# Selective Lambda Lifting

 ${\bf Sebastian.graf@kit.edu}$ 

24 June 2019

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

```
f a 0 = a
f a n = f (g (n `mod` 2)) (n-1)
where
    g 0 = a
    g n = 1 + g (n-1)
```

```
f a 0 = a
f a n = f (g' a (n `mod` 2)) (n-1)

g' a 0 = a
g' a n = 1 + g' a (n-1)
```

```
f :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Int -> Int
f a b 0 = a
f a b 1 = b
f a b n = f (g n) a (n mod 2)
  where
   g 0 = a
   g 1 = b
   g n = n : h
     where
       h = g (n-1)
```

```
f :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Int -> Int
f a b 0 = a
f a b 1 = b
f a b n = f (g' a b n) a (n `mod` 2)
g' a b 0 = a
g' a b 1 = b
g' a b n = n : h
 where
   h = g' a b (n-1)
```

## Closure Conversion vs. Lambda Lifting

- Codegen strategies: turn local functions into global functions and auxiliary heap allocations
- Closure Conversion: References to free variables lowered as fields accesses on a closure record containing all FVs
- Lambda Lifting: Convert free variables into parameters, supplied as additional arguments at call sites

## Closure Conversion vs. Lambda Lifting

- Codegen strategies: turn local functions into global functions and auxiliary heap allocations
- Closure Conversion: References to free variables lowered as fields accesses on a closure record containing all FVs
- Lambda Lifting: Convert free variables into parameters, supplied as additional arguments at call sites

let f = 
$$\a$$
 b -> a\*x+b\*y  $\begin{subarray}{l} LL f \\ in f 4 2 \end{subarray}$  f' x y 4 2

let 
$$f = \a b c \rightarrow a*x + b*y + z$$
  
in g 5 x f

#### When not to lift?

• Argument occurrences

# in g 5 x f

When not to lift?

Argument occurrences

$$\downarrow LL f$$
f' a b c = a\*x + b\*y + z;
g 5 x (f' x y)

let  $f = \langle a b c \rangle = a \times x + b \times y + z$ 

## When not to lift?

• Argument occurrences

let 
$$f = \a b c \rightarrow a*x + b*y + z$$
  
in g 5 x f

$$\downarrow LL f$$
f' a b c = a\*x + b\*y + z;
let f = f' x y
in g 5 x f

- Argument occurrences
- Closure growth

- Argument occurrences
- Closure growth

$$\downarrow LL f$$
f' x y a b = a\*x + b\*y;
let g = \d -> f x y d d + x
in g 5

let 
$$f = \a b c d -> a*b*c*d*x*y*z$$
  
in f 1 2 3 4

- Argument occurrences
- Closure growth
- Calling convention

- Argument occurrences
- Closure growth
- Calling convention

```
let f = \a b c d -> a*b*c*d*x*y*z in f 1 2 3 4
```

```
LL f
f' x y z a b c d = a*b*c*d*x*y*z;
f' x y z 1 2 3 4
```

- Argument occurrences
- Calling convention
- Known calls to FVs

```
let f = \langle x \rangle 2 \times x
                             mapF = \xs -> case xs of
                                  -> []
                               x:xs' -> f x : mapF xs'
• Closure growth in mapF [1..n]
```

- Argument occurrences
- Closure growth
- Calling convention
- Known calls to FVs

```
let f = \langle x \rangle 2 \times x
    mapF = \xs -> case xs of
             -> []
       x:xs' -> f x : mapF xs'
in mapF [1..n]
             LL mapF
mapF' f [] = [];
mapF' f (x:xs') = f x : mapF' f xs'
let f = \langle x - \rangle 2 * x
in mapF' f [1..n]
```

- Argument occurrences
- Closure growth
- Calling convention
- Known calls to FVs
- Sharing

- Argument occurrences
- Closure growth
- Calling convention
- Known calls to FVs
- Sharing

let 
$$p = (,) \times y$$
  
in fst  $p + snd p$ 

$$\downarrow LL p$$
p x y = (,) x y

fst (p x y) + snd (p x y)

$$\downarrow LL f$$
f' x y a b = a\*x + b\*y;
let g =  $\d$  -> f x y d d + x
in g 5

let 
$$f = \a b -> a*x + b*y$$
  
 $g = \d -> f d d + x$   
in g 5

 Closure alloc minus syntactic call sites?

 Closure alloc minus syntactic call sites?

 Closure alloc minus syntactic call sites? X

- Closure alloc minus syntactic call sites? X
- Don't lift multi-shot occurrences?

```
let f = \langle a b \rangle = a \times x + b \times y
      g = \langle d \rangle
         let h = \langle e \rangle f e e
         in h x
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
                  \parallel LL f
f' x y a b = a*x + b*y;
let g = \langle d \rangle
         let h = \langle e \rangle f' \times y e e
         in h x
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
```

- Closure alloc minus syntactic call sites? X
- Don't lift multi-shot occurrences? X

```
let f = \langle a b \rangle = a \times x + b \times y
     g = \langle d \rangle
        let h1 = \langle e \rangle f e e
               h2 = \langle e - \rangle f e e+x*y
         in h1 d + h2 d
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
f' x y a b = a*x + b*y;
let g = \langle d \rangle
        let h1 = \langle e \rangle f' \times y e e
              h2 = \langle e \rangle f' x y e e + x * y
         in h1 d + h2 d
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
```

- Closure alloc minus syntactic call sites? X
- Don't lift multi-shot occurrences? X
- Cost model in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$

```
let f = \langle a b \rangle = a \times x + b \times y
      g = \langle d \rangle
         let h1 = \langle e \rangle f e e
               h2 = \langle e - \rangle f e e+x*y
         in h1 d + h2 d
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
f' x y a b = a*x + b*y;
let g = \langle d \rangle
         let h1 = \langle e \rangle f' \times y e e
               h2 = \langle e \rangle f' x y e e + x * y
         in h1 d + h2 d
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
```

- Closure alloc minus syntactic call sites? X
- Don't lift multi-shot occurrences? X
- Cost model in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$

```
let f = \langle a b \rangle = a \times x + b \times y
      g = \langle d \rangle
         let h1 = \langle e \rangle f e e
               h2 = \langle e - \rangle f e e+x*y
         in h1 d + h2 d
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
f' x y a b = a*x + b*y;
let g = \langle d \rangle
         let h1 = \langle e \rangle f' \times y e e
               h2 = \langle e \rangle f' x y e e + x * y
         in h1 d + h2 d
in g 1 + g 2 + g 3
```

## **Evaluation – Against Baseline**

Program	Bytes allocated	Runtime
cryptarithm1	-2.8%	-8.0%
grep	-6.7%	-4.3%
lambda	-0.0%	-13.5%
mate	-8.4%	-3.1%
minimax	-1.1%	+3.8%
n-body	-20.2%	-0.0%
queens	-18.0%	-0.5%
and 98 more		
Min	-20.2%	-13.5%
Max	0.0%	+3.8%
Geometric Mean	-0.9%	-0.7%

Figure 1: GHC baseline vs. late lambda lifting

## Evaluation - Closure Growth Heuristic

Program	Bytes allocated	Runtime
eliza	-2.6%	+2.4%
grep	-7.2%	-3.1%
integrate	+0.4%	+4.1%
lift	-4.1%	-2.5%
paraffins	+17.0%	+3.7%
prolog	-5.1%	-2.8%
wheel-sieve1	+31.4%	+3.2%
and 98 more		
Min	-7.2%	-3.1%
Max	+31.4%	+4.8%
Geometric Mean	+0.4%	-0.0%

Figure 2: Late lambda lifting with vs. without closure growth heuristic

## **Syntax**

Figure 3: An STG-like untyped lambda calculus

## Formally Estimating Closure Growth

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{cl-gr}_{\varphi^-}^-(\_)\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Var})\to \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Var})\to \mathsf{Expr}\to \mathbb{Z}_\infty \\ \\ \text{cl-gr}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(\mathsf{x})=0 \qquad \text{cl-gr}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(\mathsf{f}\ \overline{x})=0 \\ \\ \text{cl-gr}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(\mathsf{let}\ bs\ \mathsf{in}\ e)=\mathsf{cl-gr-bind}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(bs)+\mathsf{cl-gr}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(e) \\ \\ \text{cl-gr-bind}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(\overline{f=r})=\sum_i \mathsf{growth}_i+\mathsf{cl-gr-rhs}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(r_i) \qquad \nu_i=|\mathsf{fvs}(f_i)\cap\varphi^-| \\ \\ \mathsf{growth}_i=\begin{cases} |\varphi^+\setminus\mathsf{fvs}(f_i)|-\nu_i, & \text{if }\nu_i>0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \\ \text{cl-gr-rhs}_{\varphi^-}^-(\_)\colon \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Var})\to \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Var})\to \mathsf{Rhs}\to \mathbb{Z}_\infty \\ \\ \mathsf{cl-gr-rhs}_{\varphi^-}^-($\lambda\overline{x}\to e$)=\mathsf{cl-gr}_{\varphi^-}^{\varphi^+}(e)*[\sigma,\tau] \qquad n*[\sigma,\tau]=\begin{cases} n*\sigma, & n<0 \\ n*\tau, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \\ \sigma=\begin{cases} 1, & e \text{ entered at least once} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \\ \sigma=\begin{cases} 0, & e \text{ never entered} \\ 1, & e \text{ entered at most once} \\ 1, & \mathsf{RHS} \text{ bound to a thunk} \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## **Using Closure Growth**

Allow to lift let  $\overline{g = \lambda \overline{x} \to e}$  in e' when

$$\mathsf{cl\text{-}gr}_{\{\overline{g}\}}^{\alpha'(g_1)}(\mathsf{let}\ \overline{g} = \lambda \alpha'(g_1)\,\overline{x} \to e\ \mathsf{in}\ e') - \sum_i 1 + |\mathsf{fvs}(g_i) \setminus \{\overline{g}\}|$$

is non-positive

## Lambda Lifting

$$\begin{split} & [\operatorname{lift}_{\underline{-}}(\underline{\cdot}) \colon \operatorname{Expander} \to \operatorname{Expr} \to \operatorname{Expr}] \\ & \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \notin \operatorname{dom} \alpha \\ x \, \alpha(x), & \operatorname{otherwise} \end{cases} & \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha}(f \, \overline{x}) = \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha}(f) \, \overline{x} \\ \\ & \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha}(\operatorname{let} \ bs \ \operatorname{in} \ e) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha'}(e), & bs \ \operatorname{is} \ \operatorname{to} \ \operatorname{be} \ \operatorname{lifted} \ \operatorname{as} \ \operatorname{lift-bind}_{\alpha'}(bs) \\ \operatorname{let} \ \operatorname{lift-bind}_{\alpha}(bs) \ \operatorname{in} \ \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha}(e) & \operatorname{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ & where \\ & \alpha' = \operatorname{add-rqs}(bs, \alpha) \\ & \operatorname{add-rqs}(\overline{f} = \overline{r}, \alpha) = \alpha \, [\overline{f} \mapsto \operatorname{rqs}] \\ & \operatorname{add-rqs}(\overline{f} = \overline{r}, \alpha) = \alpha \, [\overline{f} \mapsto \operatorname{rqs}] \\ & where \\ & \operatorname{rqs} = \bigcup_{i} \operatorname{expander} \to \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Var}) \to \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Var}) \\ & \operatorname{expand}_{\alpha}(J) \colon \operatorname{Expander} \to \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Var}) \to \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{Var}) \\ & \operatorname{expand}_{\alpha}(J) \colon \operatorname{Expander} \to \operatorname{Bind} \to \operatorname{Bind} \\ & \operatorname{lift-bind}_{\alpha}(\underline{f} = \lambda \overline{x} \to e) = \begin{cases} \overline{f} = \lambda \overline{x} \to \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha}(e) & \operatorname{otherwise} \\ \overline{f} = \lambda \alpha(f) \, \overline{x} \to \operatorname{lift}_{\alpha}(e) & \operatorname{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

## Filler