

55. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $|a_n - a_{n+1}| \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Is such a sequence always convergent?

Solution:

No, such a sequence is not always convergent. For a counter-example, let $a_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$, so that $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the sequence of partial sums of the harmonic series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$. Then

$$|a_n - a_{n+1}| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k} \right| = \left| -\frac{1}{n+1} \right| = \frac{1}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{n},$$

but by Lemma 3.30 from the Lecture Notes, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty,$$

so $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is *not* convergent. □

56. Find a closed formula for

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(4k+3)(4k+7)}$$

in terms of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and use it to compute $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4k+3)(4k+7)}$.

Solution:

We start by determining the partial fraction decomposition of $\frac{1}{(4k+3)(4k+7)}$. There are $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{(4k+3)(4k+7)} = \frac{A}{4k+3} + \frac{B}{4k+7} = \frac{A(4k+7) + B(4k+3)}{(4k+3)(4k+7)},$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and we conclude that

$$1 = A(4k+7) + B(4k+3) = 4(A+B)k + 7A + 3B$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which leads us to the linear equation system

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &= 0 \\ 7A + 3B &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

This system simplifies to $A = \frac{1}{4}, B = -\frac{1}{4}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(4k+3)(4k+7)} &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4k+3} - \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{4k+7} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{4k+3} - \frac{1}{4k+7} \right) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{4k+3} - \frac{1}{4(k+1)+3} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{4n+7} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality uses that the sum on its left-hand side is telescopic. Finally, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4k+3)(4k+7)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(4k+3)(4k+7)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{4n+7} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{7} - 0 \right) = \frac{1}{28}. \end{aligned}$$

□

57. (a) Prove that $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^3} \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n^2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Is the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3}$ convergent?
 (b) Prove that $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{1/3}} \geq \frac{3}{2}(n+1)^{2/3} - \frac{3}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Is the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1/3}}$ convergent?

Hint: Use induction on n to prove the inequality in either part.

Solution:

- (a) As suggested in the hint, we prove the inequality by induction on n . For $n = 1$, the left-hand side of the inequality is $\sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{1}{k^3} = \frac{1}{1^3} = 1$, and the right-hand side is $2 - \frac{1}{1^2} = 1$ as well, so the inequality holds for $n = 1$. Now assume that it holds for n ; we aim to infer that it holds for $n + 1$. And, indeed,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k^3} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^3} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^3},$$

so it suffices to prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$2 - \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} \leq 2 - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} \leq \frac{1}{n^2},$$

and further (via multiplying both sides by $n^2(n+1)^3$) to

$$n^2(n+1) + n^2 \leq (n+1)^3,$$

which simplifies to the obviously true inequality

$$n^3 + 2n^2 \leq n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1.$$

This concludes the inductive proof of the inequality. Finally, we note that the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^3}$ is, indeed, convergent, because its sequence of partial sums is strictly increasing and (by the inequality we just proved) bounded from above by 2.

- (b) Again, we prove the inequality by induction on n . For $n = 1$, the left-hand side of the inequality is $\sum_{k=1}^1 \frac{1}{k^{1/3}} = \frac{1}{1^{1/3}} = 1$, while the right-hand side is $\frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{2/3} - \frac{3}{2}$. The inequality is thus equivalent to $\frac{5}{2} = 1 + \frac{3}{2} \geq \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{2/3}$, and further (through multiplying both sides by 2, then raising both sides to the third power) to $125 = 5^3 \geq 3^3 \cdot 2^2 = 108$, which is true. Now assume that the inequality holds for n , and aim to prove that it holds for $n + 1$. Due to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k^{1/3}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{1/3}} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^{1/3}} \geq \frac{3}{2}(n+1)^{2/3} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^{1/3}},$$

it suffices to prove that

$$\frac{3}{2}(n+1)^{2/3} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^{1/3}} \geq \frac{3}{2}(n+2)^{2/3} - \frac{3}{2}.$$

Through adding $\frac{3}{2}$ to both sides, then multiplying both sides by $(n+1)^{1/3}$, we see that this is equivalent to

$$\frac{3}{2}(n+1) + 1 \geq \frac{3}{2}(n+2)^{2/3}(n+1)^{1/3}.$$

Furthermore, through multiplying both sides by 2, then raising both sides to the third power, we find that it is equivalent to

$$(3n+5)^3 \geq 27(n+2)^2(n+1),$$

which can be simplified to the obviously true inequality

$$27n^3 + 135n^2 + 225n + 125 \geq 27n^3 + 135n^2 + 216n + 108.$$

This concludes the inductive proof of the inequality. Moreover, because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{3}{2}(n+1)^{2/3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) = \infty$, we infer that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1/3}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^{1/3}} = \infty$. In particular, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1/3}}$ is *not* convergent.

□

58. Let q be a real number with $|q| < 1$. Find a closed formula for $\sum_{k=1}^n (k+3)q^k$ in terms of n and q , and use it to compute $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+3)q^k$ in terms of q . *Hint:* $\sum_{k=1}^n kq^k = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=j}^n q^\ell$.

Solution:

Let us first try and find a closed formula for $\sum_{k=1}^n kq^k$, following the hint. Throughout the solution of this exercise, we make use of the geometric series formula,

$$\sum_{j=0}^m q^j = \frac{q^{m+1} - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n kq^k &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\ell=j}^n q^\ell = \sum_{j=1}^n q^j \sum_{\ell=j}^n q^{\ell-j} = \sum_{j=1}^n q^j \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-j} q^\ell = \sum_{j=1}^n q^j \frac{q^{n-j+1} - 1}{q - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{q - 1} \sum_{j=1}^n (q^{n+1} - q^j) = \frac{1}{q - 1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n q^{n+1} - \sum_{j=1}^n q^j \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q - 1} \left(nq^{n+1} - q \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} q^j \right) = \frac{1}{q - 1} \left(nq^{n+1} - q \cdot \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} (nq^{n+1}(q - 1) - q(q^n - 1)) = \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} (nq^{n+2} - (n + 1)q^{n+1} + q). \end{aligned}$$

Using this closed formula for $\sum_{k=1}^n kq^k$, we can find one for $\sum_{k=1}^n (k+3)q^k$. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n (k+3)q^k &= \sum_{k=1}^n kq^k + \sum_{k=1}^n 3q^k = \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} (nq^{n+2} - (n + 1)q^{n+1} + q) + 3q \cdot \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} (nq^{n+2} - (n + 1)q^{n+1} + q + 3q(q^n - 1)(q - 1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} (nq^{n+2} - (n + 1)q^{n+1} + q + 3q^{n+2} - 3q^{n+1} - 3q^2 + 3q) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} ((n + 3)q^{n+2} - (n + 4)q^{n+1} - 3q^2 + 4q). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+3)q^k &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n (k+3)q^k \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} ((n + 3)q^{n+2} - (n + 4)q^{n+1} - 3q^2 + 4q) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q - 1)^2} (0 - 0 - 3q^2 + 4q) = \frac{q(4 - 3q)}{(q - 1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

59. Let σ be a permutation of the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. We define the function $\tau : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$, depending on σ , such that $\tau(6k + r) = 6k + \sigma(r)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ is a convergent series. Prove that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\tau(n)}$ is also convergent, with $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\tau(n)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$.

Solution:

For $N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-1\}$, let $S_N := \sum_{n=0}^N a_n$ and $S'_N := \sum_{n=0}^N a_{\tau(n)}$ be the N -th partial sum of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ and of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{\tau(n)}$, respectively (note that $S_{-1} = S'_{-1} = 0$, because each of them is an empty sum). Moreover, for notational simplicity, let $\lambda := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$. We need to prove that the sequence $(S'_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ converges to λ . To that end, we first prove that for each $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, the subsequence $(S'_{6k+r})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ converges to λ .

So, let $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be fixed. Observe that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{6k-1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{6k-1} a_{\tau(n)} = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^5 a_{\tau(6t+m)} = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^5 a_{6t+\sigma(m)} = \sum_{t=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=0}^5 a_{6t+m} = \sum_{n=0}^{6k-1} a_n \\ &= S_{6k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We can use this to write, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} S'_{6k+r} &= \sum_{n=0}^{6k+r} a_{\tau(n)} = \sum_{n=0}^{6k-1} a_{\tau(n)} + \sum_{n=6k}^{6k+r} a_{\tau(n)} = S'_{6k-1} + \sum_{m=0}^r a_{\tau(6k+m)} \\ &= S_{6k-1} + \sum_{m=0}^r a_{6k+\sigma(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Through this last formula, the sequence $(S'_{6k+r})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is written as the sum of the convergent sequence $(S_{6k-1})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, with limit λ , and the $r+1$ null sequences $(a_{6k+\sigma(m)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, r$. The computation rules for limits therefore imply that $(S'_{6k+r})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is convergent, with

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S'_{6k+r} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_{6k-1} + \sum_{m=0}^r \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{6k+\sigma(m)} = \lambda + \sum_{m=0}^r 0 = \lambda,$$

as we wanted to show.

Now we conclude that $(S'_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is convergent with limit λ . Indeed, let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed. For each $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, we just saw that the sequence $(S'_{6k+r})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is convergent with limit λ , so there exists a $K_r = K_r(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that if $k \geq K_r$, then $|S'_{6k+r} - \lambda| < \epsilon$. Let $\mathcal{N} := \max\{6K_r + r : 0 \leq r \leq 5\}$. Then, if $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfies $N \geq \mathcal{N}$, we have the following, letting $r = r(N) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be the remainder upon dividing N by 6:

$$\frac{N - r}{6} \geq K_r, \text{ and thus } |S'_N - \lambda| = |S'_{6 \cdot \frac{N-r}{6} + r}| < \epsilon.$$

Because $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this shows that $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S'_N = \lambda$, as we needed to prove. \square

60. Prove that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is convergent but not absolutely convergent. *Hint:* To prove convergence, you can proceed in the following steps, letting $S_N := \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ denote the N -th partial sum of the series.
- (a) The sequence $(S_{2M})_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing and bounded from below by $S_1 = -1$. Hence, $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} S_{2M} =: \lambda$ exists.
 - (b) Observe that $S_{2M} = S_{2M-1} + \frac{1}{2M}$, and conclude that $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} S_{2M-1}$ exists as well and is equal to λ .
 - (c) From (a) and (b), conclude that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is convergent (with value λ).

Solution:

This series is not absolutely convergent because

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$

is the harmonic series, which is divergent (see Lemma 3.30 in the Lecture Notes). For the argument that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$ is convergent, we follow the hint, and for notational simplicity, we set $b_n := \frac{1}{n}$. Please observe that $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a positive, strictly decreasing null sequence (we will make use of these properties throughout the argument below).

- (a) For each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2(M+1)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{2M+2} (-1)^n b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{2M} (-1)^n b_n + (-1)^{2M+1} b_{2M+1} + (-1)^{2M+2} b_{2M+2} \\ &= S_{2M} - b_{2M+1} + b_{2M+2} < S_{2M}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $(S_{2M})_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strictly decreasing. Moreover, for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2M} &= \sum_{n=1}^{2M} (-1)^n b_n = (-1)^1 b_1 + (-1)^2 b_2 + \sum_{n=3}^{2M} (-1)^n b_n \\ &= -b_1 + b_2 + \sum_{m=2}^M (-b_{2m-1} + b_{2m}) \geq -b_1 = S_1, \end{aligned}$$

proving that $(S_{2M})_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded from below by S_1 . This concludes part (a) of the hint.

- (b) Indeed, for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$S_{2M} = \sum_{n=1}^{2M} (-1)^n b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{2M-1} (-1)^n b_n + (-1)^{2M} b_{2M} = S_{2M-1} + b_{2M} = S_{2M-1} + \frac{1}{2M}.$$

In particular, we have

$$S_{2M-1} = S_{2M} - b_{2M} = S_{2M} - \frac{1}{2M},$$

and the computation rules for limits imply that $(S_{2M-1})_{M \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent, with limit

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} S_{2M-1} = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} S_{2M} - \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2M} = \lambda - 0 = \lambda,$$

as asserted in part (b) of the hint.

- (c) Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed. From part (a), we know that there exists an $\mathcal{N}_{\text{even}} = \mathcal{N}_{\text{even}}(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is even with $N \geq \mathcal{N}_{\text{even}}$, then $|S_N - \lambda| < \epsilon$. Moreover, from part (b), we know that there exists an $\mathcal{N}_{\text{odd}} = \mathcal{N}_{\text{odd}}(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is odd with $N \geq \mathcal{N}_{\text{odd}}$, then $|S_N - \lambda| < \epsilon$. Let $\mathcal{N} := \max(\mathcal{N}_{\text{even}}, \mathcal{N}_{\text{odd}})$. Then, if $N \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfies $N \geq \mathcal{N}$, we have $|S_N - \lambda| < \epsilon$, because N is even or odd, and $N \geq \mathcal{N}_{\text{even}}$ and $N \geq \mathcal{N}_{\text{odd}}$ both hold. Because $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $(S_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent, with $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N = \lambda$, as we needed to show.

Remark: This convergence argument works for any series of the form $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n b_n$ where $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a positive, strictly decreasing null sequence. That is, any such series is convergent. \square