## Problem 2 Introduction

1a. Sneha Kelkar, sgk18001

1b. In this notebook, we are comparing two AI models to predict the most likely value of the motor UPDRS for Parkinson's patients. Primarily, we are using AdaBoost and Random forest. In the end, we will compare the results displayed by each model and pick the best

### 1c. Methods

- 1. As described, no missing value is recorded, so handling null values is not an issue
- 2. Even though it is unclear whether we should scale the values or not, through trial and error, scaling the variables produced better results
- 3. We will use GridSearch to optimize the models, comparing the validation values. We will optimize the models using GridSearchCV
- 4. We will use cross validation to obtain reliable estimates of the test mean squared error and make sure no patient is simultaneously represented in both split data sets

```
In [41]: # -----
       # load the libraries that are required for this project:
                            # Pandas is for data analysis and structure manipulation
       import pandas as pd
       import numpy as np
                                # NumPy is for numerical operations
```

## **Data Cleaning**

```
In [42]: # load the dataset and drop 'total_UPDRS'
         df = pd.read_csv('dataset_parkinson.csv')
         df = df.drop('total_UPDRS', axis = 1)
In [43]: #inspecting the data types and checking for missing values
        df.info()
        <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
        RangeIndex: 5875 entries, 0 to 5874
        Data columns (total 18 columns):
             Column
                           Non-Null Count Dtype
         #
             -----
                           -----
         0
             name
                           5875 non-null
                                          int64
            motor_UPDRS
         1
                           5875 non-null
                                          float64
            Jitter(%)
         2
                           5875 non-null
                                          float64
                           5875 non-null
         3 Jitter(Abs)
                                          float64
                           5875 non-null
         4
             Jitter:RAP
                                          float64
         5
            Jitter:PPQ5
                           5875 non-null
                                          float64
           Jitter:DDP
                           5875 non-null float64
         6
         7
             Shimmer
                           5875 non-null float64
         8
           Shimmer(dB)
                           5875 non-null float64
         9
             Shimmer:APQ3
                          5875 non-null float64
         10 Shimmer:APQ5
                           5875 non-null float64
         11 Shimmer: APQ11 5875 non-null float64
         12 Shimmer:DDA
                           5875 non-null float64
         13 NHR
                           5875 non-null float64
         14 HNR
                           5875 non-null
                                          float64
         15 RPDE
                           5875 non-null
                                          float64
         16 DFA
                           5875 non-null
                                          float64
         17 PPE
                           5875 non-null
                                          float64
```

In [44]: df.describe()

Out[44]:

	name	motor_UPDRS	Jitter(%)	Jitter(Abs)	Jitter:RAP	Jitter:PPQ5	Jitter:DDP	Shimmer	Shimmer(dB)	Shimmer:APQ3	Shimmer:APQ5
count	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000	5875.000000
mean	21.494128	21.296229	0.006154	0.000044	0.002987	0.003277	0.008962	0.034035	0.310960	0.017156	0.020144
std	12.372279	8.129282	0.005624	0.000036	0.003124	0.003732	0.009371	0.025835	0.230254	0.013237	0.016664
min	1.000000	5.037700	0.000830	0.000002	0.000330	0.000430	0.000980	0.003060	0.026000	0.001610	0.001940
25%	10.000000	15.000000	0.003580	0.000022	0.001580	0.001820	0.004730	0.019120	0.175000	0.009280	0.010790
50%	22.000000	20.871000	0.004900	0.000034	0.002250	0.002490	0.006750	0.027510	0.253000	0.013700	0.015940
75%	33.000000	27.596500	0.006800	0.000053	0.003290	0.003460	0.009870	0.039750	0.365000	0.020575	0.023755
max	42.000000	39.511000	0.099990	0.000446	0.057540	0.069560	0.172630	0.268630	2.107000	0.162670	0.167020

# Scaling and splitting the data

dtypes: float64(17), int64(1)

memory usage: 826.3 KB

```
In [45]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split, GridSearchCV
         from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
         unique_patients = df['name'].unique()
         np.random.shuffle(unique_patients)
         print(unique_patients)
         # Split unique patients into train and test groups
         train_patients, test_patients = train_test_split(unique_patients, test_size=0.3, random_state=42)
         train_df = df[df['name'].isin(train_patients)]
         test_df = df[df['name'].isin(test_patients)]
         X_train = train_df.drop(['motor_UPDRS', 'name'], axis = 1)
         X_test = test_df.drop(['motor_UPDRS', 'name'], axis = 1)
         y_train = train_df['motor_UPDRS']
         y_test = test_df['motor_UPDRS']
         scaler = StandardScaler()
         X_train_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X_train)
         X_test_scaled = scaler.transform(X_test)
         [37 19 41 29 2 11 12 28 26 16 10 15 25 31 27 38 17 13 6 30 34 33 9 36
```

after getting inconsistent results for sample values using 'StratifiedShuffleSplit' we can use 'test train split' from the same library which randomly splits the data into trianing and testing sets automatically for a balanced data set

# **Model Training**

5 32 20 40 42 3 21 4 39 22 35 1 8 23 18 24 7 14]

```
In [46]: from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
         from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
         from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
         from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
         from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostRegressor
         from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
         from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
         #based off of Practice 04
```

Random Forest

```
In [47]:
        # Hyper-parameter grid
         param_grid_rf = {
             'n_estimators': [10, 50, 100, 200],
             'max_depth': [10, 20, 30, 40],
             'max_features': [None, 'sqrt', 'log2'],
             'bootstrap': [True],
         rf = RandomForestRegressor(random_state =42)
         grid_rf = GridSearchCV(rf, param_grid=param_grid_rf, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error', cv=3, n_jobs=-1)
         grid_rf.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
         best_params_rf = grid_rf.best_params_
         best_score_rf = grid_rf.best_score_
         # Print the best parameters and their score on the validation set
         print("Best parameters for Random Forest:", best_params_rf)
         print("Best MSE on the validation set for Random Forest:", best_score_rf)
         # Now you can retrain your model on the full training set with the best parameters
         rf_best = RandomForestRegressor(**best_params_rf, random_state=42)
         rf_best.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
         y_pred = rf_best.predict(X_test_scaled)
         print(f'Mean squared error: {mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)}')
         Best parameters for Random Forest: {'bootstrap': True, 'max_depth': 10, 'max_features': None, 'n_estimators': 200}
         Best MSE on the validation set for Random Forest: -100.86027431055403
         Mean squared error: 73.51313658392789
```

Gradient Boosting (AdaBoost)

```
In [48]: param_grid_adaboost = {
             'n_estimators': [50, 100, 200],
             'learning_rate': [0.01, 0.1, 1],
             'estimator__max_depth': [1, 2, 3],
         base_estimator = DecisionTreeRegressor()
         estimator = AdaBoostRegressor(estimator=base_estimator)
         grid_search_ada = GridSearchCV(estimator=estimator, param_grid=param_grid_adaboost, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error', cv=3)
         grid_search_ada.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
         best_params_adaboost = grid_search_ada.best_params_
         best_score_adaboost = grid_search_ada.best_score_
         # Print the best parameters and their score on the validation set
         print("Best parameters for AdaBoost:", best_params_adaboost)
         print("Best score on the validation set for AdaBoost:", best_score_adaboost)
         best_model = DecisionTreeRegressor(max_depth = best_params_adaboost['estimator__max_depth'])
         best_model = AdaBoostRegressor(estimator = best_model, n_estimators = best_params_adaboost['n_estimators'],
                                        learning_rate = best_params_adaboost['learning_rate'], random_state=42)
         best_model.fit(X_train_scaled, y_train)
         y_pred = best_model.predict(X_test_scaled)
         test_MSE = mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred)
         print(f" Mean Squared Error on the test set: {test_MSE:.4f}")
         Best parameters for AdaBoost: {'estimator__max_depth': 2, 'learning_rate': 1, 'n_estimators': 200}
```

### Best score on the validation set for AdaBoost: -90.20736780385869 Mean Squared Error on the test set: 54.6584

1d. Results

According to the results displayed, Forest Tree shows better results. Therefore, we will pick it model for our application. When allowing patients to enter both the training and the test dataset, the results become much more reasonable. As it currently stands, the model cannot be used for prediction due to the lack of consistency in the data, this was. EDIT: I noticed that the more I ran the code, the worse the results became..