

Real-Time Scheduling and Analysis

Due: Monday, April 11 at 11:59pm, with 2 hour grace period. This due date is firm.

NO LATE ASSIGNMENTS accepted.

You can use any language of your choosing.

Submit via Github (use "RTOS" tag)

Part 1: Static Scheduling Tool

Write a simple software tool to read a periodic task set and generate a non-preemptive uni-processor static schedule for that workload. The output is a table that identifies the time each job is executed within the hyperperiod.

To generate the table, **simulate a non-preemptive earliest deadline scheduling algorithm for one hyperperiod. Use a deadline monotonic priority assignment for tasks.** Implement a simulated ready queue, in which jobs are placed at a rate matching their period. Simulate the dispatching based on priority of these periodic tasks at appropriate scheduling points (e.g. when a job is complete or released). When the processor is free, the scheduler selects the highest priority job in the queue and executes it non-preemptively until it completes. Another job can only be selected after that. If you run this simulation for one hyperperiod, you will get a series of start and complete times for every job for every task. You can use any built-in priority queue to maintain your ready queue or use one from the web. If you use the web, please cite your resource in your code.

Write a verification subroutine that, once a table has been created, confirms that each job has met its deadline. Have it list all jobs that will miss their deadline. **The utilization of these workloads is quite low, thus it isn't likely that you would get any missed deadlines, but the functionality should still exist.**

Run the following workloads through the tool. If a workload cannot be feasibly scheduled by your tool, you are permitted to re-write the workload by hand to split tasks with large worst-case execution times into two or more tasks whose combination performs the same amount of work within the same timing constraints.

Workload 1				Workload 2			
period	WCET	offset	deadline	period	WCET	offset	deadline
10	2	0	10	20	4	0	15
10	2	0	10	20	1	5	20
20	1	5	20	30	2	5	30
20	2	5	20	30	1	5	30
40	2	5	30	50	1	10	40
40	2	5	30	50	1	10	40
80	2	10	60	50	2	25	50
80	2	10	60	50	5	25	50

Start of the Table for Workload 2 (Some tasks have equal priority, thus results might look slightly different):

TIME	JOB
0	J11
4	Idle
5	J21
6	J31

8	J41
9	Idle
.....	

Part 2: Time Demand Analysis Tool

Write a simple software tool to perform a uni-processor time demand analysis on a periodic task set that is scheduled using a preemptive fixed priority discipline. Include a capability to model user-specified deadlines that are smaller than the period. Include a capability to model non-preemptable activities and mutually exclusive access within tasks (i.e. include support for blocking times, including self-suspension).

In the generated output report,

- Show the input model (i.e. the task set).
- For each task, list blocking times used in the analysis.
- Results of the analysis (i.e. the response time for each task).
- Where a workload is infeasible, indicate which subset of the tasks will always meet their deadlines and which subset is at risk of missing deadlines.

Given the following workload:

$T1 = (3,1)$, $T2 = (5,1)$, $T3 = (7,2.5)$, $T4 = (16,1)$

Your algorithm should produce: $w1 = 1$, $w2 = 2$, $w3 = 7.5$ (and/or missed deadline), $w4 = 14$

Use this software to analyze the following workloads to determine which are feasible and which are not. For all workloads, use a deadline monotonic priority assignment.

Workload 1

Task 1 has period and deadline 25ms, execution time 8ms.

Task 2 has period and deadline 50ms, execution time 13ms.

Task 3 has period and deadline 100ms, execution time 40ms.

Workload 2

Task 1 has period and deadline 20ms, execution time 5ms, with a 1ms self-suspension.

Task 2 has period and deadline 30ms, execution time 12ms.

Task 3 has period and deadline 50ms, execution time 15ms.

Workload 3

Task 1 has period 25ms, deadline 25ms, execution time 5ms.

Task 2 has period 30ms, deadline 23ms, execution time 12ms.

Task 3 has period 50ms, deadline 45ms, execution time 15ms.

Workload 4

This is identical to workload 1, except tasks 2 and 3 access a shared data structure and contain mutually exclusive critical sections that may execute for 2ms. (The 2ms is included in the execution times, i.e. task 2 has a maximum execution time of 13 ms, but it may be in a mutually exclusive section for up to 2ms at a time

during that 13ms.) Assume mutual exclusion is achieved by masking interrupts, i.e. non-preemption is used to insure mutual exclusion.