

#### Products

# Cannot return mutable reference to member from a match arm

#### Ask Question

Asked 1 year, 4 months ago Active 1 year, 4 months ago Viewed 241 times





As an exercise in rust borrowing and lifetimes, I want to implement a simple binary tree. However, I am stuck on something. Consider this:

```
struct Node {
  key: i32,
  value: i32,
  left: Option <Box <Node>>>,
  right: Option Box Node>>,
struct BinaryTree {
  root: Option<Box<Node>>,
impl BinaryTree {
  fin find_mut(&mut self, key: i32) -> &mut Option<Box<Node>>> {
    let mut node = &mut self.root;
     loop {
       match node {
         Some(box_node) if box_node.key != key => {
           node = if box_node.key < key {
&mut box_node.right
           } else {
             &mut box node.left
          }
         other => return other
```

The above fails to compile with:

I tried explicitly setting the lifetime for self and the output. I also tried to expand the Some(\_) arm and match for None instead of other as well.

(Edit 2): The purpose of find\_mut is to return a ref to the object where a new node should be created (in case the key is not found) or where the existing node is.

What is the reason for the compile error, in more detail? How should I go to fix it? Is what I am trying to do even a good practice, (edit 1) i.e. return ref to the Optional where the modification should be at (assuming this is not a public method)?

Inst borrow-checker ownership

Share Improve this question
Follow edited Aug 15 '20 at 18:51

asked Aug 15 '20 at 17:20

Ivan Kalchev
325 • 3 • 9

2

I think you found a known limitation of the borrow checked, related to the lifetimes of returned borrows. FWIW, it compiles with -Z polonius in nightly, so I expect a future version of the compiler will accept it. Something like this github issue.

### Your privacy rodrigo

By clicking "Ackept allocathatest, Nabu agree Stack Exchange can store cookies on your device and disclose information in accordance with our Cookie Policy.

```
Accept all cookies Customize settings
```





The reason why Rust compiler issues error is because Some(expr) pattern matches the whole expression in its owned form, that is, expr is moved.

Usually, that is easily solved by matching on expression as a borrow Some(ref expr), or mutable borrow Some(ref mut expr), but that is not the case here.

 $If you look at standard \ library, you will often see that \ as\_mut() / \ as\_ref() \ , when value \ may not exist, always \ returns \ Option <& mut \ T> \ rather than \ \&mut \ Option <T> \ . That's because \ as\_mut() / \ as\_ref() \ , when value \ may not exist, always \ returns \ Option <& mut \ T> \ rather than \ \&mut \ Option <T> \ . That's because \ as\_mut() / \ as\_ref() \ , when value \ may not exist, always \ returns \ Option << mut \ T> \ rather than \ \&mut \ Option <T> \ . That's because \ as\_mut() / \ as\_ref() \ , when value \ may not exist, always \ returns \ Option << mut \ T> \ .$ you really want to access the value, not any innards of the data structure, which constructs like Option<Box<None>>> are.

Following that, I came up with this:

```
struct Node {
  kev: i32.
  value: i32,
  left: Ontion<Box<Node>>.
  right: Option Box Node>>,
struct BinaryTree {
  root: Option Box Node >>,
impl BinaryTree {
  fn find_mut(&mut self, key: i32) -> Option<&mut Node> {
// &mut Option<Box<Node>> > Option<&mut Box<Node>> -> Option<&mut Node>
     let mut node = self.root.as_mut().map(|boxed| boxed.as_mut());
    loop {
         Some(box_node) if box_node.key != key => {
    node = if box_node.key < key {
              box_node.right.as_mut().map(|boxed| boxed.as_mut())
           } else {
              box_node.left.as_mut().map(|boxed| boxed.as_mut())
         other => return other
 }
```

There might be a nicer way to write this, but I am not aware of it at the moment.

Note that this solves both the issue with ownership, since now &mut Node is what is being moved here, and makes the API nicer at the same time.

As to whether its good practice, given its double meaning, yes and no.

It helps you learn how to deal with borrows; on the other hand, we already have Vec::binary search, and BTreeMap / BTreeSet, and its likely that on crates.io there are other implementations that should cover all but the most extreme cases and there's little point in making a search tree yourself.

```
Improve this answer
Follow
 answered Aug 15 '20 at 18:21
  149 • 6
```

It would be nice to understand why mutable borrow like  $Some(ref mut box\_node)$  doesn't help here. I agree that returning  $Some(ref mut box\_node)$  is nicer than returning  $Some(ref mut box\_node)$ . not intuitively clear to me why the latter wouldn't work. After all, the OP's data structures do consistently contain Option Sox Node >> , so it looks ok to return a reference to one of them, with the lifetime of the tree.

```
- user4815162342
```

Aug 15 '20 at 19:02

@user4815162342 I'mnot sure how to explain it so anyone can understand, but essentially acquire borrow -> acquire borrow that is referencing data through a borrow before -> initial borrow drops (but you want to keep a second borrow, that depends on first one), which is pretty much what compiler will tell you if you try to modify original code and use Some(ref mut box\_node). Now, that wouldn't be an issue with pointers, "sadly", references inherit lifetime bounds from their ancestors and that doesn't quite work as you'd want it to.

```
- Yamirui
Aug 15 '20 at 19:11 🌶
```

I thought the second borrow would just inherit the lifetime of the first borrow (in this case the lifetime of the whole tree). Still, I tried to modify the code to use. Some(ref mut box, node), but the compiler just complained about moving out of the other match arm. When I added the refinut to the other match arm, the compiler started to compilain about two mutable borrows, which I don't quite understand as the two should never exist in parallel.

```
user4815162342
Aug 15 '20 at 19:29
```

@user4815162342 the issue, as I mentioned, is that a borrow from a borrow inherits lifetime of a former borrow, and in this case, former borrow exists purely in this method, or rather, inside the match, and cannot outlive it. If there's a safe way to tell rust that it's not the case I'mnot aware of it (you can look at what as\_mut does), that is why instead of double borrow, I extracted a single final borrow preemptively and everything just clicked into their places.

```
– Yamirui
Aug 15 '20 at 21:20 🥒
```

You can also use Option::as deref mut(&mut Option<Box<Node>>) -> Option<&mut Node>

```
Aug 16 '20 at 13:49
```

## STACK OVERFLOW

Your Answer

Questions Jobs Developer Jobs Directory Salary Calculator Help Mobile

### PRODUCTS

Teams Talent Advertising Enterprise

## COMPANY

About Press Work Here Legal Privacy Policy Terms of Service Contact Us Cookie Settings Cookie Policy

# STACK EXCHANGE NETWORK

Technology Culture & recreation Life & arts Science Professional Business API Data

Blog Facebook Twitter LinkedIn Instagram

site design / logo @2021 Stack Exchange Inc; user contributions licensed under cc by-sa. rev 2021.12.22.41046