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**(a) in what environment (e.g. CPU type, memory size, OS type ...) the experimentation was performed**

CPU : AMD Ryzen 5 2600X Six-Core Processor (12 CPUs), ~3.6GHz

Memory : DDR4 16384MB RAM

OS : Windows 10

**(b) tables and graphs that show the execution time (unit:milisecond) for thread number = {1,2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16}**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Exec time(unit: ms) | Chunk  Size | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| static | Default | 5914 | 4360 | 2519 | 1811 | 1530 | 1356 | 1213 | 1220 | 1181 |
| Dynamic | Default | 5944 | 2983 | 1520 | 1151 | 1083 | 1063 | 1034 | 1092 | 1075 |
| Static | 10 | 5919 | 2989 | 1665 | 1731 | 1162 | 1187 | 1238 | 1122 | 1105 |
| Dynamic | 10 | 5913 | 3033 | 1568 | 1110 | 1123 | 1139 | 1012 | 1009 | 1010 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Performance  (1/exec time) | Chunk  Size | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| static | Default | 0.00016909 | 0.0002294 | 0.000397 | 0.0005522 | 0.0006536 | 0.0007375 | 0.0008244 | 0.0008197 | 0.0008467 |
| Dynamic | Default | 0.00016824 | 0.0003352 | 0.0006579 | 0.0008688 | 0.0009234 | 0.0009407 | 0.0009671 | 0.0009158 | 0.0009302 |
| Static | 10 | 0.00016895 | 0.0003346 | 0.0006006 | 0.0005777 | 0.0008606 | 0.0008425 | 0.0008078 | 0.0008913 | 0.000905 |
| Dynamic | 10 | 0.00016912 | 0.0003297 | 0.0006378 | 0.0009009 | 0.0008905 | 0.000878 | 0.0009881 | 0.0009911 | 0.0009901 |

**(c) The document should also contain explanation on the results and why such results can be obtained.**

First, static and dynamic load balancing gradually increases performance as the number of threads increases regardless of chunk size.

However, the performance increased until the number of threads was about 6, and the performance increase was insignificant because the overhead of context switching of the thread was greater than that of multi-tasking performance.

The reason for this result is that my computer has 6 cores of cpu and 12 threads.

In dynamic load balancing, the results were almost the same when the chunk size was default and at 10.

This is because there is little overhead for finding prime numbers in chunks, and if dynamic load balancing is good for obtaining prime numbers one by one or 10 by one, the execution speed is not much different.

Therefore, in the case of cyclic load balancing, unequal work distribution may occur when obtaining a few. For example, certain threads are always distributed in even numbers. Therefore, in the case of static 10, when the number of threads increases from 4 to 6, the execution time rather increases.

All execution results are no longer faster when there are more than 12 threads. The point at which the context switching overhead becomes larger is considered to be that point.