

Answer -1

1(a)  $f_0(x) = 1 + 2x$ ,  $f(x, \beta) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2$

(i) The model is linear

(ii) However, it is under modeling.

If it was  $f(x, \beta) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$  then it ~~was~~ would have been ideal and the true parameters would have been  $\beta = (1, 2)$

1(b)  $f_0(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{(2+3x)}$ ,  $f(x, a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1) = \frac{(a_0 + a_1 x)}{(b_0 + b_1 x)}$

(i) The model is not linear since it has  $[1/x]$  component

(ii) The model is ~~not~~ under modeling.

1(c)  $f_0(x) = (x_1 - x_2)^2$  and  $f(x, a, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2) = a + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + c_1 x_1^2 + c_2 x_2^2$

(i) The model is not linear

(ii) However it is <sup>not</sup> under modeling. True par-

True parameters =  $(a, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2)$

## Answer -2

```
# 2(a) For splitting training and testing
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

xtr,xts,ytr,yts=train_test_split(X,y,test_size=0.5)

#2(b)

dtest=np.array(range(1,10))
RSStest=[]
RSStr=[]
for d in test:
    #Fitting the data
    beta_hat=poly.polyfit(xtr,ytr,d)
    #Measure the RSS on the training data
    yhat=poly.polyval(xtr,beta_hat)
    RSSd=np.mean((yhat-ytr)**2)
    RSStr.append(RSSd)
    #Measure RSS on test data
    yhat=poly.polyval(xts,beta_hat)
    RSSd=np.mean((yhat-yts)**2)
    RSStest.append(RSSd)
plt.plot(dtest,RSStr,'bo-')
plt.plot(dtest,RSStest,'go-')
plt.xlabel('Model Order')
plt.ylabel('RSS')
plt.grid()
plt.legend(['Training','Test'],loc='upper left')

#2(c) Order with lowest mean squared error
imin=np.argmin(RSStest)
print("Estimated model order={0:d}".format(dtest[imin]))
```

## Answer-3

3(a) Actual value  $y = f_0(x_{\text{test}}) + \epsilon$

where  $\epsilon$  is the noise on the training & test data

Output mean squared error:

$$MSE_y(x_{\text{test}}) = \underbrace{E(y - \hat{y})^2}_{\text{Expectation}}$$

$$MSE_y(x_{\text{test}}) = MSE_f(x_{\text{test}}) + \underbrace{\sigma_\epsilon^2}_{\substack{\text{Since, no noise } \sigma_\epsilon = 0}}$$

$$MSE_y(x_{\text{test}}) = E \left( f_0(x_{\text{test}}) - f(x_{\text{test}}, \hat{\beta}) \right)^2$$

$$= \beta_0 x^T - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}$$

~~The training data.~~

Given Bias =  $E(f(x, \hat{\beta}) - f(x, \beta_0))$

$$\text{Bias}(x) = E(f(x, \hat{\beta}) - \beta_0 x^T)$$

$$= E(\hat{\beta} x^T) - \beta_0 x^T$$

$$\text{Bias}(x) = \hat{\beta} E(x^T) - \beta_0 x^T$$

The training data is  $y_i = f(x_i, \beta_0) + \epsilon_i$

3(b)

where  $\epsilon_i$  is  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

The noise is now external.

$$MSE_y(x_{\text{test}}) = E \left[ \cancel{f_0(x_{\text{test}})} + \epsilon - f(x_{\text{test}}, \hat{\beta}) \right]^2$$

$$\text{Bias}(x) = E(f(x, \hat{\beta})) - f(x, \beta_0)$$

$$= E(f(x, \hat{\beta})) + E(\epsilon) - \beta_0 x^T \rightarrow \text{True parameters}$$

$$= \beta E(x^T) + \underbrace{E(\epsilon) - \beta_0 x^T}_{\text{it is the noise}}$$

Since  $E(\epsilon) = 0 \rightarrow$  given in slide number 17.

Noise on test sample is independent of  $\hat{\beta}$  &  $x_{\text{test}}$ .

$$\therefore \text{Bias}(x) = \left[ \hat{\beta} E(x^T) \right] - \beta_0 (x^T)$$

~~3(a) The training data is  $y_i = f(x_i, \beta_0) + \epsilon_i$~~

~~where  $\epsilon_i$  is  $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$~~



3(c) The training data is  $y_i = f(x_i + \epsilon_i, \beta_0)$  where the

$$\text{Bias}(x) = E(f(x + \epsilon), \hat{\beta}) - f(x, \beta_0)$$

$$= E(f(x + \epsilon), \hat{\beta}) - \beta_0 x^2$$

$$= E(f(x) + E(\epsilon, \hat{\beta}) - \beta_0 x^2)$$

↓

$$= E$$

$$E(\epsilon) = 0$$

$$= E[f(x, \beta_0)] - \beta_0 x^2$$

$$= \beta_0 E(x^2) - \beta_0 x^2 \quad \text{Ans}$$

Homework-4

Answer -4

4.  $MSE_{(f)}(x_{test}) = E[f_0(x_{test}) - f(x_{test}, \hat{\beta})]^2$

↓  
Function MSE

Bias:  $Bias(x_{test}) = E[f(x_{test}, \hat{\beta})] - f_0(x_{test})$

Variance:  $Var(x_{test}) = E[f(x_{test}, \hat{\beta}) - E[f(x_{test}, \hat{\beta})]]^2$

$MSE_f(x_{test}) = Bias(x_{test})^2 + Var(x_{test})$

$MSE_f(x_{test}) = M_1 + M_2 - 2M_3$

$M_1 = E[f_0(x_{test}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})]^2 = [f_0(x_{test}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})]^2$

$M_2 = E[f(x_{test}, \hat{\beta}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})]^2$

$M_3 = E[(f_0(x_{test}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})) (f(x_{test}, \hat{\beta}) - \bar{f}(x_{test}))]$

$= (f_0(x_{test}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})) E[f(x_{test}, \hat{\beta}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})]$

$= (f_0(x_{test}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})) (f(x_{test}) - \bar{f}(x_{test})) = 0$

**Blank Page- Continued Next Page**

**Please Turn Over**

**Blank Page- Continued Next Page**

**Please Turn Over**



5(a)

Let Cancer volume be 'v'  
 Age be 'a'  
 Type of cancer be 'T'

Model-1:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 v$

Model-2:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 v + \beta_2 a$

Model-3: using one hot encoding. for Type of cancer

Type  $\in [0, 1]$

Type-1 = 001

Type-2 = 010

→ one hot encoding

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 v + \beta_2 a + \beta_3 \cdot T_1 \cdot v + \beta_4 \cdot T_2 \cdot v$$

For type 1

For type-2

5(b)

Model-1:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 v$

So two parameters:  $\beta_0 \rightarrow$  The intercept

$\beta_1 \rightarrow$  The coefficient of cancer volume.

Model-2:  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 v + \beta_2 a$

**Blank Page- Continued Next Page**

**Please Turn Over**

It has three parameters

$\beta_0 \rightarrow$  The intercept

$\beta_1 \rightarrow$  The coefficient of cancer volume

$\beta_2 \rightarrow$  The coefficient of age

Model-3 It has 4 parameters

$\beta_0 \rightarrow$  intercept

$\beta_1 \rightarrow$  coefficient of volume

$\beta_2 \rightarrow$  coefficient of age

$\beta_3 \rightarrow$  dependence on type of cancer I on and cancer volume

$\beta_4 \rightarrow$  dependence of type of cancer II and cancer volume

I think model-3 is most complex

5.6) Since  $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$  for Model-1

A for Model 1:  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.7 & 1 \\ 1.3 & 1 \\ 1.6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\rightarrow$  since age is not considered in Model-1

A for Model 2:  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.7 & 55 & 1 \\ 1.3 & 65 & 1 \\ 1.6 & 70 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $\rightarrow$  since age & volume are important factors

A for Model 3:  $\begin{bmatrix} 0.7 & 55 & 1 \times 0.7 & 0 \\ 1.3 & 65 & 0 & 1 \times 1.3 \\ 1.6 & 70 & 0 & 1 \times 1.6 \end{bmatrix}$   
 $\swarrow$  Type-1  $\quad \quad \quad \searrow$  Type-2

**Blank Page- Continued Next Page**

**Please Turn Over**

5(c) Based on "one standard error rule",  
Model-3 is the best.

One standard error - Find model with minimum error,  
 then select the simplest model  
 whose mean falls within 1 std.  
 deviation of minimum

$$\text{one SE } \hat{p} = \min \{ P | S[P] \leq S_{tge} \}$$

$$\text{upper bound of Model 1} = 2.01 + 0.03 = 2.04$$

$$\text{upper bound of Model 2} = 0.72 + 0.04 = 0.76$$

$$\text{upper bound of Model 3} = 0.70 + 0.05 = 0.75$$

$$2.04 > 0.76 > 0.75$$

Model 1    Model 2    Model-3

Hence according to  
 one standard  
 error rule  
Model 3 is best

