

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <ctype.h>
```

```
#define MAXPAROLA 30
#define MAXRIGA 80
```

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
```

```
{
    int freq[MAXPAROLA]; /* vettore di contatori
    delle frequenze delle lunghezze delle parole */
    char riga[MAXRIGA];
    int i, inizio, lunghezza;
    FILE *f;
```

```
for(i=0; i<MAXPAROLA; i++)
    freq[i]=0;
```

```
if(argc != 2)
```

```
{
    printf(stderr, "ERRORE, serve un parametro con il nome del file\n");
    exit(1);
}
```

```
f = fopen(argv[1], "r");
if(f==NULL)
```

```
{
    printf(stderr, "ERRORE, impossibile aprire il file %s\n", argv[1]);
    exit(1);
}
```

```
while( fgets( riga, MAXRIGA, f ) != NULL )
```



High Level Parallel Programming

C++ Templates

Alessandro Savino and Stefano Quer
Dipartimento di Automatica e Informatica
Politecnico di Torino

Templates

- ❖ In problem solving data structures often include different types of data
 - ❖ In C++ it is possible to make code functionality independent from the specific type T
 - For example we would like to write function
 - `swap(T& a, T& b)`
- Which is independent from the type T

Templates

- ❖ The same functionality should be made available for all suitable types T
 - Templates reply to the following questions
 - How to avoid massive code duplication?
 - How to account for user-defined types?

Function templates

❖ Function template

- It is possible to write a function that takes arguments of arbitrary types
- T is a template parameter, which must be a type

```
template <typename T>
```

T a label which will be substituted by the correct object type

```
int compare (const T& v1, const T& v2) {  
    if (v1 < v2) { return -1; }  
    if (v2 < v1) { return 1; }  
    return 0;  
}
```

Same implementation we would have for integer or float types

The compiler will generate a new version of the function (using polymorphism) when this is required

Function templates

The template is **never** processed at run-time

- ❖ When you call a template function, the compiler
 - Deduces what types to use instead of the template parameters
 - Instantiates (“generates”) a function with the correct types

```
void f() {  
    cout << compare(1, 0) << endl;  
    string s1 = "hello";  
    string s2 = "world";  
    cout << compare(s1, s2) << endl;  
}
```

T is an int
The compiler instantiates
int compare(const int&, const int&)

T is a string
the compiler instantiates
int compare(const string&, const string&)

Function templates

- ❖ A template can be used with “personal” types
 - Templates usually put some requirements on the argument types
 - If these requirements are not met the instantiation will fail

If class Rectangle implements operator<, everything is ok otherwise we receive an error at compilation time

```
void f() {  
    Rectangle r1(2,3), r2(3,4.5);  
    cout << compare(r1, r2) << endl;  
}
```

Drawbacks

❖ Requirements on the type T

- The previous compare template requires that objects T must be compared with <
- Now < and ==

```
template <typename T>
```

```
int compare (const T& v1, const T& v2) {  
    if (v1 < v2) { return -1; }  
    if (v2 <= v1) { return 1; }  
    return 0;  
}
```

This implementation puts more requirements on T
This isn't good... why?

The arguments are passed by value, so it has to be possible to copy objects of T
Objects of T must implement comparisons with < and ==

Explicit instantiation

- ❖ In every case you want to be sure the compiler is able to understand to which type T is referring to
 - It is possible to set the type upon call

```
void f() {  
    cout << compare<int>(1, 0) << endl;  
    string s1 = "hello";  
    string s2 = "world";  
    cout << compare<string>(s1, s2) << endl;  
}
```

Type specification
Useless in this case

Type specification
Useless in this case

Function templates

- ❖ This is a function template that compares two values of the different type
 - The differentiation **must** make sense
 - The operation **must** be feasible

```
template <typename T, typename Z>
int compare(const T& v1, const Z& v2) {
    if (v1 < v2) { return -1; }
    if (v2 < v1) { return 1; }
    return 0;
}
```

Return as template

- ❖ It is possible to resort to template also as return value for a function
 - Notice that in this case you have only one type
 - Return values and the type T must match

```
template <typename T>
T compare(const T& v1, const T& v2) {
    if (v1 < v2) { return -1; }
    if (v2 < v1) { return 1; }
    return 0;
}
```

Return as template

- ❖ It is possible to resort to template also as return value for a function
 - You can also resort to different types to distinguish parameters and return
 - Again, return values and the type R must match

```
template <typename T, typename R>
R compare(const T& v1, const T& v2) {
    if (v1 < v2) { return -1; }
    if (v2 < v1) { return 1; }
    return 0;
}
```

Class templates

- ❖ In a similar way, a class can be programmed to deal with a generic data type
 - Definition is like functions

```
template <typename T>
class Rectangle {
public:
    Rectangle() { initData(0,0); };
    Rectangle(const T &w, const T &l) {
        initData(w, l); };
    ...
private:
    T m_width, m_length;
    ...
}
```

Class templates

- Class members implementations should be inline

```
template <typename T>
class Rectangle {
public:
    Rectangle() { initData(0,0); };
    Rectangle(const T &w, const T &l) {
        initData(w, l); };
    ...
private:
    T m_width, m_length;
    ...
}
```

Class templates

- ❖ It is possible to write the definition of a class member function outside the class definition
 - The template information must be repeated

```
template <typename T>
class Rectangle {
public:
    ...
    void setW(const T &w);
    T getW() const;
    ...
};
```

File with extension hpp
(or h)

The compiler will instantiate two
separate classes, one for each type
These classes will share nothing

File with extension cpp

```
template <typename T>
void Rectangle<T>::setW(const T &w) {...}
template <typename T>
T Rectangle<T>::getW() const { ... }
```

Class templates

- ❖ With class templates, the compiler cannot deduce template parameter types from the class instantiation
 - The types must be explicitly supplied when an object is created
 - The compiler never guesses the type

```
int main() {  
    Rectangle<double> r1, r2(12.4, 5), r3;  
    ...  
}
```

Without the keyword <double> the compiler cannot argue what to do

<double> is mandatory

Proper constructors required

Where to define templates

- ❖ Templates do not have a proper implementation (the compiler is going to take care of it)
 - There is no .cpp to compile
 - Everything should be inserted in a .hpp/.h file
 - The .hpp file can be included, when needed, as any other kind of .h file