

1 (inverted high-order model free adaptive control)

IHO MFAC

2- model free adaptive control

no model \rightarrow somewhat

3- principle of symmetric similarity

\rightarrow to find the similarities between current states and old time samples states to build estimation for the future

4- the paper compares between

1- IHO MFAC

2- MFAAC

5- Problem formulation :-

$$\dot{x}(t+1) = [f(y(t)), y(t-1)]$$

assumptions :-

the partial derivative of $f(\cdot)$ with respect to the $(n_y + 2)$ th variable is continuous for all time with finite exceptions

1- what is pseudo-partial derivative equations

2-

$$y(t+1) = \phi(x, u)$$

$$f(y(t)) + y(t-1), y(t-2)$$

$$, u(t), u(t-1), u(t-2)$$

$$\Delta y(t+1) = \phi(x) \Delta u(t)$$

ϕ is a pseudo-partial derivative

conclusion 1.

1- let x is the change of states with respect to time

$x = f(x, u)$: x is the system state

u is the input

lets say u input = $g(x, \theta)$

where g is the function of the control policy

1 (inverted high-order model free adaptive control)

IHO MFAC

2- model free adaptive control

no model \rightarrow somewhat

3- principle of symmetric similarity

\rightarrow to find the similarities between current states
and old time samples states to build estimation
for the future

4- The paper compares between

1- IHO MFAC

2- MFAC

5- Problem formulation :-

$$x(t+1) = [f(y(t)) \quad g(t-1)]$$

assumptions :-

the partial derivative of $f(\cdot)$
with respect to the $(n_g + 2)$ th variable
is continuous for all time with finite
exceptions

conclusions :-

1- let x is the change of
states with respect to time

$x = f(x, u)$:- x is the system state

u is the input

lets say input $= g(x, \theta)$
where g is the function of the control
policy

1- what is pseudo-partial derivative
equations

2-

$$g(t+1) = \boxed{0} \quad \text{del } \boxed{t+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(g(t) + \Delta g(t-1), g(t-2)) \\ & \quad \rightarrow u(t), u(t-1), u(t-2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta g(t+1) = \boxed{\phi(t)} \quad \boxed{\Delta u(t)}$$

ϕ is a pseudo partial derivative

First step:-

(1-) I would like to be specific so I will start with 3rd order ~~general~~ nonlinear system.

$$\rightarrow y(t+1) = f(y(t), y(t-1), y(t-2), u(t); u(t-1), u(t-2))$$

(2-) ~~the function~~ ~~is~~ $y(t+1)$ ~~is~~ is the output in the next time sample

(3) $f(y(t); u(t-\dots))$ is a function depends on the previous outputs and the current output and

* the previous inputs and the current input

! and this is the concept of (principle of symmetric similarity) which is mentioned in the paper introduction and abstract.

(4) we will assume that the function $f(\cdot)$ or $f(y(t), u(t))$

~~has continuous partial derivative for the y variable in every time sample except some cases~~

~~has continuous partial derivative for the y variable at all time~~
with respect to the (y_{t+2}) variable for all time with some exceptions.

this will assure to allow us to use parameter estimation or real time parameter estimation

because the derivative is continuous
in other meaning:-

the function theyt describes the
rate of change will be smooth and
continuous in the specific domain without
a jump in the values suddenly or having
a discontinuous ~~sting~~ curve.

this will allow us to have the partial
derivative to do real time parameter estimation
or optimization.

(5) we will assume 2nd assumption:-
which is that the system satisfies
Lipschitz condition ($\left| \frac{dy}{dt} \right| \leq b$)
(for all t with finite exceptions).

Lipschitz condition:- change produced $\leq b \times$ change
introduced :- where b is a positive constant

it means that the output ~~value~~ is bounded
~~realistic~~ dependin on the law
of energy conversion is referred in the Remark 1.

$$|y(t_1+D) - y(t_1)| \leq b |u(t_1) - u(t_2)|$$

then $\Delta y(t+1) = \phi(t) \Delta u(t)$

The cost~~150~~

the $\phi(\cdot)$ is called pseudo partial derivative (PPD)
and must be $\leq b$ according to Lipschitz condition

6 - Designing controller $\xrightarrow{\text{model free}}$
~~parameter adaptive~~ OR MFAC

(the cost ~~function~~ function)

$$\mathcal{J}(u(t), \alpha_{t,i}) = |y^*(t+1) - y(t+1)|^2$$

$$+ \gamma \left| u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^L \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i) \right|^2$$

$u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^L \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i) \Rightarrow$ the sum of product
between alpha coefficients and the past control
inputs

note → because we want to ~~fit the~~ have
a ~~specific~~ specific pattern among the input signal
and [this is a constraint]

[γ] is a trade off parameter to control the ratio
between fitting process and regularization process

~~now substitute 3 in 4~~

$$\text{since } y(t+1) - yt = \phi(u_t - u(t-1)) \\ \therefore y(t+1) = \phi u(t) - \phi u(t-1) + yt$$

$$\text{and eq4:- } J(u(t), \alpha_{t,i}) = |y^*(t+1) - y(t+1)|^2$$

$$+ \lambda |u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i)|^2$$

$$\therefore = |y(t+1) - \phi u(t) + \phi u(t-1) - y(t)|^2 \\ + \lambda |u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i)|^2$$

~~now take the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial u(t)}$~~

and get the minimum :-

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial J(u(t), \alpha_{t,i})}{\partial u(t)} = 0$$

Proving eq(5)

$$= (y^*(t+1) - \phi u(t) + \phi u(t-1) - y(t)) \cdot (-\phi)$$

$$+ \lambda (u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i)) \cdot (1)$$

$$\therefore -\phi y^*(t+1) + \phi^2 u(t) - \phi^2 u(t-1) + \phi y(t) \\ + \lambda u(t) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i)$$

$$\therefore (\phi^2 + \lambda) u(t) = \cancel{\phi^2 u(t-1) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i)}$$

$$+ \cancel{\phi^2 u(t-1) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i)}$$

added factor

now divide by $(\phi^2 + \lambda)$

$$u(t) = \frac{\phi(t)^2}{\phi(t)^2 + \lambda} u(t-1) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \phi(t)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \alpha_j u(t-j) + \frac{\rho \phi(t)}{\lambda + \phi(t)^2} (y^{act}(t+1) - y(t))$$

eq(5) proved.

~~eq 5~~ PPD estimation algorithm

eq(7) and eq(8)

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \frac{1}{|y(t) - y(t-1) - \hat{\phi}(t) \Delta u(t-1)|^2} + \mu |\hat{\phi}(t) - \hat{\phi}(t-1)|^2$$

$y^{actual}(t)$

weighting regularization term

taking derivative = 0

$$0 = (\Delta y(t) - \hat{\phi}(t) \Delta u(t-1)) (-\Delta u(t-1)) + \mu (\hat{\phi}(t) - \hat{\phi}(t-1)) - 1$$

then :-

$$(\mu + \Delta u(t-1)) \hat{\phi}(t) = \mu \hat{\phi}(t-1) + \Delta y(t) \cdot \Delta u(t-1)$$

then :-

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \frac{\mu \hat{\phi}(t-1)}{\mu + \Delta u(t-1)^2} + \frac{\Delta y(t-1) \cdot \Delta u(t-1)}{\mu + \Delta u(t-1)^2}$$

$$\text{then: } \hat{\phi}(t) = \frac{n \phi(t-1)}{n + \Delta u(t-1)^2} + \frac{\Delta y(t-1) \cdot \Delta u(t-1)}{n + \Delta u(t-1)^2} + \hat{\phi}(t-1) - \hat{\phi}(t-1)$$

$$\therefore -\hat{\phi}(t-1) = -\left(\frac{n + \Delta u(t-1)^2}{n + \Delta u(t-1)^2}\right) \hat{\phi}(t-1)$$

$$\therefore \hat{\phi}(t) = \hat{\phi}(t-1) + \frac{-\Delta u(t-1)^2}{n + \Delta u(t-1)^2} + \frac{\Delta y(t-1) \cdot \Delta u(t-1)}{n + \Delta u(t-1)^2}$$

~~common factor~~

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \hat{\phi}(t-1) + \left(\frac{\eta \Delta u(t-1)}{n + \Delta u(t-1)^2} \right) (\Delta y(t-1) - \Delta u(t-1) \cdot \hat{\phi}(t-1))$$

eq 7 is proved as well as 8

note: η is a weighting factor.

Now [proving equation 10]
as we proved eq (5)

1- take partial derivative of cost function
and get the optimal solution by equalizing to zero.

$$0 = \hat{y}(t+1) - \phi(t) u(t) + \phi(t) u(t-1) - y(t) \cdot (-\phi) \\ + \lambda (u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i)), \quad (1)$$

$$\therefore -\phi \hat{y}(t+1) + \phi^2 u(t) - \phi^2 u(t-1) + \phi y(t) + \lambda u(t) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i)$$

$$\therefore (\phi^2 + \lambda) u(t) = \phi^2 u(t-1) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i) \\ + \delta \phi(t) (y(t+1) - y(t))$$

added factor

now divide by $(\phi^2 + A)$

$$u(t) = \frac{\phi(t)^2}{\phi(t)^2 + A} u(t-1) + \frac{A}{A + \phi(t)^2} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i) \\ + \frac{p \phi(t)}{A + \phi(t)^2} (y^*(t+1) - y(t)) \quad \downarrow e(t)$$

~~$\hat{\phi}(t)$~~

$$u(t) = \frac{\phi(t)^2}{\phi(t)^2 + A} u(t-1) + \frac{A}{A + \phi(t)^2} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_{t,i} u(t-i) \\ + \frac{p \phi(t)}{A + \phi(t)^2} \cdot (\cancel{y^*(t+1) - y(t)})$$

equation 10 proved.

our cost function for the improved high order MFAC.

$\rightarrow J(\hat{\phi}(t), p_{t,1}) = |y(t) - y(t-1) - \hat{\phi} \Delta u(t-1)|^2$

$\text{equation } (11)$

$$+ \lambda |\hat{\phi}(t) - \sum_{i=1}^t p_{t,i} \hat{\phi}(t-i)|^2$$

$p_{t,i}$ is a weight factor

and $\hat{\phi}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^t p_{t,i} \hat{\phi}(t-i) + \frac{\gamma \Delta y(t-1)}{\lambda + \Delta u(t-1)}$

$\cdot (\Delta y(t) - \Delta u(t-1) \sum_{i=1}^t p_{t,i} \hat{\phi}(t-i))$ let's prove it

Proving \rightarrow equation

(P3)

taking derivative = 0

$$0 = (\Delta y(t) - \Delta u(t-1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^l B_{t,i} \hat{\phi}(t-i)) (-\Delta u(t-1))$$

$$+ \mu (\hat{\phi}(t) - \cancel{\sum_{i=0}^l B_{t,i} \phi(t-i)}) \cdot (1)$$

$$\text{then: } (1 + \Delta u(t-1)^2) \hat{\phi}(t) = \cancel{\Delta u(t-1)} \mu \sum_{i=0}^l B_{t,i} \phi(t-i) +$$

$$\Delta y(t) \cdot \Delta u(t-1)$$

then:-

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \frac{\mu \sum_{i=0}^l B_{t,i} \phi(t-i)}{\mu + \Delta u(t-1)^2} + \frac{\Delta y(t-1) \Delta u(t-1)}{\mu + \Delta u(t-1)^2}$$

same like we did in equation (7)

we will add and subtract

$$\hat{\phi}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^l B_{t,i} \hat{\phi}(t-i) + \frac{\Delta u(t-1)^2}{\mu + \Delta u(t-1)^2} (\Delta y(t-1) - \Delta u(t-1))$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^l B_{t,i} \hat{\phi}(t-i)$$

done

Date: _____ no: _____

now proving ⑯
it is same as ⑮ ⑯ ⑰

as we proved eq.(5)

1 - take partial derivative of cost function
and get the optimal solution by equalizing to zero.

$$0 = \tilde{y}(t+1) - \phi(t) u(t) + \phi(t) u(t-1) - y(t) \cdot (-\phi) \\ + \lambda (u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i)), \quad (1)$$

$$\therefore -\phi \tilde{y}(t+1) + \phi^2 u(t) - \phi^2 u(t-1) + \phi y(t) + \lambda u(t) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i)$$

$$\therefore (\phi^2 + \lambda) u(t) = \phi^2 u(t-1) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i) \\ + \delta \phi(t) (y(t+1) - y(t))$$

added factor

[ROX]

Date: _____ no: _____

now divide by $(\phi^2 + \lambda)$

$$u(t) = \frac{\phi(t)^2}{\phi(t)^2 + \lambda} u(t-1) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \phi(t)^2} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i) \\ + \frac{\rho \phi(t)}{\lambda + \phi^2(t)} (y^{*}(t+1) - y(t)) \quad \hookrightarrow e(t)$$

~~equation 10~~

$$u(t) = \frac{\phi(t)^2}{\phi(t)^2 + \lambda} u(t-1) + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \phi(t)^2} \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i u(t-i) \\ + \frac{\rho \phi(t)}{\lambda + \phi^2(t)} \cdot (\text{~~equation 10~~} \cdot (e(t)))$$

equation 15 proved.