©Copyright 2021

Sean Gasiorowski

$HH \to b \bar b b \bar b$ or How I Learned to Stop Worrying and Love the QCD Background

Sean Gasiorowski

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

University of Washington

2021

Reading Committee:

Anna Goussiou, Chair

Jason Detwiler

Shih-Chieh Hsu

David Kaplan

Henry Lubatti

Thomas Quinn

Gordon Watts

Program Authorized to Offer Degree: Physics

University of Washington

Abstract

 $HH\to b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ or How I Learned to Stop Worrying and Love the QCD Background

Sean Gasiorowski

Chair of the Supervisory Committee: Professor Anna Goussiou Physics

Insert abstract here

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		P	age
List of F	'igures	5	ii
Glossary	·		iii
Chapter 1.1		The Standard Model of Particle Physics	1 1
Chapter	2:	Beyond the Standard Model	3
Chapter	3:	Experimental Apparatus	4
Chapter	4:	Simulation	5
Chapter	5:	Reconstruction	6
Chapter		The Anatomy of an LHC Search	7
$6.1 \\ 6.2$	_	et Selection and Identification	7 7
6.3	Backg	ground Estimation	7
6.4 6.5		rtainty Estimation	7 7
Chapter	7:	Search for pair production of Higgs bosons in the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ final state	8

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number Page

${\bf GLOSSARY}$

 $\label{eq:argument} \textbf{ARGUMENT: replacement text which customizes a \c LaTeX macro for each particular usage.}$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

As anyone who has written a Ph.D. thesis will probably tell you, it's been a journey. We laughed, we cried, we bled occasionally (though nothing too serious). A pandemic happened, I learned how to make sourdough (see the appendix for more details). I learned how to ski, discovered a love for hiking, and ate large amounts of cheese. The list of people who I have met and shared deep and memorable experiences with is long – I fear to list you all here in case I miss someone! – but please do know that I treasure you. This is the beauty and tragedy of doing a Ph.D. half in Seattle, half at CERN: it allows you to build strong friendships with a large group of people, and then scatters you all across the globe. So to the Seattle friends, to the CERN friends, to the friends from undergrad, and high school, and even earlier, and to everyone in between, thank you for being a part of my life, and I hope to see you soon.

Of course, a thank you to my family for their continuing support, vacationing adventures, and for trying their best to learn physics along with me (my dad re: ATLAS – "This is pretty complicated isn't it?").

And finally a huge thank you to my group: Anna, for your guidance and support, and for always caring about me as a person in addition to me as a physicist. And Jana, for guidance and support, of course, but also for looking at/giving comments on almost literally every single one of my talks (even if you didn't always get my jokes).

DEDICATION

To life!

THE STANDARD MODEL OF PARTICLE PHYSICS

"I may be bad, but I'm perfectly good at it" - Rihanna re: the Standard Model (SM), or so I've been told

The Standard Model of Particle Physics (SM) is a monumental historical achievement, providing a formalism with which one may describe everything from the physics of everyday experience to the physics that is studied at very high energies at the Large Hadron Collider (Chapter 3). In this chapter, we will provide a brief overview of the pieces that go into the construction of such a model. The primary focus of this thesis is searches for pair production of Higgs bosons decaying to four b-quarks. Consequently, we will pay particular attention to the relevant pieces of the Higgs Mechanism, as well as the theory behind searches at a hadronic collider.

1.1 Particles and Fields

What is a particle? The Standard Model describes a set of fundamental, point-like, objects (shown in Figure SM FIGURE). These objects have distinguishing characteristics (e.g., mass and spin). These objects interact in very specific ways. The set of objects and their interactions result in a set of observable effects, and these effects are the basis of a field of experimental physics.

The effects of these objects and their interactions are familiar as fundamental forces: electromagnetism (photons, electrons), the strong interaction (quarks, gluons), the weak interaction (neutrinos, W and Z bosons). Gravity is not described in this model, as the

weakest, with effects most relevant on much larger distance scales than the rest. However, the description of these other three is powerful – verifying and searching for cracks in this description is a large effort, and the topic of this thesis.

The formalism for describing these particles and their interactions is that of quantum field theory. Classical field theory is most familiar in the context of, e.g., electromagnetism – an electric field exists in some region of space, and a charged point-particle experiences a force characterized by the charge of the point-particle and the magnitude of the field at the location of the point-particle in spacetime. The same language translates to quantum field theory. Here, each particle is represented by a quantum field describing its influence on a region of spacetime. Particles also have charges which describe the forces they experience when interacting with other particles (other quantum fields). Most familiar is electric charge – however this applies to e.g., the strong interaction as well, where particles have an associated color charge describing behavior under the strong force.

Particles are observed to behave in different ways under these different forces. These behaviors respect certain *symmetries*, which are most naturally described in the language of group theory. The respective fields, charges, and generators of these symmetry groups are the basic pieces of the SM Lagrangian, which describes the full dynamics of the theory. In the following, we will build up the basic components of this Lagrangian.

- 1.1.1 Quantum Electrodynamics
- 1.1.2 The Weak Force
- 1.1.3 Quantum Chromodynamics
- 1.1.4 The Higgs mechanism

BEYOND THE STANDARD MODEL

The job of the experimental particle physicist is two-fold: observation and measurement of the various predictions of the Standard Model are important and exciting – the

Chapter 3 **EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS**

SIMULATION

THE ANATOMY OF AN LHC SEARCH

In this thesis so far, we have set the theoretical foundation for the work carried out at the LHC. We have described how one may translate between this theoretical foundation and what we are actually able to observe with the ATLAS detector. We have further stepped through the process of simulating production of specific physics processes and their appearance in our detector, allowing us to describe how a hypothetical physics model would be seen in our experiment. The question then becomes: all of these pieces are on the table, what do we do with them? This chapter attempts to answer exactly that, setting up a roadmap for assembling these pieces into a statement about the universe.

6.1 Object Selection and Identification

As described in Chapter 5, there is a complicated set of steps for going from electrical signals in a detector to physics objects.

- 6.2 Defining a Signal Region
- 6.3 Background Estimation
- 6.4 Uncertainty Estimation
- 6.5 Hypothesis Testing

SEARCH FOR PAIR PRODUCTION OF HIGGS BOSONS IN THE $bar{b}bar{b}$ FINAL STATE

This chapter presents two complementary searches for pair production of Higgs bosons in the final state. Such searches are separated based on the signal models being considered: resonant production, in which a new spin-O or spin-2 particle is produced and decays to two Standard Model Higgs bosons, and non-resonant production, which is sensitive to the value of the Higgs self-coupling λ_{HHH} . Further information on the theory behind both channels can be found in Chapter *TODO: Fill in theory chapter*.

While the searches face many similar challenges and procede (in broad strokes) in a very similar manner, separate optimizations are performed to maximize the respective sensitivities for these two very different sets of signal hypotheses. More particularly, resonant signal hypotheses are (1) very peaked in values of the mass of the HH candidate system near the value of the resonance mass considered and (2) considered across a very broad range of signal mass hypotheses. The resonant searches are therefore split into resolved and boosted topologies based on Lorentz boost of the decay products, with the resolved channel as one of the primary focuses of this thesis. Further, several analysis design decisions are made to allow for sensitivity to a broad range of masses – in particular, though sensitivity is limited at lower values of m_{HH} relative to other channels TODO: Combination, bbyy due to the challenging background topology, retaining and properly reconstructing these low mass events allows the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ channel to retain sensitivity up until the kinematic threshold at 250 GeV.

In contrast, non-resonant signal hypotheses are quite broad in m_{HH} , and have a much more limited mass range, with Standard Model production peaking near 400 GeV, and the majority of the analysis sensitivity able to be captured with a resolved topology. Even for Beyond the Standard Model signal hypotheses, which may have more events at low m_{HH} , the non-resonant nature of the production allows the $b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$ channel to retain sensitivity while discarding much of the challenging low mass background. Such freedom allows for decisions which focus on improved background modeling for the middle to upper HH mass regime, resulting in improved modeling and smaller uncertainties than would be obtained with a more generic approach.

Both searches are presented in the following, with emphasis on particular motivations for, and consequences of, the various design decisions involved for each respective set of signal hypotheses.