Experiment - 4

Student Name: Gurshaan Singh
UID: 23BCS14195
Branch: BE-CSE
Section/Group: KRG_1-B
Semester: 5
Date: 09-11-25
Subject Name: ADBMS
Subject Code: 23CSP-333

Aim:

Q1. Consider a relation R having attributes as R(ABCD), functional dependencies are given below:

AB->C, C->D, D->A

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the sets of prime and non-prime attributes.

Q2. Relation R(ABCDE) having functional dependencies as:

A->D, B->A, BC->D, AC->BE

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the sets of prime and non-prime attributes.

Q3. Consider a relation R having attributes as R(ABCDE), functional dependencies are given below:

B->A, A->C, BC->D, AC->BE

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the sets of prime and non-prime attributes.

Q4. Consider a relation R having attributes as R(ABCDEF), functional dependencies are given below:

A->BCD, BC->DE, B->D, D->A

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the sets of prime and non-prime attributes.

Q5. Designing a student database involves certain dependencies, which are listed below:

X ->Y
WZ ->X
WZ ->Y
Y ->W
Y ->X

Y ->Z

The task here is to remove all the redundant FDs for efficient working of the student database management system.

Q6. Debix Pvt Ltd needs to maintain a database with dependent attributes ABCDEF. These attributes are functionally dependent on each other, for which the functional dependency set F is given as:

A -> BC, D -> E, BC -> D, A -> D

Consider a universal relation R1(A, B, C, D, E, F) with functional dependency set F; also, all attributes are simple and take atomic values only. Find the highest normal form along with the candidate keys with prime and non-prime attributes.

Objective:

1:

To analyse functional dependencies of relation R(ABCD) and determine candidate keys, along with the classification of prime and non-prime attributes.

2:

To evaluate the given FDs in relation R(ABCDE) and identify all possible candidate keys, prime, and non-prime attributes.

3:

To apply the closure method on functional dependencies of R(ABCDE) for finding candidate keys and distinguishing prime from non-prime attributes.

4:

To determine candidate keys of R(ABCDEF) by analysing given dependencies and classify attributes as prime or non-prime.

5:

To minimize the functional dependency set by eliminating redundant FDs for efficient design of the student database system.

6:

To identify the candidate keys, prime/non-prime attributes, and the highest normal form of relation R1(ABCDEF) using the given FD set.

Answers:

Q1:
Relation: R(A, B, C, D)
FDs: AB → C, C → D, D → A
Closures / reasoning (brief):

- AB^+ = {A, B} → C (from AB → C) → D (from C → D) → A (from D → A). So, AB^+ = {A, B, C, D} ⇒ AB is a key.
- C^+ = {C} → D → A ⇒ {A, C, D} (missing B) → not a key.
- BC^+ = {B, C} → D (C→D) → A (D→A) ⇒ {A, B, C, D} ⇒ BC is a key.
- BD^+ = {B, D} → A (D→A) and then AB→C ⇒ {A, B, C, D} ⇒ BD is a key.
- No single attribute alone gives all attributes.

Candidate keys: {AB, BC, BD}
Prime attributes: attributes that appear in any candidate key = {A, B, C, D} (all)
Non-prime attributes: ∅

Q2:
Relation: R(A, B, C, D, E)
FDs: A → D, B → A, BC → D, AC → B E
Closures / reasoning (brief):

- AC^+: AC → B,E (given). With B we get A (already) and A → D gives D. So AC^+ = {A,B,C,D,E} ⇒ AC is a key.
- BC^+: BC → D (given). B → A gives A, then AC → B,E gives E (and B). So BC^+ = {A,B,C,D,E} ⇒ BC is a key.
- Check minimality: A, B, C individually are not keys; AC and BC are minimal.

Candidate keys: {AC, BC}
Prime attributes: {A, B, C}
Non-prime attributes: {D, E}

Q3:
Relation: R(A, B, C, D, E)
FDs: B → A, A → C, BC → D, AC → B E
Closures / reasoning (brief):

- B^+: B → A → C; with A,C we get AC → B,E → gives E; BC → D (with B,C) gives D. So B^+ = {A,B,C,D,E} ⇒ B is a key.
- A^+: A → C; AC → B,E gives B and E; BC → D gives D. So A^+ = {A,B,C,D,E} ⇒ A is a key.

Candidate keys: {A, B} (both are single-attribute keys)

Prime attributes: {A, B}
Non-prime attributes: {C, D, E}

Q4:
Relation: R(A, B, C, D, E, F)
FDs: A → B C D, BC → D E, B → D, D → A
Closures / reasoning (brief):

- A^+: A → B,C,D. From BC → D,E (we have B,C) get E. So A^+ = {A,B,C,D,E} (missing F).
- B^+: B → D → A → B,C,D and then BC→E gives E ⇒ B^+ = {A,B,C,D,E} (missing F).
- D^+: D → A → B,C,D and BC→E gives E ⇒ D^+ = {A,B,C,D,E} (missing F).
  Thus any of A, B, or D together with F will give all attributes.
- AF^+: A gives {A,B,C,D,E} + F ⇒ all ⇒ AF is a key.
- BF^+: B gives {A,B,C,D,E} + F ⇒ all ⇒ BF is a key.
- DF^+: D gives {A,B,C,D,E} + F ⇒ all ⇒ DF is a key.

No smaller combination without F is a key.
Candidate keys: {AF, BF, DF}
Prime attributes: {A, B, D, F}
Non-prime attributes: {C, E}

Q5:
Given Fds:

X → Y
WZ → X
WZ → Y
Y → W
Y → X
Y → Z

Goal: remove redundant FDs (find a minimal cover).
Step 1 — RHS already singletons.
Step 2 — test redundancy / implication (brief):

- From Y → W and Y → Z we get Y → WZ. With WZ → X, Y → X follows. So Y → X is implied by Y→W, Y→Z, WZ→X ⇒ **Y → X is redundant**.
- From WZ → X and X → Y we get WZ → Y. So WZ → Y is implied by WZ→X and X→Y ⇒ **WZ → Y is redundant**.
- After removing those, remaining FDs are necessary (none is derivable from the others).

Minimal (non-redundant) cover:

X -> Y
WZ -> X
Y -> W
Y -> Z
(Optionally combine last two as Y -> WZ.)

Final answer: The redundant FDs are removed; the minimal cover is shown above.

Q6:
Relation: R1(A, B, C, D, E, F)
FDs (F): A → B C, D → E, BC → D, A → D
Assumptions: All attributes atomic.
Step 1 — candidate key(s):

- A^+: A → B,C and A → D (given). From BC → D we already have D; D → E gives E. So A^+ = {A, B, C, D, E} (missing F). A alone does not reach F.
- AF^+: A gives B,C,D,E and plus F gives all attributes ⇒ AF^+ = {A,B,C,D,E,F} ⇒ **AF is a key**.

No FD derives A from other attributes, so every key must include A. F is not derivable, so AF is minimal. Therefore **AF is the only candidate key**.
Prime attributes: attributes that appear in any candidate key = {A, F}
Non-prime attributes: {B, C, D, E}
Step 2 — highest normal form:

- Relation is in **1NF** (attributes atomic).
- Candidate key is composite (AF). There are FDs with a proper subset of the key on the LHS:
    - A → B C and A → D are dependencies from **A**, which is a proper subset of the key AF, to non-prime attributes (B, C, D, E). These are **partial dependencies** on part of a candidate key ⇒ **violates 2NF**.
- Therefore the highest normal form is **1NF**.