GADTs Meet Their Match:

Pattern-Matching Warnings That Account for GADTs, Guards, and Laziness

SEBASTIAN GRAF, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany SIMON PEYTON JONES, Microsoft Research, UK

ACM Reference Format:

Sebastian Graf and Simon Peyton Jones. 2020. GADTs Meet Their Match:: Pattern-Matching Warnings That Account for GADTs, Guards, and Laziness. *Proc. ACM Program. Lang.* 1, ICFP, Article 1 (January 2020), 5 pages.

Authors' addresses: Sebastian Graf, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany, sebastian.graf@kit.edu; Simon Peyton Jones, Microsoft Research, Cambridge, UK, simonpj@microsoft.com.

```
Pattern Syntax
                                                  K \in \mathsf{Con}
                                      x, y, a, b \in Var
                                               \tau, \sigma \in \mathsf{Type}
                                                    e \in Expr
                                                                         := x : \tau
                                                                                     K \overline{a} \overline{\gamma} \overline{e : \tau}
                                                   \gamma \in \mathsf{TyCt} ::= \tau_1 \sim \tau_2 \mid \dots
                                                   g \in \mathsf{Grd}
                                                                           := let x : \tau = e;
                                                                                     K \overline{a} \overline{y} \overline{y : \tau} \leftarrow x;
                                                                                     !x;
                                                               Oracle Syntax
\Gamma ::= \varnothing \mid \Gamma, x : \tau \mid \Gamma, a
                                                                                                                                      Context
\Delta ::= \times | \checkmark | \delta, \Delta | \Delta_1 \vee \Delta_2
                                                                                                                                      Delta
```

 $\delta ::= \gamma \mid x_1 \approx x_2 \mid K \ \overline{a} \ \overline{x : \tau} \leftarrow y \mid x \not\approx K \mid x \approx \bot \mid x \not\approx \bot \mid \text{let } x = e \quad \text{Constraints}$

```
Pattern-match Result
                                                                          r ::= \langle \Theta_u, \Theta_d, \Theta_c \rangle
                                                \langle \Theta_u, \Theta_d, \Theta_c \rangle \cup_U \Theta = \langle \Theta_u \vee \Theta, \Theta_d, \Theta_c \rangle
                                                \langle \Theta_u, \Theta_d, \Theta_c \rangle \cup_D \Theta = \langle \Theta_u, \Theta_d \vee \Theta, \Theta_c \rangle
                                                          Constraint tree translation
                                                                                 ctt \overline{Grd} = r
\mathsf{ctt}\,\epsilon
                                                                    =\langle \times, \times, \checkmark \rangle
ctt (let x : \tau = e; \overline{g})
                                                                    = let x = e, ctt \overline{q}
                                                                    = (x \not\approx \bot, \operatorname{ctt} \overline{q}) \cup_D x \approx \bot
\mathsf{ctt} (!x; \, \overline{g})
\mathsf{ctt}\;(K\;\overline{a}\;\overline{\gamma}\;\overline{x}:\overline{\tau}\leftarrow y;\;\overline{g}) \;\;=\;\; (\overline{\gamma},K\;\overline{a}\;\overline{x}:\overline{\tau}\leftarrow y,\mathsf{ctt}\;\overline{g})\cup_D x\approx\bot\cup_U x\not\approx K
                                                              Pattern-match checking
                                                                              pmc \overline{\Delta} \overline{Grd} = r
   \mathrm{pmc}\;\Gamma\;\epsilon
                                                                                   =\langle \times, \times, \checkmark \rangle
   pmc \Gamma \Delta \text{ (let } x : \tau = e; \overline{q} \text{)}
                                                                                   = pmc (\Gamma, x : \tau) (\Delta, \text{let } x = e) \overline{g}
   pmc \Gamma \Delta (!x; \overline{g})
                                                                                   = pmc \Gamma(\Delta, x \not\approx \bot) \overline{q}
                                                                                                \cup_D (\Delta, x \approx \bot)
   pmc \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ (K \ \overline{a} \ \overline{\gamma} \ \overline{x : \tau} \leftarrow y; \ \overline{q}) = pmc \ (\Gamma, \overline{a}, \overline{x : \tau}) \ (\Delta, \overline{\gamma}, K \ \overline{a} \ \overline{x : \tau} \leftarrow y) \ \overline{q}
                                                                                                \cup_D \Delta \oplus x \approx \bot
                                                                                                 \cup_U \Delta \oplus x \not\approx K
```

TODO LIST

GADTs Meet Their Match: 1:3

Test if Oracle state Delta is unsatisfiable

$$\frac{ \left[\not\vdash_{SAT} \Gamma \vdash \Delta \right] }{ \not\vdash_{SAT} \Gamma \vdash f vs\Gamma \triangleright \Delta}$$

$$\frac{ \not\vdash_{SAT} \Gamma \vdash \Delta}{ \not\vdash_{SAT} \Gamma \vdash \Delta}$$

Test a list of SAT roots for inhabitants

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & \swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \overline{x} \triangleright \Delta \\
 & \swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash x_i \triangleright \Delta \\
 & \swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \overline{x} \triangleright \Delta
\end{array}$$

Test a single SAT root for inhabitants

$$\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \left[\swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash x \triangleright \Delta \right] \\ \swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx \bot \\ \end{array}}_{\left[\swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash x \triangleright \Delta \right]}
\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} \left[\swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash x \triangleright \Delta \right] \\ \swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash x \triangleright \Delta \end{array}}_{\left[\swarrow_{\text{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash x \triangleright \Delta \right]}$$

Add a single equality to Δ

$$\nvdash_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta \delta$$

Term stuff: Bottom, negative info, positive info + generativity, positive info + univalence

$$\frac{x \not\approx sth \in \Delta}{\bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx \bot} \qquad \frac{x \approx K \, \overline{y} \in \Delta}{\bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx \bot}$$

$$\frac{x \not\approx K \in \Delta}{\bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx K \, \overline{y}} \qquad \frac{x \approx K_i \, \overline{y} \in \Delta \quad i \neq j \quad K_i \text{ and } K_j \text{ generative}}{\bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx K \, \overline{y}}$$

$$\frac{x \approx K \, \overline{\tau} \, \overline{y} \in \Delta \quad \bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta \tau_i \sim \sigma_i}{\bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx K \, \overline{\sigma} \, \overline{z}} \qquad \frac{x \approx K \, \overline{\tau} \, \overline{y} \in \Delta \quad \bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta y_i \approx z_i}{\bigvee_{\mathsf{SAT}} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx K \, \overline{\sigma} \, \overline{z}}$$

Type stuff: Hand over to unspecified type oracle

 τ_1 and τ_2 incompatible to Givens in Δ according to type oracle

$$\not\vdash_{SAT} \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta \tau_1 \sim \tau_2$$

Mixed: Instantiate K and see if that leads to a contradiction TODO: Proper instantiation

$$\frac{\cancel{\nvdash}_{SAT} \ \Gamma \vdash y \triangleright \Delta \cup y \not\approx \bot}{\cancel{\nvdash}_{SAT} \ \Gamma \vdash \oplus \Delta x \approx K \ \overline{y}}$$

REFERENCES

Lennart Augustsson. 1985. Compiling pattern matching. In Proceedings of the 1985 Conference on Functional Programming and Computer Architecture.

- Edwin Brady. 2013a. Idris, a general-purpose dependently typed programming language: Design and implementation. *Journal of Functional Programming* 23 (9 2013), 552–593. Issue 05. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095679681300018X
- Edwin Brady. 2013b. Programming and Reasoning with Algebraic Effects and Dependent Types. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 133–144. https://doi.org/10.1145/2500365.2500581
- James Cheney and Ralf Hinze. 2003. First-class phantom types. Technical Report. Cornell University.
- Koen Claessen, Moa Johansson, Dan Rosén, and Nicholas Smallbone. 2013. Automating Inductive Proofs Using Theory Exploration.. In *CADE (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Maria Paola Bonacina (Ed.), Vol. 7898. Springer, 392–406.
- Thierry Coquand. 1992. Pattern matching with dependent types. In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Types for Proofs and Programs*.
- Joshua Dunfield. 2007a. Refined Typechecking with Stardust. In Proceedings of the 2007 Workshop on Programming Languages Meets Program Verification (PLPV '07). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1145/1292597.1292602
- Joshua Dunfield. 2007b. A Unified System of Type Refinements. Ph.D. Dissertation. Carnegie Mellon University. CMU-CS-07-129.
- Richard A. Eisenberg and Stephanie Weirich. 2012. Dependently Typed Programming with Singletons. In *Proceedings of the 2012 Haskell Symposium (Haskell '12)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 117–130. https://doi.org/10.1145/2364506.2364522
- M Erwig and SL Peyton Jones. 2000. Pattern guards and transformational patterns. In *Proceedings of the 2000 Haskell Symposium*. ACM.
- $\label{thm:conditional} \mbox{Jacques Garrigue and Jacques Le Normand. 2011. Adding GADTs to OCaml: the direct approach. In \mbox{\it Workshop on ML}. \\$
- Jean-Yves Girard, Paul Taylor, and Yves Lafont. 1989. Proofs and Types. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA.
- Georgios Karachalias, Tom Schrijvers, Dimitrios Vytiniotis, and Simon Peyton Jones. 2015. *GADTs meet their match (extended version)*. Technical Report. KU Leuven. http://people.cs.kuleuven.be/~george.karachalias/papers/gadtpm_ext.pdf
- Neelakantan R. Krishnaswami. 2009. Focusing on Pattern Matching. In *Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL '09)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 366–378. https://doi.org/10.1145/1480881.1480927
- Alain Laville. 1991. Comparison of Priority Rules in Pattern Matching and Term Rewriting. J. Symb. Comput. 11, 4 (May 1991), 321–347. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0747-7171(08)80109-5
- Fabrice Le Fessant and Luc Maranget. 2001. Optimizing Pattern-Matching. In *Proceedings of the 2001 International Conference on Functional Programming*.
- Luc Maranget. 1992. Compiling Lazy Pattern Matching. In Proceedings of the 1992 ACM Conference on LISP and Functional Programming (LFP '92). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 21–31. https://doi.org/10.1145/141471.141499
- Luc Maranget. 2007. Warnings for pattern matching. Journal of Functional Programming 17 (2007), 387-421. Issue 3.
- Luc Maranget. 2008. Compiling pattern matching to good decision trees. In Proceedings of the ACM Workshop on ML.
- Luc Maranget and Projet Para. 1994. Two Techniques for Compiling Lazy Pattern Matching. Technical Report.
- The Coq development team. 2004. *The Coq proof assistant reference manual*. LogiCal Project. http://coq.inria.fr Version 8.0. C. McBride and J. McKinna. 2004. The view from the left. *Journal of Functional Programming* 14, 1 (2004), 69–111.
- Neil Mitchell and Colin Runciman. 2008. Not All Patterns, but Enough: An Automatic Verifier for Partial but Sufficient Pattern Matching. In *Proceedings of the First ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Haskell (Haskell '08)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 49–60. https://doi.org/10.1145/1411286.1411293
- Ulf Norell. 2007. Towards a practical programming language based on dependent type theory. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden.
- Ulf Norell. 2008. Dependently typed programming in Agda. In In Lecture Notes from the Summer School in Advanced Functional Programming.
- Simon Peyton Jones, Dimitrios Vytiniotis, Stephanie Weirich, and Geoffrey Washburn. 2006. Simple Unification-based Type Inference for GADTs. In *Proceedings of the Eleventh ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '06)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 50–61. https://doi.org/10.1145/1159803.1159811
- Norman Ramsey, João Dias, and Simon Peyton Jones. 2010. Hoopl: A Modular, Reusable Library for Dataflow Analysis and Transformation. In *Proceedings of the Third ACM Haskell Symposium on Haskell (Haskell '10)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 121–134. https://doi.org/10.1145/1863523.1863539
- Patrick M. Rondon, Ming Kawaguci, and Ranjit Jhala. 2008. Liquid Types. In Proceedings of the 2008 ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation (PLDI '08). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 159–169. https://doi.org/10.1145/1375581.1375602
- Tom Schrijvers, Simon Peyton Jones, Manuel Chakravarty, and Martin Sulzmann. 2008. Type Checking with Open Type Functions. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '08)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 51–62. https://doi.org/10.1145/1411204.1411215
- Tom Schrijvers, Simon Peyton Jones, Martin Sulzmann, and Dimitrios Vytiniotis. 2009. Complete and Decidable Type Inference for GADTs. In Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP

- '09). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 341-352. https://doi.org/10.1145/1596550.1596599
- R. C. Sekar, R. Ramesh, and I. V. Ramakrishnan. 1995. Adaptive Pattern Matching. SIAM J. Comput. 24, 6 (Dec. 1995), 1207–1234. https://doi.org/10.1137/S0097539793246252
- Peter Sestoft. 1996. ML pattern match compilation and partial evaluation. In *Partial Evaluation*, Olivier Danvy, Robert Glück, and Peter Thiemann (Eds.). Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 1110. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 446–464. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-61580-6_22
- Tim Sheard. 2004. Languages of the Future. In In OOPSLA '04: Companion to the 19th annual ACM SIGPLAN conference on Object-oriented programming systems, languages, and applications. ACM Press, 116–119.
- W Sonnex, S Drossopoulou, and S Eisenbach. 2012. Zeno: An Automated Prover for Properties of Recursive Data Structures. Springer-Verlag Berlin, 407–421. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-28756-5_28
- Martin Sulzmann, Manuel M. T. Chakravarty, Simon Peyton Jones, and Kevin Donnelly. 2007. System F with Type Equality Coercions. In *Proceedings of the 2007 ACM SIGPLAN International Workshop on Types in Languages Design and Implementation (TLDI '07)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 53–66. https://doi.org/10.1145/1190315.1190324
- Peter Thiemann. 1993. Avoiding Repeated Tests in Pattern Matching. In 3rd International Workshop on Static Analysis, Gilberto Filé (Ed.). Padova, Italia, 141–152.
- Niki Vazou, Eric L. Seidel, Ranjit Jhala, Dimitrios Vytiniotis, and Simon Peyton-Jones. 2014. Refinement Types for Haskell. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming (ICFP '14)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 269–282. https://doi.org/10.1145/2628136.2628161
- Dimitrios Vytiniotis, Simon Peyton jones, Tom Schrijvers, and Martin Sulzmann. 2011. Outsidein(x) Modular Type Inference with Local Assumptions. J. Funct. Program. 21, 4-5 (Sept. 2011), 333–412. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0956796811000098
- Philip Wadler. 1987a. Efficient compilation of pattern matching. In *The implementation of functional programming languages*, SL Peyton Jones (Ed.). Prentice Hall, 78–103.
- P. Wadler. 1987b. Views: A Way for Pattern Matching to Cohabit with Data Abstraction. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGACT-SIGPLAN Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL '87)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 307–313. https://doi.org/10.1145/41625.41653
- Hongwei Xi. 1998a. Dead Code Elimination Through Dependent Types. In *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Practical Aspects of Declarative Languages (PADL '99)*. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 228–242.
- Hongwei Xi. 1998b. Dependent Types in Practical Programming. Ph.D. Dissertation. Carnegie Mellon University.
- Hongwei Xi. 2003. Dependently typed pattern matching. Journal of Universal Computer Science 9 (2003), 851-872.
- Hongwei Xi, Chiyan Chen, and Gang Chen. 2003. Guarded Recursive Datatype Constructors. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL '03)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 224–235. https://doi.org/10.1145/604131.604150
- Dana N. Xu. 2006. Extended Static Checking for Haskell. In *Proceedings of the 2006 ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Haskell (Haskell '06)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 48–59. https://doi.org/10.1145/1159842.1159849
- Dana N. Xu, Simon Peyton Jones, and Koen Claessen. 2009. Static Contract Checking for Haskell. In Proceedings of the 36th Annual ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages (POPL '09). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 41–52. https://doi.org/10.1145/1480881.1480889
- Brent A. Yorgey, Stephanie Weirich, Julien Cretin, Simon Peyton Jones, Dimitrios Vytiniotis, and José Pedro Magalhães. 2012. Giving Haskell a Promotion. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Types in Language Design and Implementation (TLDI '12)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 53–66. https://doi.org/10.1145/2103786.2103795