

Why rust ignore lifetime checks on &str?

```
Asked 1 year, 1 month ago
Active 10 months ago
Viewed 244 times
```





```
fn main() {
let strA = "a";
  let result;
     let strB="abc";
  result = longest(strA, strB); // Will return strB
  println!("The longest string is {}", result); // result now point to strB!!
fn longest<'a>(x: &'a str, y: &'a str) -> &'a str {
  if x.len() > y.len() {
 x
} else {
```

As I got from the Rust book

'a will get the concrete lifetime that is equal to the smaller of the lifetimes of x and y

So why strB is now visible outside of its scope?



Improve this question Follow

edited Feb 17 at 1:18



11.7k • 14 • 39 • 88

asked Nov 27 '20 at 15:46



1.075 • 11 • 15

Does this answer your question? Why do generic lifetimes not conform to the smaller lifetime of a nested scope?

```
- Steve Z
Mar 16 at 14:03
```

3 Answers

Active Oldest Votes









That's because all string literals have 'static lifetime. From the rust book:

One special lifetime we need to discuss is 'static, which means that this reference can live for the entire duration of the program. All string literals have the 'static lifetime, which we can annotate as follows:

let s: &'static str = "I have a static lifetime.";

Your privacy

By clicking "Acceptual Coxplicity" in the state of all string literals is 'static

Accept all cookies Customize settings

Improve this answer

Follow edited Dec 5 '20 at 15:42

answered Nov 27 '20 at 15:55

Mihir Luthra

4,675 • 2 • 11 • 33





Fixed example:

```
fn main() {
  let strA = "a".to_string();
  let result;

  {
     let strB = "abc".to_string();
     result = longest(&strA, &strB); // Will return strB
  }
  println!("The longest string is {}", result); // compile error
}

fn longest<a>(x &'a str, y: &'a str) > &'a str {
     if xlen() > y.len() {
        x
        y
     }
     else {
        y
     }
}
```

Now produces a compiler error as expected:

playground

Rust was not "ignoring" the lifetimes of the string variables in your initial example. When you set a variable to a string literal that literal gets hardcoded into the executable binary and gets a 'static lifetime which means it's valid for the entire duration of the program. If we add explicit type annotations to your initial example it should become clear why it compiles and works:

```
fin main() {
    let strA: &'static str = "a";
    let result;
    {
        let strB: &'static str = "abc";
        result = longest(&strA, &strB); // returns 'static str
    }
    println!("The longest string is {}", result); /// prints result
}

fin longest<'a>(x &'a str, y: &'a str) > &'a str {
    if xlen() > y.len() {
        x
    } else {
        y
    }
}
```

However when we call to_string() on a string literal we create an owned and heap allocated String which lifetime's is non-static and is scoped to whatever block its in, hence making the change makes the program no longer compile as expected.

Share

```
Improve this answer
Follow
answered Nov 27'20 at 15:56

pretzelhammer
11.7k • 14 • 39 • 88

Add a comment
```





the lifetime 'a refers to the lifetime of the string buffer str not the reference to that buffer. So the lifetime of the &str strB is within the block. However, "abc" is a constant string. This means that the storage of the str buffer has 'static lifetime, meaning it is guaranteed to outlast any other lifetime. As such it is valid for result to reference that buffer

	Share
	Improve this answer
	Follow answered Nov 27'20 at 15:53
	user1937198 4,641 • 4 • 18 • 31
	4,041 • 4 • 10 • 51
	Your Answer
	Post Your Answer
	FOST TOUR ALISWEI
	By clicking "Post Your Answer", you agree to our terms of service, privacy policy and cookie policy
	Not the answer you're looking for? Browse other questions tagged nust lifetime borrow-checker ownership borrowing or ask your own question.
	The Overflow Blog
•	Sequencing your DNA with a USB dongle and open source code
	<i>i</i>
	Don't push that button: Exploring the software that flies SpaceX rockets and
	Featured on Meta
	0
•	Providing a JavaScript API for userscripts
	Q
	Congratulations to the 59 sites that just left Beta
	Linked
	0
	About lifetime of Rust. Why the following code can be compiled
	Why do generic lifetimes not conform to the smaller lifetime of a nested scope?
	Related
	What are the differences between Rust's 'String' and 'str'?
	Does <a, 'a="" 'b:=""> mean that the lifetime 'b must outlive the lifetime 'a?</a,>
	Why can't I store a value and a reference to that value in the same struct?
	Why do generic lifetimes not conform to the smaller lifetime of a nested scope?
	Do lifetime annotations in Rust change the lifetime of the variables?
	Lifetimes in Rust when using Strings
	1
	How is output lifetime of a function calculated?
	Semantics of lifetime parameters
	2 A simple test asset a shock my understanding of most lifetimes
	A simple test case to check my understanding of rust lifetimes
	Hot Network Questions
	FEM for vector valued problems: reference request
	What does the numbers mean in this guitar tab if they already gave the chords to play?
	If we can get people to the moon and back, why are we so adamant that it's impossible to service James Webb at 4x that with a one way robotic vehicle?
	Do all observations arise from probability distributions? How am Lable to simulate grays on my single speed?

even after strB no longer references it.

more hot questions



STACK OVERFLOW

Questions Jobs Developer Jobs Directory Salary Calculator Help Mobile

PRODUCTS

Teams Talent Advertising Enterprise

COMPANY

About
Press
Work Here
Legal
Privacy Policy
Terms of Service
Contact Us
Cookie Settings
Cookie Policy

STACK EXCHANGE NETWORK

Technology Culture & recreation Life & arts Science Professional Business API Data

Blog Facebook Twitter LinkedIn Instagram

site design / logo © 2021 Stack Exchange Inc; user contributions licensed under cc by-sa. rev 2021.12.22.41046