1) the set of all strained with Lavice as many os (ST. 10) (3 3 10) 0 soit can receive any string having double zeros than ones. E(9011) = {(9011)} (0,0/0 (1,0.00) 11)/11 (0.0): [119.0] (11,10) - 3(9,11) 0,2102 8 (9,109/1/69,10) 8 8 (411/10) = f(a) To -o state having even no. of zero OS on to state necessing bodd no. of zerroj 7(31,10%. (5.0,0) 3 17 (20, P) ? = (S, O. P) 3 \$1 +. KD 3 (5,3,00) 8

iel the PDA be P(190,013, 50, 13, 50,1, 23, 8, 40, 2) · S(90,0, Z), {(90, 42)} 8 (900, Z) 3 (9,12) } NISTER (00 6,00 teres than ones. 8(9011,1) = {(90,1)} 8 (90,0,1) = s(a))} 5151 (8 (90,0,0) > 5(97,0)} 5015,5 (8,111) = \$(9,11) } 8 (9,0,1) = 5 (900) 8(91,11,0), 5(9,0) 20 71-5 1 8 (9110,d) = \$(90,100) 3- 31P 1977 - m 8(9/11/2) = 5(9/1/2) - 10 8 (4,D,Z), 5(9,,12)9 8 (90, E, Z) = 5 (9,02) } 8 (90, E, Z) = 5 (4,0) }

The Mark the second

Hrm):= M to be the set of inputs w such that M halts given input w, regardless of whether on not M accepts w. the halting problem is the set of pairs (M, w) such that w is in H(M)

we can constitud a Turing Machine Ut similar to
the Universal Turing Machine Ut takes as input
a Turing machine M and a binary string of.

The simulates the actions of N on input al

The simulates the actions of N on input al

The matter the accepts and halts (regardless
of whether M accepts on rejects). But if M

EVERS running, The also keeps running

we know , It is not recursive. Suppose, for contradiction, the halfing problem is recursive. It we want to

accepts it, we can do the bollowing:

were assumed that it is decidable)

→ If it does execute M on wand see whether Mihalts, if does not in an accepting state if so accept (M, W).

- If M does not halt on M, neglect

thus we could test whether M accepts of by using out assumed algorithm for determining hatting we have reduced by the halting problem.

If the halling problem is recarsive then so is ly But De know that ly in not

recursive must be false on 1888 31100 211001 889