanges provide forward secrecy
- More encryption of handshake including some extensions
- ECC is now built-in
- No more compression or custom DH groups
- Pre-shared keying, tickets and session handling all done in one way
- PKCS#1v1.5 -> RSA PSS for protocol signatures (but not certificates)
- Versioning muck need to pretend to not be TLSv1.3 for deployment in the real world of middleboxes

TLSv1.3 Features

- These slides are not a replacement for reading the spec
- 1-RTT handshake
- HRR
- PSK/Resumption
- 0-RTT
- Ciphersuite re-factoring
- Key Derivation
- Versioning muck
- (Notable) extensions
- Record Protocol
- Security Properties

Full "1-RTT" Handshake

```
Client
                                                  Server
Key ^ ClientHello
Exch | + key share*
    | + signature algorithms*
    | + psk key exchange modes*
    v + pre shared key*
                                                    ServerHello ^ Key
                                                   + key share* | Exch
                                              + pre shared key* v
                                           {EncryptedExtensions} ^ Server
                                           {CertificateRequest*} v Params
                                                 {Certificate*} ^
                                            {CertificateVerify*} | Auth
                                                     {Finished} v
                                           [Application Data*]
                               <----
    ^ {Certificate*}
Auth | {CertificateVerify*}
    v {Finished}
      [Application Data] <----> [Application Data]
```

Handshake with HelloRetryRequest

| Client | | Server |
|----------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| ClientHello + key_share | > < | HelloRetryRequest |
| | • | + key_share |
| ClientHello | | |
| + key_share | > | |
| | | ServerHello |
| | | + key_share |
| | | {EncryptedExtensions} |
| | | {CertificateRequest*} |
| | | {Certificate*} |
| | | {CertificateVerify*} |
| | | {Finished} |
| | < | [Application Data*] |
| {Certificate*} | | |
| {CertificateVerify*} | | |
| {Finished} | > | |
| [Application Data] | <> | [Application Data] |

Resumption/Re-use of PSK

| Client | | Server |
|---|----|-----------------------|
| Initial Handshake: | | |
| ClientHello | | |
| + key_share | > | |
| | | ServerHello |
| | | + key_share |
| | | {EncryptedExtensions} |
| | | {CertificateRequest*} |
| | | {Certificate*} |
| | | {CertificateVerify*} |
| | | {Finished} |
| | < | [Application Data*] |
| {Certificate*} | | |
| {CertificateVerify*} | | |
| {Finished} | > | |
| (= =================================== | < | [NewSessionTicket] |
| [Application Data] | <> | [Application Data] |
| | | |
| Subsequent Handshake: | | |
| ClientHello | | |
| + key share* | | |
| + pre shared key | > | |
| F = 3_0.33.1 | | ServerHello |
| | | + pre shared key |
| | | + key share* |
| | | {EncryptedExtensions} |
| | | {Finished} |
| | < | |
| (Einiched) | > | [Application Data*] |
| {Finished} | • | |
| [Application Data] | <> | [Application Data] |

"0-RTT" Early Data

```
Client
                                                   Server
ClientHello
+ early data
+ key share*
+ psk key exchange modes
+ pre shared key
(Application Data*) ---->
                                              ServerHello
                                         + pre shared key
                                             + key share*
                                    {EncryptedExtensions}
                                            + early data*
                                               {Finished}
                       <----
                                      [Application Data*]
(EndOfEarlyData)
                       ---->
{Finished}
[Application Data] <---->
                                       [Application Data]
```

"0-RTT" Issues

"0-RTT" is a DANGEROUS IMPLEMENT

- "0-RTT" isn't really quite accurate terminology client needs first to have a PSK, and of course doesn't get an answer for at least one RTT and there could be a DNS RTT first
- Browsers want to send HTTP GET requests in "first flight" without this feature it's likely TLSv1.3 would not be adopted in the web
 - People need more incentives than just better security to cause them to upgrade
- Problem: early-data can be REPLAYed
 - Attacker records 0-RTT messages incl. early data
 - Replay that against another instance of a load-balanced server, e.g. in another data-centre where load-balanced instances can't easily share an anti-replay cache
 - Example: DPRIVE DNS/TLS with anycast recursives
- Bigger problem: properly handling the semantics of early-data is neither simple nor obvious, but the attraction of go-faster-stripes is simple and obvious
- Smaller problem early-data not authenticated until server validates client's Finished can cause API headaches in servers, - do not act on early-data until after Finished is checked
 - Web servers might or might not (yuk) adhere to this rule, as in theory (but not in practice),
 HTTP GET and some other HTTP request methods are idempotent; see RFC 8470

Ciphersuite Re-factoring

- As the handshake has changed a lot, the WG decided to separate out record layer crypto from key exchange and authentication so...
- TLSv1.3 ciphersuites only reflect the record layer encryption (bulk cipher and key derivation function hash function) and not the key exchange and authentication parameters
 - TLS_AES_128_GCM_SHA256 is a TLSv1.3 ciphersuite
 - TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA256 is a TLSv1.2 ciphersuite
- Key exchange and authentication parameters are dealt with in handshake extensions in TLSv1.3, e.g. using the key_share, supported_groups and signature_algorithms extensions in ClientHello and other handshake messages

Key Schedule/Derivation Function

Key Schedule/Derivation (1/2)

```
PSK -> HKDF-Extract = Early Secret
                 +----> Derive-Secret(.,
                                       "ext binder" |
                                       "res binder",
                                       = binder key
                +----> Derive-Secret(., "c e traffic",
                                      ClientHello)
                                       = client early traffic secret
                +----> Derive-Secret(., "e exp master",
                                      ClientHello)
                                       = early exporter master secret
          Derive-Secret(., "derived", "")
(EC) DHE -> HKDF-Extract = Handshake Secret
```

Key Schedule/Derivation (2/2)

```
(EC) DHE -> HKDF-Extract = Handshake Secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "c hs traffic",
                                   ClientHello...ServerHello)
                                    = client handshake traffic secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "s hs traffic",
                                   ClientHello...ServerHello)
                                    = server handshake traffic secret
       Derive-Secret(., "derived", "")
  0 -> HKDF-Extract = Master Secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "c ap traffic",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = client application traffic secret 0
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "s ap traffic",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = server application traffic_secret_0
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "exp master",
                                   ClientHello...server Finished)
                                    = exporter master secret
             +----> Derive-Secret(., "res master",
                                   ClientHello...client Finished)
                                    = resumption master secret
```

Versioning Muck

- Middleboxes break things, so TLSv1.3 pretends to be TLSv1.2 in various ways
- supported_versions extension is where the real info is now
- ClientHello/ServerHello pretend to be TLSv1.0 or TLSv1.2
- "Dummy" change_cipher_spec messages (see Appendix D.4) make the handshake look more like TLSv1.2
- HelloRetryRequest pretends to be a TLSv1.2 ServerHello (magic values distinguish HRR)
- Record layer messages pretend to be TLSv1.2
- Absent this muck, at least 5-10% of TLSv1.3 sessions fail
- Appendix D also covers additional cases, e.g. where only some load-balanced server instances are updated at the moment (maybe due to reboots/failures or slow rollout of a new TLSv1.3 deployment)

Notable Extensions

- There are lots, some are mandatory to use for TLSv1.3, some are in-practice mandatory for the web, some not mentioned so far include:
- cookie helps with DDoS and DTLS
- post_handshake_auth is how TLS client auth is supported in TLSv1.3
- psk_key_exchange_modes and pre_shared_key when using PSK
- encrypted_extensions used from server -> client
- Some TLSv1.2 extensions remain usable in TLSv1.3 e.g. ALPN (RFC 7301)

Record Layer

- Now AEAD and differently derived keys but same max record size (2^14 octets) and same external headers (incl. fake version)
- AEAD => "MAC-then-encrypt" issues that caused a number of problems go away

Security Properties

- See Appendix E of the spec, and the references therin, the TRON and TLS-DIV proceedings, and other publications
- Forward secrecy is not absolute TLSv1.3 attempts to provide FS wrt long term private keys but e.g. DH public vaue re-use for performance reasons can result is less than perfect FS
- TLSv1.3 attempts to confidentiality protect identities, which is new. Server identity protection however cannot resist active attack.
- Separation between key purposes is much more deliberate and far less ad-hoc than earlier versions of TLS.
- Remember the security differences wrt "0-RTT"
- Traffic analysis still works padding mechanism exists but HOWTO use it successfully is a work-in-progress

Outstanding Issue

Will TLSv1.3 displace earlier versions of TLS?
 For the web? Seems likely. In other
 applications? Not clear yet. "0-RTT" go faster
 stripes may encourage adoption/deployment,
 but might also lead to problems. Some claims
 that TLSv1.3 is too big a change, e.g. for
 smaller devices, and will be ignored. (No
 evidence so far.)

Summary

- TLSv1.3 specification is done
- Sufficient implementations exist, and it'll get at least some widespread deployment, but TLSv1.2 will be around for years to come (maybe decades?)
- Other than "0-RTT" changes are all improvements IMO, some significant
- Careful though it'd not be the first time we thought we'd gotten something new correct and were ultimately proven wrong