

Chapter 1

Starting at the Beginning: The Natural Numbers

- 6/15:
- This text will begin by reviewing high school level material, but as rigorously as possible.
 - It will teach the skill of proving complicated properties from simpler ones, allowing you to understand why an “obvious” statement really is obvious.
 - One particularly important skill is the use of **mathematical induction**.
 - We will strive to eliminate **circularity**.
 - **Circularity:** “Using an advanced fact to prove a more elementary fact, and then later using the elementary fact to prove the advanced fact” (14).
 - The number systems used in real analysis, listed in order of increasing sophistication, are the **naturals** $\mathbb{N}^{[1]}$, the **integers** \mathbb{Z} , the **rational**s \mathbb{Q} , and the **real**s \mathbb{R} .
 - **Complex numbers** \mathbb{C} will only be used much later.
 - This chapter will answer the question, “How does one actually *define* the natural numbers?”

1.1 The Peano Axioms

- **Peano Axioms:** First laid out by Guiseppe Peano, these are a standard way to define the natural numbers. They consist of Axioms 2.1-2.5, which follow.
 - From these five axioms and some from set theory, we can build all other number systems, create functions, and do algebra and calculus.
- How do you define operations on the naturals?
 - Complicated operations are defined in terms of simpler ones: Exponentiation is repeated multiplication, multiplication is repeated addition, and addition is repeated **incrementing**.
- **Incrementing:** The most fundamental operation — best thought of as counting forward by one number.
 - Incrementing is one of the fundamental concepts that allows us to define the natural numbers.
 - Let^[2] $n++$ denote the increment, or **successor**, of n .

¹Note that in this text, the natural numbers will include 0. The natural numbers without 0 will be called the **positive integers** \mathbb{Z}^+ .

²This notation is pulled from some computer languages such as *C*.

■ For example, $3++ = 4$ and $(3++)++ = 5$.

- Let $x := y$ denote the statement, “ x is defined to equal y .”
- At this point, we can begin defining the natural numbers.

Axiom 1.1. *0 is a natural number.*

Axiom 1.2. *If n is a natural number, then $n++$ is also a natural number.*

- To avoid having to use incrementation notation for every number, we adopt a convention.

Definition 1.1. We define 1 to be the number $0++$, 2 to be the number $(0++)++$, 3 to be the number $((0++)++)++$, etc.

- From these axioms, we can already prove things.

Proposition 1.1. *3 is a natural number.*

Proof. By Axiom 1.1, 0 is a natural number. By Axiom 1.2, $0++ = 1$ is a natural number. By Axiom 1.2 again, $1++ = 2$ is a natural number. By Axiom 1.2 again, $2++ = 3$ is a natural number. \square

- It seems like Axioms 1.1 and 1.2 have us pretty well covered. However, what if the number system wraps around (e.g., if $3++ = 0$)? We can fix this with the following.

Axiom 1.3. *0 is not the successor of any natural number; i.e., we have $n++ \neq 0$ for every natural number n .*

- We can now prove that $4 \neq 0$ (because $4 = 3++$, $3 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $n++ \neq 0$).
- However, there are still issues — what if the number system hits a ceiling at 4, e.g., $4++ = 4$?
- A good way to prevent this kind of behavior is via the following.

Axiom 1.4. *Different natural numbers must have different successors, i.e., if $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \neq m$, then $n++ \neq m++$. Equivalently^[3], if $n++ = m++$, then $n = m$.*

- We can now prove propositions like the following, extending our anti-wrap around proving ability.

Proposition 1.2. *6 is not equal to 2.*

Proof. Suppose $6 = 2$. Then $5++ = 1++$, so by Axiom 1.4, $5 = 1$. Then $4++ = 0++$, so by Axiom 1.4, $4 = 0$, which contradicts our proof that $4 \neq 0$. \square

6/16:

- Before going any further, we’re going to need an **axiom schema**.
- **Axiom schema:** An axiom that functions as “a template for producing an (infinite) number of axioms, rather than a single axiom in its own right” (20).

Axiom 1.5 (Principle of mathematical induction). *Let $P(n)$ be any property pertaining to a natural number n . Suppose that $P(0)$ is true, and suppose that whenever $P(n)$ is true, $P(n++)$ is also true. Then $P(n)$ is true for every natural number n .*

- Axiom 1.5 allows us to exclude numbers such as 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, ... from our number system because $P(n)$ is only true for $n \in 0, 1, 2, \dots$
- Proposition 2.1.11 in the book is an excellent template for an induction proof.

³This is an example of reformulating an implication using its **contrapositive**. In the converse direction, it is the **axiom of substitution**.

- Note that there is only one natural number system — we could call $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $\{O, I, II, III, \dots\}$ different number systems, but they are **isomorphic**, since a one-to-one correspondence exists between their elements and they obey the same rules.
- An interesting property of the naturals is that while every element is finite (0 is finite; if n is finite, then $n++$ is finite), the set is infinite.
- In math, we define the natural numbers **axiomatically** as opposed to **constructively** — “we have not told you what the natural numbers are. . . we have only listed some things you can do with them. . . and some of the properties that they have” (22).
 - This is the essence of treating objects **abstractly**, caring only about the properties of objects, not what they are or what they mean.
 - “The great discovery of the late nineteenth century was that numbers can be understood abstractly via axioms, without necessarily needing a concrete model; of course a mathematician can use any of these models [e.g., counting beads] when it is convenient, to aid his or her intuition and understanding, but they can also be just as easily discarded when they begin to get in the way [of understanding $-3, 1/3, \sqrt{2}, 3 + 4i, \dots$]” (23).
- With the axioms (and the concept of a function, which does not rely on said axioms), we can introduce recursive definitions, which will be useful in defining addition and multiplication.

Proposition 1.3 (Recursive definitions). *Suppose for each natural number n , we have some function $f_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ from the natural numbers to the natural numbers. Let c be a natural number. Then we can assign a unique natural number a_n to each natural number n , such that $a_0 = c$ and $a_{n++} = f_n(a_n)$ for each natural number n .*

Proof. (Informal) We use induction. First, a single value c is given to a_0 (no other value $a_{n++} := f_n(a_n)$ will be assigned to 0 by Axiom 1.3). Given that a_n has a unique value, a_{n++} will have a unique value $f_n(a_n)$, distinct from any other a_{m++} by Axiom 1.4. \square

1.2 Addition

- We can define addition recursively.

Definition 1.2 (Addition of natural numbers). Let m be a natural number. To add zero to m , we define $0 + m := m$. Now suppose inductively that we have defined how to add n to m . Then we can add $n++$ to m by defining $(n++) + m := (n + m)++$.

- If we want to find $2 + 5$, we can find $0 + 5 = 5$, $1 + 5 = (0++) + 5 = (0 + 5)++ = 5++ = 6$, $2 + 5 = (1++) + 5 = (1 + 5)++ = 6++ = 7$.

- Let’s now prove commutativity.

Lemma 1.1. *For any natural number n , $n + 0 = n$.*

Proof. Use induction. Since $0 + m = m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 + 0 = 0$, proving the base case. If $n + 0 = n$, then $(n++) + 0 = (n + 0)++ = n++$. This closes the induction. \square

Lemma 1.2. *For any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n + (m++) = (n + m)++$.*

Proof. We keep m fixed and induct on n . Base case: if $n = 0$, then $0 + (m++) = (m)++ = (0 + m)++$. Induction step: if $n + (m++) = (n + m)++$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (n++) + (m++) &= (n + (m++))++ \\ &= ((n + m)++)++ \\ &= ((n++) + m)++ \end{aligned}$$

This closes the induction. \square

Proposition 1.4 (Addition is commutative). *For any natural numbers n and m , $n + m = m + n$.*

Proof. For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, Definition 1.2 gives us $0 + m = m$ and Lemma 1.1 gives us $m + 0 = m$. Since both of the previous statements equal m , $0 + m = m + 0$. Suppose inductively that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n + m = m + n$. If this is true, then

$$\begin{aligned} (n++) + m &= (n + m)++ && \text{Definition 1.2} \\ &= (m + n)++ && \text{Inductive hypothesis} \\ &= m + (n++) && \text{Lemma 1.2} \end{aligned}$$

This closes the induction. \square

- And associativity (see Exercise 1.2.1).
- The next proposition deals with cancelling. Although we cannot use subtraction or negative numbers to prove it, it will be instrumental in allowing us to define subtraction and integers later.

Proposition 1.5 (Cancellation law). *Let a, b, c be natural numbers such that $a + b = a + c$. Then we have $b = c$.*

Proof. We induct on a (keeping b, c fixed). Consider the base case $a = 0$. If $0 + b = 0 + c$ by assumption and $0 + b = b$ and $0 + c = c$ by Definition 1.2, then $b = c$. Suppose inductively that $a + b = a + c$ implies that $b = c$. We must prove that $(a++) + b = (a++) + c$ implies $b = c$. This may be done as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (a++) + b &= (a++) + c && \text{Given} \\ (a + b)++ &= (a + c)++ && \text{Definition 1.2} \\ a + b &= a + c && \text{Axiom 1.4} \\ b &= c && \text{Inductive hypothesis} \end{aligned}$$

\square

- **Positive natural numbers:** A natural number $n \neq 0$.

Proposition 1.6. *If a is positive and b is a natural number, then $a + b$ is positive (and hence $b + a$ is also by Proposition 1.4).*

Proof. We induct on b (keeping a fixed). In the base case, if $b = 0$, then $a + 0 = a$ (a positive number) by Lemma 1.1. Suppose inductively that $a + b$ is positive. Then $a + (b++) = (a + b)++$ by Lemma 1.2, and $(a + b)++$ is positive by Axiom 1.3 — $a + (b++)$ is equal to the successor of a natural number, and the successor of a natural number is never 0, thus always positive. This closes the induction. \square

Corollary 1.1. *If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a + b = 0$, then $a = 0$ and $b = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0$. If $a \neq 0$, then a is positive, and hence $a + b = 0$ is positive by the previous statement, a contradiction. Similarly, if $b \neq 0$, then b is positive, and hence $a + b = 0$ is positive by Proposition 1.6, a contradiction. Thus, a and b must both be zero. \square

- See Exercise 1.2.2 for another property of positive natural numbers.
- With addition, we can begin to order the natural numbers.

Definition 1.3 (Ordering of the natural numbers). Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that n is **greater than or equal to** m and write $n \geq m$ or $m \leq n$ iff we have $n = m + a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that n is **strictly greater than** m and write $n > m$ or $m < n$ iff $n \geq m$ and $n \neq m$.

- See Exercise 1.2.3 for more on ordering.
- We can now prove the trichotomy.

Proposition 1.7 (Trichotomy of order for natural numbers). *Let a and b be natural numbers. Then exactly one of the following statements is true: $a < b$, $a = b$, or $a > b$.*

Proof. See Exercise 1.2.4 to fill in the gaps.

First, show that no two (or three) of the statements can hold simultaneously. If $a < b$ or $a > b$, then $a \neq b$ by definition. Also, if $a > b$ and $a < b$, then $a = b$, a contradiction.

Second, show that at least one of the statements is always true. We induct on a (keeping b fixed). When $a = 0$, we have $0 \leq b$ for all b (see Exercise 2.2.4a), so we either have $0 = b$ or $0 < b$, which proves the base case. Now suppose inductively that we have proven the proposition for a . From the trichotomy of a , there are three cases: $a < b$, $a = b$, and $a > b$. If $a > b$, then $a++ > b$ (see Exercise 2.2.4b). If $a = b$, then $a++ > b$ (see Exercise 2.2.4c). If $a < b$, then $a++ \leq b$ by Proposition 1.9. Thus, either $a++ = b$ or $a++ < b$. This closes the induction. \square

1.2.1 Exercises

1. Prove the following proposition. Hint: fix two of the variables and induct on the third.

Proposition 1.8 (Addition is associative). *For any natural numbers a, b, c , we have $(a + b) + c = a + (b + c)$.*

Proof. We first need a lemma.

Lemma 1.3. *The sum of two natural numbers $n + m$ is a natural number.*

Proof. We induct on n (keeping m fixed). By Axiom 1.1, $0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by Definition 1.2, $0 + m$ (the sum of two natural numbers) equals m (a natural number). Thus, the base case holds. Suppose inductively that $n + m$ is a natural number. Then $(n++) + m = (n + m)++$ by Definition 1.2, $n + m$ is a natural number by the inductive hypothesis, and $(n + m)++$ is a natural number by Axiom 1.2. This closes the induction. \square

Now we induct on a (keeping b, c fixed). By the lemma, $b + c$ is a natural number and can be treated as such. Consider the base case $a = 0$. In this case, $0 + (b + c) = b + c$ and $0 + b = b$ by Definition 1.2, so $0 + (b + c) = b + c = (0 + b) + c$. Now suppose inductively that $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a++) + (b + c) &= (a + (b + c))++ && \text{Definition 1.2} \\
 &= ((a + b) + c)++ && \text{Inductive hypothesis} \\
 &= ((a + b)++) + c && \text{Definition 1.2} \\
 &= ((a++) + b) + c && \text{Definition 1.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

This closes the induction. \square

2. Prove the following lemma. Hint: use induction.

Lemma 1.4. *Let a be a positive number. Then there exists exactly one natural number b such that $b++ = a$.*

Proof. We induct on a . Consider the base case $a = 1$. $1 = 0++$ by definition, and by Axiom 1.4, 0 is the only b satisfying $1 = b++$. Now suppose inductively that a has only one b satisfying $b++ = a$. Then $a++$ has only one natural number (namely a) satisfying $a++ = a++$. This closes the induction. \square

3. Prove the following proposition. Hint: you will need many of the preceding propositions, corollaries, and lemmas.

Proposition 1.9 (Basic properties of order for natural numbers). *Let a, b, c be natural numbers. Then*

- (a) (*Order is reflexive*) $a \geq a$.

Proof. By Lemma 1.1, $a = a + 0$. The previous expression is in the form $n = m + a$; thus, by Definition 1.3, $a \geq a$. \square

- (b) (*Order is transitive*) If $a \geq b$ and $b \geq c$, then $a \geq c$.

Proof. If $a \geq b$ and $b \geq c$, then $a = b + n$ and $b = c + m$, respectively, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Substituting, $a = (c + m) + n$. By Proposition 1.8, $a = c + (m + n)$. By Lemma 1.3, $m + n$ is a natural number. The previous expression is in the form $n = m + a$; thus, by Definition 1.3, $a \geq c$. \square

- (c) (*Order is anti-symmetric*) If $a \geq b$ and $b \geq a$, then $a = b$.

Proof. If $a \geq b$ and $b \geq a$, then $a = b + n$ and $b = a + m$, respectively, for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Substituting, $a = (a + m) + n$. By Proposition 1.8, $a = a + (m + n)$. By Lemma 1.1, $a + 0 = a + (m + n)$. By Proposition 1.5, $0 = m + n$. By Corollary 1.1, m and n both equal 0. Thus, $a = b + 0 = b$ by Lemma 1.1. \square

- (d) (*Addition preserves order*) $a \geq b$ iff $a + c \geq b + c$.

Proof. If $a + c \geq b + c$, then $a + c = (b + c) + n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} c + a = n + (b + c) & \text{Proposition 1.4} \\ c + a = (n + b) + c & \text{Proposition 1.8} \\ c + a = c + (n + b) & \text{Proposition 1.4} \\ a = n + b & \text{Proposition 1.5} \\ a = b + n & \text{Proposition 1.4} \end{array}$$

Thus, $a \geq b$. \square

- (e) $a < b$ iff $a++ \leq b$.

Proof. If $a++ \leq b$, then $b = (a++) + n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} b = (a + n)++ & \text{Definition 1.2} \\ = a + (n++) & \text{Lemma 1.2} \end{array}$$

Since $n++$ is a natural number (Axiom 1.2), the above proves that $a \leq b$. By Axiom 1.3, $n++ \neq 0$. Thus, $b \neq a$ (suppose for the sake of contradiction that $b = a$. Then $b = b + 0 = a + (n++)$ implies by Proposition 1.5 that $0 = n++$, a contradiction). By definition, since $a \leq b$ and $b \neq a$, $a < b$. \square

- (f) $a < b$ iff $b = a + d$ for some positive number d .

Proof. As a positive number, d is a natural number by definition. Thus, $b = a + d$ implies $a \leq b$. Since d is a positive number, $d \neq 0$. For the reasons outlined in the previous proof, this implies that $b \neq a$. Thus, $a < b$. \square

4. Justify the three statements marked (why?) in the proof of Proposition 1.7.

- (a) If n is a natural number, then $0 \leq n$.

Proof. We induct on n . By Proposition 1.9, $0 \geq 0$, proving the base case. Suppose inductively that $n \geq 0$. We know that $n++ \geq n$ (since $n++ = (n+0)++ = n+0++$), so by Proposition 1.9, $n++ \geq n$ and $n \geq 0$ transitively imply $n++ \geq 0$. \square

- (b) *Let a, b be natural numbers. Then if $a > b$, $a++ > b$.*

Proof. We first need a lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *If $a > b$ and $b > c$, then $a > c$.*

Proof. If $a > b$ and $b > c$, then $a = b + n$ and $b = c + m$, respectively, for some positive numbers n, m . Substituting, $a = (c + m) + n$. By Proposition 1.8, $a = c + (m + n)$. By Proposition 1.6, $m + n$ is a positive number. Thus, by Proposition 1.9, $a > c$. \square

Note that $a++ > a$ — $a++ = (a+0)++ = a+0++$ and $0++ \neq 0$ (Axiom 1.3), i.e., $a++ = a + d$, d being positive. By the lemma, $a++ > a$ and $a > b$ imply that $a++ > b$. \square

- (c) *Let a, b be natural numbers. Then if $a = b$, $a++ > b$.*

Proof. For the reasons outlined in the previous proof, $a++ > a$. Since $a = b$, substituting gives $a++ > b$. \square