

13. Let A and B be two sets and let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be an invertible function. Show that there exists a unique $g: B \rightarrow A$ that satisfies

$$g \circ f = \text{Id}_A \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ g = \text{Id}_B .$$

Solution:

We prove this exercise by contradiction. Let us assume that there exist two distinct functions g_1 and g_2 satisfying

$$g_1 \circ f = \text{Id}_A, \quad f \circ g_1 = \text{Id}_B,$$

and

$$g_2 \circ f = \text{Id}_A, \quad f \circ g_2 = \text{Id}_B .$$

Hence, for each $a \in A$ and each $b \in B$, we have

$$g_1(f(a)) = \text{Id}_A(a) = a, \quad f(g_1(b)) = \text{Id}_B(b) = b,$$

and

$$g_2(f(a)) = \text{Id}_A(a) = a, \quad f(g_2(b)) = \text{Id}_B(b) = b.$$

From above, and the fact that f is bijective, we get $g_1 = g_2$, which is in contradiction with the assumption $g_1 \neq g_2$. Therefore, g is unique. \square

14. Let $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}h(1) &= 2 \\h(n+1) &= \sqrt{3 + 3h(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Prove by induction that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: h(n) < 4$.

Solution:

Induction basis: For $n = 1$, we have $h(1) = 2 < 4$.

Induction step: We assume that $h(n) < 4$ is true, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to prove $h(n+1) < 4$ is true.

We have $h(n+1) = \sqrt{3 + 3h(n)}$. Since the square root is an increasing function (A function h is called an increasing on the interval I if for all $x, y \in I$ such as $x \leq y$, one has $h(x) \leq h(y)$), and by using the induction hypothesis, we find that

$$h(n+1) = \sqrt{3 + 3h(n)} < \sqrt{3 + 3 \cdot 4} = \sqrt{15} < 4.$$

We concluded the proof as we showed both the induction basis and induction step.

□

15. Prove by induction that for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ we have $2n + 1 < 2^n$.

Solution:

Induction basis: For $n = 3$, we have $2 \cdot 3 + 1 = 7 < 2^3 = 8$.

Induction step: We assume that $2n + 1 < 2^n$ is true for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\}$. We want to show that $2(n + 1) + 1 < 2^{n+1}$. We observe that

$$2(n + 1) + 1 < 2(n + 1) + 2n = 4n + 2 = 2(2n + 1) < 2 \cdot (2^n) = 2^{n+1}.$$

Where we used the fact that $n \geq 3$ (for the first inequality) and the induction hypothesis $2n + 1 < 2^n$ (for the last inequality). We concluded the proof as we showed both the induction basis and induction step. \square

16. Let $\mathbb{F} = (F; \{+, \cdot\})$ be a field (cf. Definition 1.22). Prove that

- (a) $\forall x \in F: x = x + x \Rightarrow x = 0$.
- (b) $\forall x \in F: x \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot x = 0$.
- (c) $\forall x, y \in F: x \cdot y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ or $y = 0$.

Note that you are allowed to use (16a) to prove (16b), and that you are allowed to use (16a) and (16b) to prove (16c).

Solution:

We first prove (16a). Let $x \in F$ with $x = x + x$. We want to show that $x = 0$. Let $y \in F$ be such that $x + y = y + x = 0$. (cf. Axiom inverse (i)). Then $x = x + x$ implies that

$$0 = y + x = y + (x + x) = (y + x) + x = 0 + x = x,$$

and therefore, $0 = x$.

Next, we show (16b). Let $x \in F$. Then we have that

$$x \cdot 0 = x \cdot (0 + 0) = (x \cdot 0) + (x \cdot 0);$$

where the last equality follows from the distributivity property. Thus, $x \cdot 0 = (x \cdot 0) + (x \cdot 0)$, and therefore, by (16a) we have that $x \cdot 0 = 0$. The missing equality follows from the commutativity axiom.

Finally, we want to prove (16c). Let $x, y \in F$ and let us assume that $x \cdot y = 0$ and $x \neq 0$. We will show that $y = 0$. By Axiom inverse (ii) there exists $z \in F$ such that $z \cdot x = 1$. Thus,

$$0 = z \cdot 0 = z \cdot (x \cdot y) = (z \cdot x) \cdot y = 1 \cdot y = y.$$

Note that the first identity follows from (16b), the second identity from the assumption $x \cdot y = 0$, the third identity follows from the associativity axiom, the last one follows from the axiom of the neutral element. \square

17. Let $A := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $\star: A^2 \rightarrow A$ be defined as follows: For all $((x, y), (z, w)) \in A^2$

$$\star((x, y), (z, w)) = (xz - yw, xw + yz).$$

- (a) Prove that \star is associative and commutative;
- (b) prove that for each $(x, y) \in A$ we have $\star((x, y), (1, 0)) = (x, y)$;
- (c) prove that for each $(a, b) \in A \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ there exists $(x, y) \in A$ such that

$$\star((a, b), (x, y)) = (1, 0).$$

Solution:

For simplicity, We write that $\star((x, y), (z, w)) = (x, y) \star (z, w)$.

- (a) **Commutativity:** Let $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$(x, y) \star (z, w) = (xz - yw, xw + yz) = (zx - wy, zy + wx) = (z, w) \star (x, y).$$

Where we used the fact that the multiplication is commutative.

- Associativity:** Let $x, y, z, w, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x, y) \star (z, w)) \star (a, b) = \\ & ((xz - yw, xw + yz) \star (a, b) = \\ & (xza - ywa - xwb - yzb, xzb - ywb + xwa - yza) = \\ & (xza - xwb - yzb - ywa, xzb + xwa + yza - ywb) = \\ & (x(za - wb) - y(zb - wa), x(zb + wa) + y(za - wb)) = \\ & (x, y) \star (za - wb, zb + wa) = \\ & (x, y) \star ((z, w) \star (a, b)). \end{aligned}$$

Above, we used the properties of multiplication, addition, and subtraction.

- (b) **Identity:** Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to find that $(x, y) \star (1, 0) = (x \cdot 1 - y \cdot 0, x \cdot 0 + y \cdot 1) = (x, y)$. Again, we used the properties of the multiplication.
- (c) **Inverse:** Let $(a, b) \in A \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. We let $x := \frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}$ and $y := \frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2}$. Then, we have:

$$(a, b) \star (x, y) = \left(\frac{a^2}{a^2 + b^2} + \frac{b^2}{a^2 + b^2}, \frac{-ab}{a^2 + b^2} + \frac{ab}{a^2 + b^2} \right) = (1, 0).$$

That means: for every $(a, b) \in A \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, there exists $(x, y) \in A$ such that $(a, b) \star (x, y) = (1, 0)$.

□

18. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $A \neq \emptyset$, let $k \in \mathbb{R}$, let $B := \{-a \mid a \in A\}$, and let us assume that $\sup A = k$. Prove that $\inf B = -k$.

Solution:

For the exercise, we need to check

- B is non-empty and a subset of real numbers:
This is directly deduced from the fact that $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $A \neq \emptyset$ and from the definition of B .
- B is bounded from below:

$$\sup A = k \stackrel{\text{Def 1.36}}{\Rightarrow} \forall a \in A : k \geq a \Rightarrow \forall a \in A : -k \leq -a,$$

which means that $\forall (b = -a) \in B$ we have $-k \leq b$. Thus $-k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a lower bound of B and therefore $\inf B$ exists.

- $\inf B = -k$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup A = k &\stackrel{\text{Def 1.36}}{\Rightarrow} (\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq A \Rightarrow x \geq k) \Rightarrow (\forall a \in A, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq a \Rightarrow x \geq k) \\ &\Rightarrow (\forall a \in A, \forall x \in \mathbb{R} : -x \leq -a \Rightarrow -x \leq -k) \\ &\Rightarrow (\forall b \in B, \forall y \in \mathbb{R} : y \leq b \Rightarrow y \leq -k) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def 1.36}}{\Rightarrow} -k = \inf B = \inf(-A). \end{aligned}$$

All implications hold true in the other direction as well, they are equivalences.

Another way: Since $\sup A = k$. Then, by the definition of the supremum for A , we have: for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $a > k - \epsilon$. Then, we write $b = -a < -k + \epsilon$. We conclude that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $b \in B$ such that $b < -k + \epsilon$.

□