# CSC324 Principles of Programming Languages

Lecture 3

September 28/29, 2020

## Announcement

- ► Ex2 unit tests are released on Markus
- ► Test 1 is this week!
- ► Ex3 is due this Saturday

Very well done! Common issue: style and pattern matching

Very well done! Common issue: style and pattern matching

Most of the time, you'll have more freedom to choose the programming style. This time, we asked for pattern matching.

Very well done! Common issue: style and pattern matching

- Most of the time, you'll have more freedom to choose the programming style. This time, we asked for pattern matching.
- ➤ The recursive structure of your function should follow the grammar. Are you missing a base case? Unnecessarily checking if the inner <expr> is a number?

Very well done! Common issue: style and pattern matching

- Most of the time, you'll have more freedom to choose the programming style. This time, we asked for pattern matching.
- ➤ The recursive structure of your function should follow the grammar. Are you missing a base case? Unnecessarily checking if the inner <expr> is a number?

▶ Pattern matching: **order matters**; no need to pattern match on numbers if you handle all the other cases first!

```
(define/match (calculate x)
  [((list op a b)) ...]
  [(num) num])
```

#### Last minute test 1 reminders

- Start the test between 5 and 15 min past the hour
- ► The test is 30 minutes long; manage your time well!
- ► Shut down email/BbCollaborate/Discord and other means of communication
- ▶ If you're disconnected or have technical issues with Quercus, let Lisa know (you can use email in this case)
  - Document time the issue started/resolved
  - ► Take a picture of the Quercus quiz not loading, with the time

## Last minute test 1 reminders

- Start the test between 5 and 15 min past the hour
- The test is 30 minutes long; manage your time well!
- Shut down email/BbCollaborate/Discord and other means of communication
- ▶ If you're disconnected or have technical issues with Quercus, let Lisa know (you can use email in this case)
  - Document time the issue started/resolved
  - Take a picture of the Quercus quiz not loading, with the time
- ▶ Do not discuss the test until after 8pm

## Overview

Today is about **semantics**, evaluating code, and towards building an **interpreter**.

These are some of the choices we make about the semantics of a language:

- Strict and non-strict evaluation
- Closures & Environments
- Lexical & Dynamic Scoping

## Recall the lambda-calculus

- 1. Identifier; e.g. x
- 2. Function expression; e.g.  $\lambda x \mapsto x$  (identity function)
- 3. Function application; e.g. f expr (applies f to the expression expr)

## Recall the lambda-calculus

- 1. Identifier; e.g. x
- 2. Function expression; e.g.  $\lambda x \mapsto x$  (identity function)
- 3. Function application; e.g. f expr (applies f to the expression expr)

#### Lambda Calculus in Racket:

## Semantics of the lambda-calculus

- ▶ Identifiers and function expressions are already fully-evaluated
- ► Function applications are evaluated by substituting the argument for the parameter in the body of the function

#### Example:

```
((lambda (x) y) ((lambda (x) x) z))
```

But which application do we perform first?

```
Consider ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (+ 3 1))
```

```
Consider ((lambda (x) (* \times 2)) (+ 3 1))
```

One possible evaluation order:

```
► ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 4)
```

- **▶** (\* 4 2)
- 8

```
Consider ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (+ 3 1))
```

One possible evaluation order:

- ► ((lambda (x) (\* x 2)) 4)
- **▶** (\* 4 2)
- ▶ 8

Another possible evaluation order:

- **▶** (\* (+ 3 1) 2)
- **▶** (\* 4 2)
- **8**

```
Consider ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (+ 3 1))
```

One possible evaluation order:

- ► ((lambda (x) (\* x 2)) 4)
- **▶** (\* 4 2)
- **8**

Another possible evaluation order:

- **▶** (\* (+ 3 1) 2)
- **▶** (\* 4 2)
- **>** 8

Do we always get the same answer?

# Church-Rosser Theorem (Informal)

For any valid program in the lambda calculus, every possible order of function application must result in the same final value.

# Church-Rosser Theorem (Informal)

For any valid program in the lambda calculus, every possible order of function application must result in the same final value.

But what about non-terminating programs? Or programs with errors?

```
; we can have non-terminating lambda-calculus programs! ((lambda (x) (x x)) (lambda (x) (x x)))
```

In general, evaluation orders matter.

# **Evaluation Order**

# Two ways to think about evaluation order

- ► Eager vs. lazy evaluation
  - Order of evaluation
- Strict vs. non-strict semantics
  - Error propagation

## Left-to-right Eager Evaluation

#### When evaluating a function call

- 1. Evaluate function subexpression
- 2. Evaluate each argument subexpression, left-to-right
- 3. "Call" the function by substituting the *value* of each argument subexpression into the body of the function.

This is how Racket evaluates function calls.

# Q. Which evaluation order is "eager"?

```
Choice A:
 ► ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (+ 3 1))
 ► ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) 4)
 ▶ (* 4 2)
 8
Choice B:
 ► ((lambda (x) (* x 2)) (+ 3 1))
 ▶ (* (+ 3 1) 2)
 (* 4 2)
 ▶ 8
```

# Q. Which evaluation order is "eager"?

## Choice A:

- ► ((lambda (x) (\* x 2)) (+ 3 1))
- ► ((lambda (x) (\* x 2)) 4)
- **(\* 4 2)**
- ▶ 8

#### Choice B:

- ► ((lambda (x) (\* x 2)) (+ 3 1))
- (\* (+ 3 1) 2)
- **(\* 4 2)**
- ▶ 8

## Strict denotational semantics

Q. Should (f #t (/ 1 0)) always fail for all f?

#### Strict denotational semantics

```
Q. Should (f #t (/ 1 0)) always fail for all f?
```

**Strict denotational semantics**: If an argument expression is undefined (e.g. contains an error), the call expression is undefined.

```
; Racket example:
(define (f x y) x)
(f 4 (/ 1 0))
```

Racket functions has strict denotational semantics

# Examples of non-eager (non-strict) evaluation

```
Try entering this in a Racket shell:
```

```
(or #t (/ 1 0))
```

# Examples of non-eager (non-strict) evaluation

Try entering this in a Racket shell:

```
(or #t (/ 1 0))
```

Why do we not see an error?

The identifier or does not refer to a function, but rather a *syntactic* form that implements **short-circuiting**.

We'll talk more about syntactic forms after the reading week.

## What about Haskell?

Haskell uses *non-strict semantics* for function calls and name bindings.

When evaluating a function call

- 1. Evaluate function subexpression being called
- 2. Evaluate each argument subexpression, left-to-right
- 3. "Call" the function by substituting the *unevaluated* argument subexpressions into the body of the function.

This strategy is called **lazy evaluation**.

## Non-strict semantic

```
Try this in Haskell:

f \times y = x

f \cdot 4 \cdot (1/0)

g \cdot z = g \cdot z -- infinite loop

f \cdot 4 \cdot (g \cdot 1)
```

## Lazy Evaluation in Haskell

Lazy evaluation lets us do cool things in Haskell, like define an infinite list!

List elements are not evaluated until they are needed.

```
Prelude> x = [1..10]
Prelude> take 5 x
[1,2,3,4,5]
Prelude> length x
10
```

## Lazy Evaluation in Haskell

Prelude> x = [1..10]

Lazy evaluation lets us do cool things in Haskell, like define an infinite list!

List elements are not evaluated until they are needed.

```
Prelude> take 5 x
[1,2,3,4,5]
Prelude> length x
10

Prelude> y = [1..]
Prelude> take 20 y
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]
Prelude> length y
```

## The trouble with lazy evaluation

Recall the discussion on tail recursion, and the function foldl.

Here is how foldl is defined in Haskell

```
foldl _ acc [] = acc
foldl f acc (x:xs) =
  let acc' = f acc x
  in
    foldl f acc' xs
```

Why is this a problem?

## The trouble with lazy evaluation

Recall the discussion on tail recursion, and the function foldl.

Here is how foldl is defined in Haskell

```
foldl _ acc [] = acc
foldl f acc (x:xs) =
  let acc' = f acc x
  in
     foldl f acc' xs
```

Why is this a problem?

The problem is that acc' is not evaluated *before* the recursive call on f.

foldl f acc' xs reduces to

▶ foldl f (f acc x) xs

# Delaying Evaluation in Racket

## Delaying evaluation in Racket

```
Q. What does this expression evaluate to?
(define x (length (range 3000)))
```

# Delaying evaluation in Racket

```
Q. What does this expression evaluate to?
(define x (length (range 3000)))
Q. What about this?
(define (f x) (length (range 3000)))
Is (range 3000) evaluated when the function is defined?
```

#### Delaying evaluation in Racket

```
Q. What does this expression evaluate to?
(define x (length (range 3000)))
Q. What about this?
(define (f x) (length (range 3000)))
Is (range 3000) evaluated when the function is defined?
Q. What about this?
(define (g) (length (range 3000)))
```

#### **Evaluation of Functions**

Function bodies are not evaluated until the function is called!

```
(define (g) (length (range 3000)))
```

This function g is called a **thunk**: a nullary function that delays evaluation.

# Closures and Environments

#### Interpreter 101

An **interpreter** executes instructions written in a programming language.

Your calculator application from exercises 2...

- took an expression as an argument
- returned a value

But what about variables?

#### Interpreter

More generally, an interpreter should take two arguments:

- the expression to be evaluated
- an environment

An **environment** is a collection of name-value bindings.

#### Example (from Exercise 3)

If we want to evaluate this expression:

```
(let* ((a 3))
(+ a 1))
```

We'll need to store the environment  $\{a: 3\}$  and use it to evaluate (+ a 1).

#### Example (from Exercise 4)

If we want to evaluate this expression:

```
((lambda (a) (+ a 1)) 3)
```

We also need to store the environment  $\{a: 3\}$  and use it to evaluate (+ a 1).

### **Functions Saving Information**

```
Recall that functions can return functions
(define (add-prefix lst1)
  (lambda (lst2) (append lst1 lst2)))
> (add-prefix '(1 2))
```

### **Functions Saving Information**

```
Recall that functions can return functions
(define (add-prefix lst1)
   (lambda (lst2) (append lst1 lst2)))
> (add-prefix '(1 2))
##procedure>
```

#### **Functions Saving Information**

```
Recall that functions can return functions

(define (add-prefix lst1)
    (lambda (lst2) (append lst1 lst2)))

> (add-prefix '(1 2))

#<procedure>

> ((add-prefix '(1 2)) '(3 4 5))
'(1 2 3 4 5)
```

### What if we called add-prefix many times?

```
(define (add-prefix lst1)
  (lambda (lst2) (append lst1 lst2)))
(define prepend-1 (add-prefix '(1))
(define prepend-2 (add-prefix '(2))
(define prepend-3 (add-prefix '(3))
> (prepend-1 '(4 5 6))
'(1 4 5 6)
> (prepend-3 '(4 5 6))
'(3 4 5 6)
```

We need to store the value of lst1 for each of prepend-1 and prepend-3. (They need to be evaluated using different environments!)

#### Closures (Evaluating Function Expressions)

A function expression evaluates to a **closure**.

A closure is a data structure that contains information about:

- the function body
- the environment at the time the function expression is evaluated (i.e. when the function was defined)

#### Closures (Evaluating Function Expressions)

A function expression evaluates to a **closure**.

A closure is a data structure that contains information about:

- the function body
- the environment at the time the function expression is evaluated (i.e. when the function was defined)

**Example**: the closure prepend-1 contains

- ▶ its definition (including its body): (lambda (lst2) (append lst1 lst2))
- ▶ its environment: {lst1: '(1), ...}

Explain, step by step, how this Racket expression is evaluated ((lambda (y) 3) 4)

Explain, step by step, how this Racket expression is evaluated ((lambda (y) 3) 4)

1. Evaluate (lambda (y) 3), get a closure with the params, body 3, environment

Explain, step by step, how this Racket expression is evaluated ((lambda (y) 3) 4)

- 1. Evaluate (lambda (y) 3), get a closure with the params, body 3, environment
- 2. Evaluate the argument 4, which evaluates to 4

Explain, step by step, how this Racket expression is evaluated ((lambda (y) 3) 4)

- 1. Evaluate (lambda (y) 3), get a closure with the params, body 3, environment
- 2. Evaluate the argument 4, which evaluates to 4
- 3. To evaluate the full function call, evaluate the body expression 3 with the additional binding {y:4} in the environment. This expression evaluates to ... 3!

#### **Evaluating Function Calls**

When evaluating a function call (<expr> <expr> ...)

- ► Make sure that the function expression evaluates to a *closure*
- ► Evaluate its arguments (assuming a strict semantic, with left-to-right eager evaluation)
- Evaluate the function body with... what environment?

#### **Evaluating Function Calls**

When evaluating a function call (<expr> <expr> ...)

- ▶ Make sure that the function expression evaluates to a *closure*
- Evaluate its arguments (assuming a strict semantic, with left-to-right eager evaluation)
- Evaluate the function body with... what environment?

#### Choices:

- Environment at the time the function is defined (stored in the closure)
- Environment at the time the function is called

The different choices lead to different types of scoping.

#### Scoping

```
What should this evaluate to?
(define n 100)
(define (f a) n)
(define (g n) n)
(define (h n) (f 0))
> (f 10)
100
> (g 10)
10
> (h 10)
???
```

#### Scoping. . .

- ► **Lexical Scoping**: environment used is the one in scope *where* the function is defined.
- ▶ **Dynamic Scoping**: environment used is the one in scope where the function is called (during program execution)

#### Scoping. . .

- ► **Lexical Scoping**: environment used is the one in scope *where* the function is defined.
- ▶ **Dynamic Scoping**: environment used is the one in scope where the function is called (during program execution)

Q: Is Racket lexically scoped or dynamically scoped?

#### Scoping...

- ► **Lexical Scoping**: environment used is the one in scope *where* the function is defined.
- ▶ **Dynamic Scoping**: environment used is the one in scope where the function is called (during program execution)

Q: Is Racket lexically scoped or dynamically scoped?

A: Lexically scoped.

#### Haskell Scoping

Q: Is Haskell lexically scoped or dynamically scoped?

#### Haskell Scoping

Q: Is Haskell lexically scoped or dynamically scoped?

```
n = 100
fa = n
g n = n
h n = f 0
> f 10
100
> g 10
10
> h 10
???
```

#### Haskell Scoping

Q: Is Haskell lexically scoped or dynamically scoped?

```
n = 100
fa = n
g n = n
h n = f 0
> f 10
100
> g 10
10
> h 10
???
```

A: Lexically scoped.

# Python Scoping

Q: Is Python lexically scoped or dynamically scoped?

# Python Scoping

Q: Is Python lexically scoped or dynamically scoped?

A: Lexically scoped.

### Bash Scoping (Demo)

```
X="batman"
function printX {
  echo $X
function localX {
  local X="superman"
  printX
printX
localX
```

### Python Scoping Bug (Demo)

print(add1(5))

What happened?

```
You will run into this bug at some point in your career:
adders = []
for i in [1, 2, 3]:
   add_i = lambda x: x + i
   adders.append(add_i)

add1 = adders[0]
```

#### Python Scoping Bug (Demo)

You will run into this bug at some point in your career:

```
adders = []
for i in [1, 2, 3]:
   add_i = lambda x: x + i
   adders.append(add_i)

add1 = adders[0]
print(add1(5))
What happened?
```

Mutation! The value of i has changed. We don't have referential transparency here.

## Fixing the Python Scoping Bug

```
We need to create a new scope (a new version of i)
def make adder(i):
  return lambda x: x + i
adders = []
for i in [1, 2, 3]:
  add_i = make_adder(i)
  adders.append(add_i)
add1 = adders[0]
print(add1(5))
```

#### Breakout Group

### Step 1 (function call)

The full expression is a function call.

First thing that gets evaluated is the function expression

### Step 2 (inner function call)

This expression is a function call, so we need to evaluate *its* function expression first

### Step 3 (fn expression in inner call)

This expression is a function expression, which evaluates to a closure. We'll invent a notation to encode the closure

### Step 4 (argument in inner call)

The function body from step 2 is evaluated. We can keep evaluating the argument expressions.

```
((lambda (x) ; DONE
(lambda (y) (* x y))) ;
(+ 2 3)) ; TODO
```

To evaluate (+23), we evaluate the + (identifier lookup), 2 and 3, and then perform the computation encoded by +. We get the result 5

#### Step 5 (actual inner call)

Now that we have both the function expression:

```
#(closure (x) (lambda (y) (* x y)) {})
```

and the argument 5 evaluated, we evaluate the function body of the closure, binding the parameter to the argument value. In other words, evaluate

```
(lambda (y) (* x y)); with environment \{x: 5\}
```

This expression evaluates to a closure

```
\#(closure (y) (* x y) \{x: 5\})
```

### Step 6 (argument in outer call)

The function body from step 1 is evaluated. We can keep evaluating the argument expressions.

The argument expression 10 evaluates to the number 10.

### Step 7 (argument in outer call)

Now we can evaluate the full expression! We want to apply

```
\#(closure (y) (* x y) {x: 5})
```

... with the argument 10. So we evaluate the body of the closure

```
(* x y); with envrionment \{x: 5, y: 10\}
```

This involves evaluating:

- \*, an identifier that evaluates to the multiplication builtin
- x, an identifier that evaluates to 5
- y, an identifier that evaluates to 10
- the actual multiplication, which evaluates to 50

#### What to do next

- 1. Complete week 3 quiz; ask questions on Piazza
- 2. Test 1 this week!
- 3. Exercise 3 due Saturday 10pm
- 4. You also have everything you need to complete exercise 4 task 1
- 5. Read week 4 notes and attempt quiz