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**ECE 4960: Computational and Software Engineering**

**Spring 2019**

**Note 2: Numerical representation, precision and exception handling**

**Reading Assignments:**

1. Chap. 2, D. Bindel and J. Goodman, *Principles of Scientific Computing*, 2009.
2. Chap. 1, B. Einarsson, Ed., *Accuracy and Reliability in Scientific Computing*, SIAM 2005.

**1. Class logistics**

* Setup of your programming platform on your notebook computer
* Reading review 1 (multiple-choice questions on Blackboard)

**2. Setup of programming platform**

You are welcome to choose your own platform for programming assignment in this class, as long as debugging, multiple-author projects and version control can be performed (internal to your platform, or external by git or cvs). If you do not have a ready setup, there are a few resources that you can build your own IDE (integrated development environment).

For example, C/C++ run most naturally on Unix-derived systems, although plenty of IDEs on Windows/Mac OS are available as well. So, theoretically you do not really need your own real/virtual/network-connected Linux machine. If you do wish to use genuine Linux and Gnu C/C++ for a standard learnng, two choices are available:

1. You can build a virtual Linux machine. The platform suggested is Oracle Virtual Box on PC or Mac or Android-based platforms. You will need the software downloaded from <https://www.virtualbox.org/> and you should choose the Linux OS by Debian or Ubuntu.
2. You can use amdpool.ece.cornell.edu. Xterm from your Windows or MacOS should give you sufficient access of all features we need in this class.

To use an IDE directly on your laptop, code::block is suggested, and a tutorial is available in “Jumping into C++”, pp. 13 – 40, along with the download sites. IDE works across platforms, PC, Mac, Linux and RISC mainframe machines, although it is most native to Unix-derived systems and belongs to the general family of Gnu[[1]](#footnote-1). You will need gcc and gdb prior to install code::block. You can use your own choices of C++ compiler and debugger within code::block. If you have no C++ access yet on your platform, download the Gnu gcc and gdb first, as it is required in the IDE setup.

Alternatively, many large-scale projects still employ generic “makefile” native to any Unix-derived systems. Not only text-based development platform is more portable and scalable[[2]](#footnote-2) than GUI-based IDE, it is also much less error prone. Imagine how annoying it is to programmers when the troubling bug is actually in the IDE with no direct access!! If you choose to use the basic “makefile”, you will also need a reasonable text editor such as vim and emacs, where automatically indentation and highlights are used in text rendering but not in the source files.

**3. Precision estimate and remedy**

**3.1 Representing integers and real numbers by binary**

The digital computer has binary representation in the core hardware, and therefore all computations in are subject to length of bits in representation regardless of the data type. The real world is however more conventionally represented by integers and floating-point numbers[[3]](#footnote-3). Table 1 shows the IEEE 754 standard for integer and floating-point representations by binary bits. This is the most popular standands in all computing platforms and high-level languages. The standard represents the best trade-offs in computational efficiency, semantic consistency and asymptotic behavior. It may not be the best in specific instances, as we will see in examples below.

**Table 1.** Integer and floating-point implementation in IEEE Standard.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Data type  (C++ declaration) | Number of bits | Attributes | Exception handling | Smallest possible[[4]](#footnote-4) | Largest possible |
| Integer  (short) | 16 | Seldom used now | None | −215 | 215 − 1 |
| Integer  (long) | 32 | 1 sign bit; | None | −231 | 231 − 1 |
| Single precision floating point  (real) | 32 | Seldom used now;  1 sign bit (*s*);  23 mantissa bits (*f*);  8 exponent bits (*e*).  Normalized:  *x=(-1)s⋅(1.f)⋅2e-127*  127 is “the bias”. | ***NaN****:*  *e=255; f≠0*  ***INF****:*  *e=255; f=0; s=0*  ***NINF****:*  *e=255; f=0; s=1* | Only by normalized *e*: 2−126  Gradual underflow:  2-23⋅2-126 = 2-149 ≅1.4×10-45 | (2−2−23) ⋅2127 ≅3.4×1038 |
| Double precision floating point  (double) | 64 | 1 sign bit (*s*);  52 mantissa bits (*f*);  11 exponent bits (*e*).  Normalized: (1 ≤ *e* ≤ 2046)  *x=(-1)s⋅(1.f)⋅2e-1023*  1023 is “the bias”.  Denormals: (*e* = 0)  *x=(-1)s⋅(0.f)⋅2e-1022* | ***NaN****:*  *e=2047; f≠0*  ***INF****:*  *e=2047; f=0; s=0*  ***NINF****:*  *e=2047; f=0; s=1*  *+0: e=0; f=0; s=0*  *–0: e=0; f=0; s=1* | Only by normalized *e*: 2−1022  Gradual underflow:  2-52⋅2-1022 = 2-1074 ≅4.9×10-324 | (2−2−52) ⋅21023 ≅1.8×10308 |

Additionally, underflow is treated explicitly for floating points, signaled by *e* = 0 and *f* ≠ 0. We will talk more about this exception handling in the future section.

**3.2 Exception handling in integers with finite number of bits**

Unlike floating points, integers in their natural meaning are exact (so that error correction can actually happen), and conversion between modules (say from decimal to binary integers) does not carry any error. This is not true when type conversion is forced to be made. Integers in most programming languages have very limited exception handling of overflows and NaN (no realistic underflow exception for integers is needed). The round-offs from floating-point to integers are often not standardized during type conversion. For example,

long i = 5/3; return (i);

Most C/C++ will return 1 (truncation), while some Matlab will return 2 (0.5 roundoff rules).

**3.2.1 Overflowing in integers**

Most often integer overflow does not have regulated exception handling and signaling, and it is subject to the user’s own check. For most C/C++ calculation, integers are represented by 32 bits, with one bit as the sign. Therefore the range is between −231 to 231, which is only around tens of billions. Yes, it is even insufficient for the address of today’s memory storage. One of the most common large integer operations is the factorial, where 12! and below are correct but above that the result can be wrong, or even become negative. If you are anticipating large numbers, either tolerate the limited range, or you would have to concatenate multiple integers to one, or you will just perform binaries directly. The latest case is the standard practice in cryptography, as factorization of integers represented by more than 4,000 bits is common. This for sure will slow down the integer operations considerably (more than 10 times), although it is relatively straightforward in coding a special class in C++ with operator overloading.

**Hacker practice 2.1:** Use your platform to see the integer exception handling

// Observe NaN and INF handling in integers

//

long m = 0; n = 0; intResult1; intResult2;

intResult1 = 1/m;

intResult2 = m/n;

print(intResult1, intResult2);

// Observe overflow handling in integers

//

long i = 1; intFactorial = 1; iLimit = 50;

for (i= 2; i < iLimit; i++) {

intFactorial \*= i;

print(i, intFactorial)

}

In comparison, Python directly implements the default integer with adaptive size (minimal is 32 bits). For factorial calculation above, Python will compute correct results without overflow. You can test out the correctness by including a division back in the above programs, and Python will faithfully return to 1. However, please notice how much time the computation will take, even on your computer with higher than 3 GHz CPU! For extremes, set iLimit above to 5,000.

**Group discussion:** What do you think is the best strategy to implement 1/0 in integer operations?

(1) Give the largest integer;

X = 14!, y = 15! (x==y) returns true;

(2) Reserve a symbol in integers and signal the exception;

Code stops.

(3) Change to floating points INF and signal the exception;

Internal change of representation may cause error. Cannot do that in most modern type language.

(4) Do not regulate it. IEEE 7.5.4

Similarly, NaN is only regulated as a floating-point representation. In integers, there can be further ambiguity in NaN implementation without type conversion. Most platforms do not handle integer exception at all! Often implicit or explicit type conversion is often worse in making the code more consistent!

**3.3 Computation of floating points with finite precision**

**3.3.1 The quadratic solution: when everything is known**

We will use the quadratic solution to illustrate how precision and truncation errors affect your computing, and then we will generalize the observation to broader questions.

For a quadratic equation:

 (1)

We know the closed-form solution of the two roots can be expressed in the form of

 (2)

We know the degenerate situation of *a = 0* as well, which will bring one of the roots to be undefined. For a nearly degenerate situation of:

*a = 10-5; b = 103; c = 103*.

We obtain (with single precision of 10-9 in floating-point expression):

*x1* = −1.0 and *x2* = −3.0518×107.

Another equivalent way to write down Eq. (2) is:

 (3)

You can prove analytically that the two are “symbolically” identical, or we can say that the two closed-form solutions are equivalent IF we have infinite precision in the calculation. However, with single precision, we will find:

*x1* = −1.0 and *x2* = −3.2768×107.

**Group discussion:** What does your calculator say by using Eqs. (2) and (3)? How can you make double precision calculation to show such problems?

**3.3.2 The condition number of the formalism**

Next we consider the “condition number” *κ*, which describes how relative input error is related to the relative output function error:

, or  (4)

For sure, when a function *f* is “**ill-conditioned**” (very large *κ*), then the relative error will be magnified. If we use *f* two times as *f(f(x))*, we will then have uncontrollable error eventually, whatever the finite precision we use. However, we will see that “ill-conditioned” is only a sufficient reason, but not necessary, as there are other erroneous sources as will be shown in the simple quadratic example.

As *f = 0* for finding the root, we will need to use the average of *f(x)* and *f(x+Δx)* in Eq. (4) to avoid the apparent degeneracy. The condition number *κ* at the accurate root −1 is:



The condition number at the other “polluted” root is:



The condition number looks normal for both cases!!! This is because the error at hand is not the formal solution algorithm that blows up the relative error, but the finite precision has caused the inaccuracy. The condition numbers for both Eqs. (2) and (3) are within reasonable bounds.

**3.3.3 Thoughts on formulation conditioning and floating-point precision**

The root of the precision error stems from finite precision of the floating-point representation. When a small number is added to a much larger number, the precision of the small number is truncated out. If we substract the large number (or directly the difference of two large numbers has less precision), the answer has much less precision than what is initially set. This is common in many computing applications, including estimation of slope, margin, common-mode rejection, etc. Notice that multiplication, even though taking more time, is more benign to preserve the precision of the operands. However, if we go through the exponential-logarithmic conversion between the addition and multiplication, the problem remains. Truncation errors are built in features for finite precision. Usually you can only do better by extending the precision, such as representing a floating-point number by 128 bits (called quad precision!)

Now, we need to ask: which calculation is more “precise” or “trustworthy”, or whether they are any useful? The first thing came tfo mind is to substitue back those two inconsistent roots:

*f*(−3.0518×107) = −2.12×1010; *f*(−3.2768×107) = −2.20×1010

We can immediately recognize both are pretty far away from zero. We are actually fortunate here, as we know the exact form of solution (a “**formal verification**”) so that an invese check is straightforward. However, in general situation of a much more complex problem to solve for ***y*** *= f(****x****)* where ***x*** and ***y*** are large vectors and *f* is a complex nonlinear function, we are not sure if the back substitution inconsistency is the result of precision error or some other possible errors in the algorithm or code.

For these rare cases when the “formal solution” is known, we can indeed do much better by using the perturbation. In general, if we know the perturbation response of a system (or test the perturbation numerically), we can use alternative forms to do much better. For this quadratic solution, we know that the most precision error in Eq. (2) is caused by the subtraction in the numerator. As *4ac << b2*, we can use the local approximation to improve our solution:

 (5)

We now obtain the two roots of –1 and 1 – 108! If we perform back substitution, we will have *f(1 – 108)* = 10-5, which is indeed a much closer solution than previous calculation of Eqs. (2) or (3) as *f*(−3.0518×107) = −2.12×1010 and *f*(−3.2768×107) = −2.20×1010 in terms of the residual of *f(x)*!

This principle can be generalize to expand the precision for degenerate cases! It would be a worthy practice to calculate beyond the double precision, which in practice can be used to get the infinite precision for known irrational number of π and e.

If we do not know the formal solution, as in most cases in real life, the next best thing to know is the **asymptotic** behavior. Do we know the behavior or the function at 0, infinity or at special points? We will cover this more when we have a right context.

For sure, some other coding errors can have the same “coincidence”, and it would be in general hard to tell the exact source of errors. Notice that this is NOT the effect of the local slope, as the slope at the zero-crossing point of the quadratic equation is the same symbolically.

The ill condition and the precision error have different sources, but are very difficult to separate in the realistic complex problems. Another possible way is to resort to even higher precision in numerical representation (such as quad precision to represent a floating point number by 128 bits). However, it would still have some ambiguity, unless the exact operation of large *κ* or precision error can be identified to the specific line in computational code.

**Hacker practice 2.2:** Solve the quadratic equation for *a = 10-20; b = 103; c = 103* in your platform for the three approximations above. Where is the potential problem in precision? Introduce ways to detect and compensate the nearly degenerate condition.

**4. Exception definition and handling in floating points**

In addition to the **truncation error** from precision to potentially lose precision outside the representation, there is another consequence for the limited number of digits to represent a floating point number in terms of the smallest and largest numbers representable, i.e., overflow and underflow. IEEE had recognized this issue in the early days of computing, and had developed heuristic exception handling rules that are good for most (not all) situations. There are three main categories, not a number (NaN, where the computer cannot make a guess from the present representation), overflow (the number is too large) and underflow (the number is too close to zero that the precision from rounding can be lost).

**4.1 Not a number in floating point representation**

In the most straightforward example, the computation of 0.0/0.0 or   will treated as an unrecoverable error which signals an exception. There are examples where it makes sense for a computation to continue in such a situation. For example, we call an external function *f* with a returned argument, not sure how the computation is handled for events like 0.0/0.0 or  (can be the quadratic root function before). Instead of halting the entire program, a NaN can be returned when the function cannot figure out the operation, maybe the input is given in the wrong domain by the user.

A list of some of the situations that can cause a NaN are given in Table 2.  It is easy to see what the result of combining a NaN with an ordinary floating-point number should be. For example, the function *f*  returns the quadratic roots of Eq. (2). If b2 – 4ac < 0, then *f* should return a NaN. Since b2 – 4ac < 0,  is a NaN, and  should be a NaN, if the sum of a NaN and any other number is a NaN. Similarly if one operand of a division operation is a NaN, the quotient should be a NaN. In general, whenever a NaN participates in a floating-point operation, the result is another NaN.

**Table 2.** NaN generation and propagation in floating point operations

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Operation | NaN produced by |
| +, − | INF – INF, INF + NINF, etc. |
| × | 0 × INF |
| / | 0/0, INF/INF, INF/NINF, etc. |
| % or REM | *x*%0, INF%*y*, etc. |
|  | *x* < 0 |

When a floating-point operation raises a floating-point exception, the status of the floating-point environment changes (ex. the environmental variable SIGFPE changes from 0 where SIGFPE stands for “signaling” floating-point exception), which can be tested in C++ with [std::fetestexcept](http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/numeric/fenv/fetestexcept" \o "cpp/numeric/fenv/fetestexcept), but the execution of a C++ program on most implementations continues uninterrupted. Not all platforms implement the SIGFPE signaling the same way, and it is certainly an issue when the program is ported to different platforms.

In IEEE 754, NaNs are often represented as floating-point numbers with the exponent emax + 1 and nonzero mantissa, while INF and NINF have the exponent *emax* + 1 as well but with zero mantissa and the respective sign bit. In double precision, emax + 1 = 2047 (11 bits of “1” in binary form: (11111111111)2). Implementations are free to put system-dependent information into the mantissa. Thus there is not a unique NaN, but rather possibly a whole family of NaNs. When a NaN and an ordinary floating-point number are combined, the result should be the same as the NaN operand. Thus if the result of a long computation is a NaN, the system-dependent information in the mantissa will be the information that was generated when the first NaN in the computation was generated. Actually, there is a caveat to the last statement. If both operands are NaNs, then the result will be one of those NaNs, but it might not be the NaN that was generated first.

**4.2 Overflow in floating points**

The INF and NINF exceptions provide a way to continue code execution when an overflow occurs. This is much safer than simply returning the largest representable number. As an example, consider computing  where (double) *x =*5×10160and *y* = 4×10160.  The best answer will be 3×10160, but during the computation x2 and *y2* will overflow. If *x2* and *y2* are replaced with the largest number (DBL\_MAX), we obtain a false impression of 0.0!!!! It is safer to report and propagate INF for *x2* and NaN for .

0.0 divided by 0.0 returns NaN. A nonzero number divided by 0.0, however, returns INF: 1/0 = INF and −1/0 = NINF. The reason for the distinction is this: if f(x) → 0 and g(x) → 0 as x approaches some limit, then f(x)/g(x) could have any value. For example, when f(x) = sin x and g(x) = x, then f(x)/g(x) → 1 as x → 0. But when f(x) = 1 − cos x, f(x)/g(x) → 0. When thinking of 0/0 as the limiting situation of a quotient of two very small numbers, 0/0 could represent anything[[5]](#footnote-5). Thus in the IEEE standard, 0/0 results in a NaN. In some implementation, you can distinguish between getting INF because of overflow and getting INF because of division by zero by checking the environmental status flags SIGFPE. The overflow flag will often be set in the first case, the division by zero flag in the second. However, this is also platform dependent.

The rule for determining the result of an operation that has infinity as an operand is simple: replace infinity with a finite number x and take the limit as x → INF. Thus 3/INF = 0.0. Similarly, 4 – INF = NINF, and sqrt(INF) = INF. When the limit doesn't exist, the result is a NaN, so INF/INF will be a NaN. This agrees with the reasoning used to conclude that 0/0 should be a NaN. When a subexpression evaluates to a NaN, the value of the entire expression is also a NaN. In the case of INF and NINF, however, the value of the expression might be an ordinary floating-point number because of rules like 1/INF = 0.0.

Here is a practical example that shows some potential problems in infinity arithmetic. Consider computing the function *f(x)* = x/(x2 + 1). This is not a good formula for infinity arithmetic, because not only will it overflow when x is larger than , but INF will give the wrong answer because it will yield 0, rather than a number near 1/x. However, x/(x2 + 1) can be rewritten as 1/(x + x-1). This expression will not overflow prematurely! Because of infinity arithmetic, we will have the correct value even when x = 0 or INF: 1/(x + x-1) = 1/(0 + INF) = 1/INF = 0. Without infinity arithmetic, the expression 1/(x + x-1) requires a test for x = 0, which not only adds extra instructions, but may also disrupt a pipeline in parallel computing. This example illustrates a general fact: namely that infinity arithmetic often avoids the need for special case checking; however, formulas need to be carefully inspected to make sure they do not have spurious behavior at infinity (as x/(x2 + 1) did).

**Hacker practice 2.3:** Use your platform to see the floating-point exception handling

// Generating NaN and INF in double

//

double x = 0.0; y = 0.0; doubleResult1; doubleResult2;

doubleResult1 = 1/m;

doubleResult2 = m/n;

print(doubleResult1, doubleResult2);

If (doubleResult1 == doubleResult1)

print(INF == INF);

If (doubleResult2 == doubleResult2)

print(NaN == NaN)

**4.3 Gradual underflow and denormals**

**4.3.1 Signed zero**

Zero is represented by the zero exponent and the zero mantissa. With the “bias” in the floating point representation, the zero *e* in the normal expression of *(–1)s⋅(1.f)⋅2e-1023* corresponds to *emin – 1* or (11111111110)2 or −(1022)10. Notice that (*e*)2 for (11111111111)2 is reserved for exception of NaN and INF. Since the sign bit in the 64-bit floating point representation can take on two different values, there are two zeros, +0 and −0. If a distinction were made when comparing +0 and −0, simple tests like if (*x* == 0) would have very unpredictable behavior, depending on the sign of *x*. Thus the IEEE standard defines comparison so that +0 == −0, rather than −0 < +0. Although it would be possible always to ignore the sign of zero, the IEEE standard does not do so in exception handling. When a multiplication or division involves a signed zero, the usual sign rules apply in computing the sign of the answer. Thus 3·(+0) = +0, and (+0)/(−3) = −0. If zero did not have a sign, then the relation 1/(1/x) = x would fail to hold when x = INF or NINF. The reason is that 1/NINF and 1/INF both result in 0, and 1/0 results in INF, the sign information having been lost. One way to restore the identity 1/(1/x) = x is to only have one kind of infinity, however that would result in the disastrous consequence of losing the sign of an overflowed quantity.

Another example of the use of signed zero concerns underflow and functions that have a discontinuity at 0, such as log(.). In IEEE arithmetic, it is natural to define log(0) =NINF and log x to be a NaN when x < 0 including –0. Suppose that x represents a small negative number that has underflown to zero. Thanks to signed zero, x will be negative, so log(*x*) can return a NaN. However, if there were no signed zero, the log function could not distinguish an underflown negative number from 0, and would therefore have to return NINF. Another example of a function with a discontinuity at zero is the signum(.) function, which returns the sign of a number.

Probably the most interesting use of signed zero occurs in complex arithmetic. To take a simple example, consider the equation . This is certainly true when z ≥ 0. If z = −1, the obvious computation gives  and . Thus, ! The problem can be traced to the fact that square root is multi-valued in the complex plane, and there is no way to select the values so that it is continuous in the entire complex plane. However, square root is continuous if a branch cut consisting of all negative real numbers is excluded from consideration. This leaves the problem of what to do for the negative real numbers, which are of the form x + i0, where x < 0. Signed zero provides a feasible way to resolve this problem. Numbers of the form x + i(+0) have one sign  and numbers of the form x + i(−0) on the other side of the branch cut have the other sign   . In fact, many native formulas for computing sqrt() will give these results.

Although distinguishing between +0 and −0 has advantages, it can occasionally be confusing. For example, signed zero destroys the relation x = y ⇔ 1/x = 1/y, which is false when x = +0 and y = −0. This is because it is mandated that signed zero has equality of +0 = −0 to avoid ambiguous statement in (*x* == 0), but INF and NINF are not equal. However, the IEEE committee decided that the advantages of utilizing the sign of zero outweighed the disadvantages, so signed zero is kept in the IEEE 754 standard.

#### 

#### Hacker Practice 2.4:

#### Write a small function to test +0 and –0, i.e., isPostiveZero(x) and isNegativeZero(x). Then in an upper-level function, test +0; –0; DBL\_MAX; INF; NINF; NaN to test these two functions and generate report!

#### Write a small function to test INF, NINF and NaN in a similar way.

#### 4.3.2 Denormalized underflowed numbers

With the “normal” or “normalized” expression when the *e* field represents a negative number and the mantissa = (1.*f*)2 > 1, the smallest number representable in double precision is 2−1022. When we have x = (1.1011)2×2−1020 and y = (1.1010)2×2−1020 appear to be representable, legal floating-point numbers. They have a strange arithmetic property: *x – y = 0* even though x ≠ y under the strict normalized definition of mantissa (1.f) and exponent!!! The reason is that x − y = 2−1024 is too small to be represented as a normalized number, and so *would be* flushed to zero. We now have to ask: How important is it to preserve the property x = y⇔ x − y =0 ?

It is very easy to imagine writing the code fragment, if (*x ≠ y*) then *z* = 1/(*x − y*), and much later having a program fail due to a spurious division by zero. Tracking down bugs like this is frustrating and time consuming. On a more philosophical level, computer science textbooks often point out that even though it is currently impractical to prove large programs correct as *formal verification*, code testing/validating always results in much better and reliable code against programmer bugs and attacking virus. For example, introducing invariants is quite useful in code verification, even if they aren't going to be used as part of a formal proof. The floating-point code is just like any other code: it helps to have provable facts on which to depend. Similarly, knowing that x = y⇔ x − y =0 is most usually true makes writing reliable floating-point code easier. If it is not true for all numbers, it cannot be used to prove anything. The IEEE standard uses denormalized numbers (aka, “**denormals**”) to implement “soft landing” to approach zero when the computational result is below 2−1022, which can then guarantee x == y⇔ x − y ==0 to a much larger range, as well as other useful relations.[[6]](#footnote-6) The idea behind denormals for gradual underflow to approach 0 is very simple. When the exponent is emin (emin = −1022 for double precision), the Mantissa is denoted as denormalized. The exponent emin is used to represent denormals. More formally, if the bits in the mantissa field are b1,b2, ..., bp -1, and the value of the exponent is e:

* When e > emin – 1, the number being represented is 1.b1b2...b*p-1* × 2e  ;
* When e = emin – 1, the number being represented is 0.b1b2...b*p-1* × 2e + 1. [[7]](#footnote-7)

The +1 in the exponent is needed because denormals have an exponent of emin, not emin − 1. With denormals, x − y does not flush to zero but is instead represented by the denormalized number. This behavior is called gradual **underflow**. It is easy to verify that x == y⇔ x − y ==0 always holds when using gradual underflow.

Notice that the gap between 0 and the smallest normalized floating-point number is 2−1022 when denormals are not used. If the result of a floating-point calculation falls into this gap, it can be flushed to zero and cause an artificial discontinuity. When denormals are used, the “gap” is filled in, and when the result of a calculation is less than 2−1022, it is represented by the nearest denormal, which avoids the “flush to zero” by another 52 bits! Because of this, many algorithms that can have large relative error for normalized numbers close to the underflow threshold are well-behaved in this range with the denormal representation.

**Hacker Practice 2.5**:

How does the following pseudo code show graduate underflow behavior of floating-point computation?

// Make x with easily observable precision

//

double x = 1.234567890123456789; int i = 1;

// The normalized number is above 4.9407\*10^(-324)

//

x \*= 10^(–307);

// Decrease the normalized number to the range of denormals

//

for (i=1; i<20; i++) {

x /= 10.0;

print(x);

}

Suggest another way to observe the implementation of underflow in your platform.

**5 Data structures in C++**

**5.1 Conventional data structures of array and struct**

To handle large data, it is often desirable to create a data “structure”. Data structure needs to be thought very carefully before designing the program, as a modification to the data structure will change almost every part that uses the given structure, which means the least code-reuse and the most danger in accidental errors. One of the main reasons to migrate from C to C++ is to avoid pinning down the data structure too early. An “object” (an improved version of struct) has pre-defined methods of access (aka procedural interface), instead of the defined data structure.

The simplest data structure, assuming all elements are of basic types, is the “array” in most languages. Array has a regular “pointer” structure and fixed element size, and access to arbitrary elements can be done very fast by performing integer arithmetic to the index. This is true for any dimension of arrays, which makes it extremely efficient in execution and in memory usage for both serial and parallel computing. It is of no surprise that most operations of linear algebra and physical simulation will prefer arrays. However, the real world may not be that “regular”, and there are concerns that may lead to inconvenience or even large inefficiency:

* Array size often needs to be pre-determined. Changing an array size will be very inefficient.
* There is only a physical sequence. Multiple topological sequences cannot be easily expressed. For example, element at *a*[2][3] will only have neighbors of *a*[1][3]; *a*[3][3]; *a*[2][1]; *a*[2][4], but there is no ready way to build a topological link.
* Elements are homogeneous, and often they are required to be the supported basic types, instead of user defined types.

To remedy this, the conventional C supports “struct”, as an inhomogeneous combination of different data, and pointers to build more topologically flexible data structures like linked list, stack, and trees. For example, to create a linked list of ID cards:

struct idCard

{

string name;

int yearBirth;

…

idCard\* nextCard;

};

Or a tree structure for faster sorting of car positions in 2D:

struct carPosition

{

double xCarPos;

double yCarPos;

…

carPosition\* leftBranch;

carPosition\* rightBranch;

}

**5.2 Extension in C++ to vector and class**

C++ extends the homogeneious data set for array and the inhomogeneous data set for struct in two ways: vector (as a resizable array) and class (methods and security extension).

The new type vector is similar to an array in concept, and is implemented in C++ as a standard template library (STL)[[8]](#footnote-8). In comparison with a standard array implementation, vector relaxes two constraints: the element does not need to be a system-defined type, and the size can change during execution. In C/C++ convention, an array is declared by:

int an\_array[ 10 ];

By using vector in STL:

#include <vector>

using namespace std;

vector<int> a\_vector( 10 );

Loosely speaking, vector is just a built-in class that we will introduce immediately next. There are built-in interface to vector, almost similar to a function call, such as:

a\_vector.size();

To readjust the size of the declared a\_vector, consider the following two code segments:

vector<int> a\_vector( 10 );

a\_vector[ 10 ] = 10; // the last valid element is 9, giving a compiler error

vector<int> a\_vector( 10 );

a\_vector.push\_back( 10 ); // .push\_back resizes avector to have 11 elements

a\_vector[ 10 ] = 10; // Valid statement

In original array representation, you would need to declare a new array and then make the entire copy, and then free the old array. This is rather inconvenient for programmers if the resizing is only occasional.

**5.2.1 Philosophical change in data access from struct to class**

For about 30 years after the introduction of Unix, the data structure in C have implemented most operating systems and library packages. Around the start of 1990s, software researchers started to introduce the concepts of Object-Oriented Programming (OOP) to give the programmer better abilities in modular programming, which is very critical for debugging and validating large codes in long-term evolution. OOP has three essences on supported data structures[[9]](#footnote-9):

* **Data hiding**: Two fundamental reasons behind data hiding. First, experiences told us that change of data structure is often needed when codes evolve with new specification but need backward compatibility. Many programmers implement code with a specific data structure in mind[[10]](#footnote-10). Change of struct will not only change most of the codes (which makes them less reliable), but also problematic for backward compatibility. Second, in a large program development, all parts of the codes have to been writable to all programmers, which is not only dangerous, but also much more difficult to manage. Data hiding means the access of the “object” or “class” will be ONLY through a *public* method[[11]](#footnote-11) or procedural interface, instead of exposing the *private* data directly. To use an object (or call a function), as long as the procedural interface does not change, the upstream programmer will NOT touch the implementation of the object. If you find a mistake in your logic, a higher chance you only need to modify the implementation of the object access method in one place, instead of everywhere the data structure is used. Moreover, if you need to change your private data structure later either for storage efficiency or porting to different platforms, you only need to change the private part of the class, and NOTHING else.
* **Inheritance**: Inheritance means that one class (subclass) inherits its traits from another class (superclass). This is mainly to ease up the procedural interface and collect all identical traits in various subclasses into the feature in the superclass. When the common feature needs to be changed, the superclass is modified in one place to avoid redundant code change. When individual feature of subclass needs to be changed, other subclasses belonging to the same superclass do not need to be touched. By creating “inheritance”, we can maximize code reuse and minimize code change during revision. We will cover more of this feature when practical situation arises.
* **Polymorphism**: Polymorphism means the same procedural interface can handle multiple different classes and data types. You can see the same purpose here for maximize code reuse and minimize code change. This is especially convenient in revision to meet the new specification while keeping backward compatibility. For an older version, the class has an established interface and implementation. For the next version, we can add in a new class (without touching the old class implementation), and employ polymorphism in the programming language to handle both. Again, we will cover more of this feature when practical situation arises.

**5.2.2 Implementing functional abstraction in OOP**

**5.2.2.1 Implementation in conventional language by self discipline**

To implement data hiding in the functional call, you can follow the conventional C struct. An example to implement a chess board is shown below:

enum ChessPiece { EMPTY\_SQUARE, WHITE\_PAWN /\* and others \*/ };

enum PlayerColor { PC\_WHITE, PC\_BLACK };

struct ChessBoard

{

ChessPiece board[ 8 ][ 8 ];

PlayerColor whose\_move;

};

You can create functions that operate on the board, taking the board as a parameter to the function. You need to remember yourself that you will always access the struct ChessBoard through a function call, instead of directly like ChessBoard.board.

ChessPiece getPiece (const ChessBoard \*p\_board, int x, int y)

{

return p\_board->board[ x ][ y ];

}

PlayerColor getMove (const ChessBoard \*p\_board)

{

return p\_board->whose\_move;

}

void makeMove (ChessBoard\* p\_board, int from\_x, int from\_y, int to\_x, int

to\_y)

{

// normally, we need some code that validates the move first

p\_board->board[ to\_x ][ to\_y ] = p\_board->board[ from\_x ][ from\_y ];

p\_board->board[ from\_x ][ from\_y ] = EMPTY\_SQUARE;

}

You can use getMove and makeMove just like any other function, but this is mainly designed to access the ChessBoard struc. You can say this is OOP, but by self discipline instead of language requirements.

**5.2.2.2 Implementation by enforced OOP language features**

Alternatively, you can choose to enforce data hiding by implementing the class with public and private parts.

ChessBoard b;

// first we'd need some code to initialize board

// then we can use it, like so...

getMove( & b );

makeMove( & b, 0, 0, 1, 0 ); // move a piece from 0, 0 to 1, 0

enum ChessPiece { EMPTY\_SQUARE, WHITE\_PAWN /\* and others \*/ };

enum PlayerColor { PC\_WHITE, PC\_BLACK };

class ChessBoard

{

public:

ChessPiece getPiece (int x, int y);

PlayerColor getMove ();

void makeMove (int from\_x, int from\_y, int to\_x, int to\_y);

private:

ChessPiece \_board[ 8 ][ 8 ];

PlayerColor \_whose\_move;

};

// Method definitions are exactly the same!

ChessPiece ChessBoard::getPiece (int x, int y)

{

return \_board[ x ][ y ];

}

PlayerColor ChessBoard::getMove ()

{

return \_whose\_move;

}

void ChessBoard::makeMove (int from\_x, int from\_y, int to\_x, int to\_y)

{

// normally, we'd want some code that validates the move first

\_board[ to\_x ][ to\_y ] = \_board[ from\_x ][ from\_y ];

\_board[ from\_x ][ from\_y ] = EMPTY\_SQUARE;

}

Notice that this class declaration looks a lot like the conventional struct, but the method is in the public area and the data structure is in the private area. You can surely implement the method within the class, but often this will clutter the object definition and expose too much[[12]](#footnote-12) about your code implementation details. Following the same syntax of functional name declaration, you can just declare the method with its arguments, and implement the method somewhere else (which will not be shown to common programmers just using this object). To help the compiler know which class or struct to associate the method with, the *scoping* operator :: is shown above.

**5.2.3 Initialization in class by object constructor by constructor**

One of the common bugs is lack of proper initialization (memory allocation and default values) when a variable or object is declared, which is especially true when private data are inside the object that the higher level function has no direct access but need to instantiate a new object. This is in general called the “constructor”. Surely within the lifecycle of the variable or object, when everything is done for, the memory allocated by the constructor needs to be returned later. A specific way to initialize a class object is by a special function call with the same name with the class and has no “return” within its scope.

enum ChessPiece { EMPTY\_SQUARE, WHITE\_PAWN /\* and others \*/ };

enum PlayerColor { PC\_WHITE, PC\_BLACK };

class ChessBoard

{

public:

ChessBoard (); // <-- no return value at all! For initialization.

PlayerColor getMove ();

ChessPiece getPiece (int x, int y);

void makeMove (int from\_x, int from\_y, int to\_x, int to\_y);

private:

ChessPiece \_board[ 8 ][ 8 ];

PlayerColor \_whose\_move;

};

// This is the special function for the object constructor

//

ChessBoard::ChessBoard ()

// <-- still no return value, and no return statement.

{

\_whose\_move = PC\_WHITE;

// start off by emptying the whole board, then fill it in with pieces

for ( int i = 0; i < 8; i++ )

{

for (int j = 0; j < 8; j++ )

{

\_board[ i ][ j ] = EMPTY\_SQUARE;

}

}

// other code to initialize the board...

}

**5.2.4 Deleting an object by destructor**

As C/C++ handles the memory directly (this is the most reliable way to handle large data set, instead of relying on the compiler or OS as in Java or Python), it is a good habit to free or destroy the object when you know that you have finished using it to prevent “memory leak”. This is called the “destructor”. If you do not do this, it can cause memory leak, which can eventually accumulate and make your hardware perform more slowly (allocated memory does not have use, but cannot be re-used either). The built-in recursive destructor is:

class LinkedList

{

public:

LinkedList (); // constructor

~LinkedList (); // destructor, notice the (~)

void insert (int val); // adds a node

private:

LinkedListNode \*\_p\_head;

};

LinkedListNode::~LinkedListNode ()

{

delete p\_next; // like the constructor, no explicit return

}

If you do not trust the built-in recursive destructor, you can alternatively do the recursion explicitly:

LinkedList::~LinkedList ()

{

LinkedListNode \*p\_itr, \*p\_tmp;

\*p\_itr = \_p\_head; // \_p\_head is in the private data of LinkedList

// The scope :: will inform the compiler

while ( p\_itr != NULL )

{

LinkedListNode \*p\_tmp = p\_itr->p\_next;

delete p\_itr;

p\_itr = p\_tmp;

}

}

1. Gnu is a free operating system. For more history and philosophy, visit: https://www.gnu.org/. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. I am not against visual programming at all, but when the program size is large, showing all controls and objects on a finite-size screen can eventually be inconvenient. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Many authors will use “real numbers” here. However, from our understanding of quantum mechanics today, the complex number is actually an essential part in the real world. Imaginary numbers are NOT a mathematical convenience or invention, but part of the physical reality. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Some bit combinations are used for exception handling. Also, very small number has underflow controls. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. Surely, these 0/0 and ∞/∞ cases can be treated symbolically by the L’Hospital’s Rule in indeterminate forms. However, this needs to be done by the programmer, not implicitly by the computing platform. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. The “denormals” are the most controversial part of the standard and probably accounted for the long delay in getting IEEE 754 approved in 1984. Many high performance hardware that claims to be IEEE compatible does not support denormalized numbers directly, but rather traps (use throw and catch) when consuming or producing denormals, and leaves it to software to simulate the IEEE standard. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. Here I use *b1b2…bp-1* to conform to Bindel’s book with *p* = 53 in double precision. *p = s + f* with *s*=1 sign bit and *f*=52 mantissa bits. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. STL contains a large collection of templates, and is beyond the scope here. In my humble opinion, except a few standard templates like vector, many are too complicated and hard for general purposes. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. There are other features in OOP not directly related to data structure, such as operator overloading. We will talk about it later. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)
10. This is often related to “redundant codes”, which can be a redundant code segment for a repeated algorithm, or can be a repeated assumption of data structure. [↑](#footnote-ref-10)
11. “Functional abstraction” is another name for accessing the objects by a function instead of data or data pointers, as it most critical for upstream functions to know how you use the data, instead of how you store the data. [↑](#footnote-ref-11)
12. Remember that we are implementing “hiding” now. One of the guarding principles to prevent people from relying on a data structure or details or from trying to change the structure or details is: Do not let them know!!! We practice this principle in our social life and even in government/organization management often, but OOP actually formalize a method! [↑](#footnote-ref-12)