

# Exercise sheet 0

Artikel: 12340306  
Mohammad shadiq Ansari

## Mathematics for AI I $\Rightarrow$ Ex 0 (Exercise sheet 0)

- A. Which of the following is a proposition?  
 $\Rightarrow$  d)  $1 < 3$  e)  $3 < 1$  f) Every positive integer can be written as the sum of two prime numbers.  
 $9(4+2)^2 = 1^2 + 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 + 2^2$   
 \*These ~~four~~ statements are proposition as they give truth value while rest does not give any truth value.

- B. Let A, B be propositions. Determine a truth table for the propositions.  
 a)  $\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$ , b)  $\neg A \vee B$

### Truth table

A	B	$\neg B$	$A \wedge \neg B$	$\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$	$\neg A$	$\neg A \vee B$	$A \Rightarrow B$
T	T	F	F	T	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T	T	T

- \*The truth table of  $\neg(A \wedge \neg B)$ ,  $\neg A \vee B$  and  $A \Rightarrow B$  are same or equivalent.

- c. Let A, B be any propositions and F a contradiction. Using truth tables, prove:  $A \Rightarrow B$  and  $A \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow F$  are equivalent.

### Truth table

A	B	$\neg B$	F	$A \Rightarrow B$	$A \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow F$
T	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	T	T

proved

Hence,  $A \Rightarrow B$  and  $A \wedge \neg B \Rightarrow F$  are logically equivalent.

D. Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  be any propositions and  $T$  a tautology.  
 Using truth tables prove:  
 a)  $(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C)$  and  $T$  are logically equivalent.

$A$	$B$	$C$	$A \Rightarrow B$	$B \Rightarrow C$	$A \Rightarrow C$	$(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C)$	$T$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Hence,  $(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow C)$  and  $T$  are equivalent.

b)  $A \Leftrightarrow B$  and  $(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow A)$  are logically equivalent.

$A$	$B$	$A \Leftrightarrow B$	$A \Rightarrow B$	$B \Rightarrow A$	$(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow A)$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

Hence,  $(A \Leftrightarrow B)$  and  $(A \Rightarrow B) \wedge (B \Rightarrow A)$  are equivalent. <sup>proved</sup>



E. Let  $A, B$  and  $C$  any propositions. Prove  
 a)  $(A \wedge B) \wedge C$  and  $A \wedge (B \wedge C)$

A	B	C	$(A \wedge B)$	$(B \wedge C)$	$(A \wedge B) \wedge C$	$A \wedge (B \wedge C)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F

Hence, The truth table of  $(A \wedge B) \wedge C$  and  $A \wedge (B \wedge C)$  is same.  
 Hence, they are equivalent.

b)  $(A \vee B) \wedge C$  and  $(A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$  are logically equivalent

A	B	C	$(A \vee B) \wedge C$	$(A \wedge C)$	$(B \wedge C)$	$(A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	F	F

The truth table of  $(A \vee B) \wedge C$  and  $(A \wedge C) \vee (B \wedge C)$  are equal.  
 Hence, they are equivalent.

F. For this exercise, the corresponding class of  $x$  is  $\Omega :=$  "all possible AI students". We define predicates.

- $P(\cdot)$  : "Student ... was in at least one Math lesson."
- $Q(\cdot)$  : "Student ... was in all Math lessons."

Write the following propositions and their negations in words.

a)  $\forall x \in \Omega : P(x)$

→ For every student in the class of all possible AI students, ~~it is true that~~ <sup>it is true that</sup> the student was in at least one Math lesson."

Negation : → "There exists at least one student in the class of all possible AI students such that the student was not in at least one Math lesson."

b)  $\exists x \in \Omega : P(x)$

→ "There exists at least one student in all possible AI students, ~~it is~~ such ~~not true~~ that the student was in at least one Math lesson."

Negation : → "For every students in the class of all possible AI students, it is not true that the student was in at least one Math lesson."

c)  $\forall x \in \Omega : Q(x)$

→ "For every students in the class of all possible AI students, it is true that the student was in all Math lessons."

Negation : "There exists at least one student in the class of all possible AI students, such that the student was <sup>not</sup> in all Math lessons."

d)  $\exists x \in \Omega : Q(x)$

→ "There exists at least one student in the class of all possible AI students, such that the student was in all Math lessons."

Negation : → "For every student in the class of all possible AI students, it is not true that the student was in all Math lessons."