

Product

Is there a way to have a Rust closure that moves only some variables into it?

```
Ask Question
```

```
Asked 2 years, 2 months ago
Active 1 year, 8 months ago
Viewed 4k times
```



16



I have a general struct with settings and an extra variable setting that I want to tune and play around with.

For all possible values in an integer range, I want to start a (scoped) thread with this variable set to that value. Depending on this value, they do slightly different work.

Each of these threads should be able to read the general settings struct.

This does not compile:

```
error[E0373]: closure may outlive the current function, but it borrows 'score', which is owned by the current function
10 | crossbeam:scope(|scope| {
        ---- has type '&crossbeam_utils::thread::Scope<'1>'
for score in 0..MAX_FEASIBLE_SCORE {
12 |
          scope.spawn(L| {
                   ^^ may outlive borrowed value 'score'
             let work_result = do_cool_computation(&settings, score);
                                          ---- 'score' is borrowed here
note: function requires argument type to outlive "1"
 --> src/lib.rs:12:13
12 | /
            scope.spawn(|_| {
  let work_result = do_cool_computation(&settings, score);
14 |
              println!("{:?}", work_result);
help: to force the closure to take ownership of 'score' (and any other referenced variables), use the 'move' keyword
12 |
           scope.spawn(move [] {
```

This would invalidate &settings since the first loop iteration will take ownership of settings in a move closure.

The only easy ways to make it work were:

- copy the Settings struct into each thread (which in my real application is rather expensive)
- introduce an Arc around settings , which also feels a bit unfortunate.

Is there a way that we can circumvent reference counting here? Is there a way we can move score into the inner closure while still being allowed to reference settings?

```
multithreading rust closures move-semantics lifetime

Share Improve this question
Follow edited Apr 14'20 at 15:50

Shepmaster
305k • 59 • 824 • 1083
```

asked Oct 19 '19 at 0:5

By clicking "Accept all cookies", you agree Sack Exchange can store cookies on your device and disclose information in accordance with our Cookie Policy.

```
Accept all cookies • 4 • 33 669 Customize settings
```

Active Oldest Votes



11





Yes, it is possible to move only one or some variables into a closure (rather than all or none).

Yes, this can be used to "circumvent" reference counting.

I found an answer in the documentation of mayon:scope that turns out to be exactly about this problem: 'Accessing the stack data [from within a scoped threads scope]'. That page also has an example that is clearer than the pseudocode in this question.

It turns out that you can either:

• Use a move closure but refer to variables in the outer scope by shadowing them with a reference, therefore capturing them by reference rather than by value, using let settings = &settings :

```
crossbeam:scope(|scope| {
  let settings = &settings; // refer to outer variable by reference for score in 0..MAX_FEASIBLE_SCORE {
     scope.spawn(move [] {
    let work_result = do_cool_computation(settings, score);
        println!("{:?}", work_result);
     });
})
.unwrap():
```

 $\bullet \ \ \text{Use a normal closure, and only move the required variables by shadowing them inside the closure using \ \text{let score} = \text{score}:$

```
crossbeam:scope(|scope| { for score in 0..MAX_FEASIBLE_SCORE {
              scope.spawn([] {
                 let score = score; // capture only score
let work_result = do_cool_computation(&settings, score);
                 println!("{:?}", work_result);
              });
        })
         .unwrap();
Share
Improve this answer
Follow
 edited Apr 14 '20 at 15:54
   305k • 59 • 824 • 1083
 answered Oct 19 '19 at 1:27
   4,906 • 4 • 33 • 69
```

Super minor nitpick here, but you're not really "circumventing" anything, you're just not reference counting.

Oct 19 '19 at 2:09

@trentcl thanks! You are of course correct. 'Circumvention' is maybe a bit of sloppy language here. I have slightly altered the way it is written in the answer, but if you have better suggestions to make it more clear, feel free to propose one (and/or edit the answer yourself directly)

- Oawv Oct 19 '19 at 21:04

Your second answer does not work.

Apr 14 '20 at 15:54





The <u>closure! macro</u> gives the ability to selectively reference, move, or clone variables into a closure.

Example taken from the docs:

```
use closure::closure;
  let string = "move".to_string();
 let mut y = 20;
let rc = Rc::new(5);
  let closure = closure!(move string, ref x, ref mut y, clone rc, |arg: i32| {
 });
Variables that are captured but not listed default to being moved.
Improve this answer
Follow
 answered Apr 27 '20 at 16:14
  23.5k • 3 • 88 • 97
Your Answer
 Post Your Answer
By clicking "Post Your Answer", you agree to our terms of service, privacy policy and cookie policy
Not the answer you're looking for? Browse other questions tagged multithreading rust closures move-semantics lifetime or ask your own question.
  The Overflow Blog
   Sequencing your DNA with a USB dongle and open source code
  Don't push that button: Exploring the software that flies SpaceX rockets and...
  Featured on Meta
   Providing a JavaScript API for userscripts
  Congratulations to the 59 sites that just left Beta
Linked
 Does move on a closure copy the reference "pointer" or the actual object referenced?
 How do I solve "cannot return value referencing local data" when using threads and async/await?
 How can I use a field of a struct instance in an async move closure passed to a method of the instance?
Related
 Cannot move out of borrowed content when borrowing a generic type
 How do I return a reference to something inside a RefCell without breaking encapsulation?
 What are move semantics in Rust?
 How do I return a boxed closure from a method that has a reference to the struct?
 Why does the closure take ownership of the vector here?
 How to tell Rust to let me modify a shared variable hidden behind an RwLock?
 Rust: allow multiple threads to modify an image (wrapper of a vector)?
```

Creating callback function with closure on a parameter, without "may outlive borrowed value" or "this closure implements `FnOnce`, not `Fn`"

Rust nested closure moves and multiple owners

Hot Network Questions

- What is this large long-legged orange and black insect?
- What does this entry on the Rocinante's pilot quick-menu mean?
- How to install a package via 'apt-get' without flagging it as manually installed
- What does "Graecos Argos" in this sentence mean? (LLpsI)
- U Bit Rot within LUKS Encryption

more hot questions

Question feed

STACK OVERFLOW

Questions Jobs Developer Jobs Directory Salary Calculator Help Mobile

PRODUCTS

Teams Talent Advertising Enterprise

COMPANY

About
Press
Work Here
Legal
Privacy Policy
Terms of Service
Contact Us
Cookie Settings
Cookie Policy

STACK EXCHANGE NETWORK

Technology Culture & recreation Life & arts Science Professional Business API Data

Blog Facebook Twitter LinkedIn Instagram

site design / logo © 2021 Stack Exchange Inc; user contributions licensed under cc by-sa. rev 2021.12.22.41046