Week 9

Nucleophilic Substitutions and Elimination

9.1 Nucleophilic Substitutions (cont.)

• Choosing between the mechanisms (cont.).

- 4. Solvent.
 - Critical for borderline cases.
 - The solvent is important for dissolving things/providing an environment for the reaction.
 - There are two types of solvents: **protic** and **aprotic**.
 - We need to know all of the common solvents (a table will be uploaded).
 - Key difference between protic and aprotic solvents.
 - Protic solvents can do hydrogen bonding with anions (LGs), stabilizing them.
 - Aprotic solvents cannot do this.
 - Protic solvents can stabilize X⁻, easing the self-ionization step in S_N1.
 - Protic solvents stabilize both the nucleophile and LG in S_N2.
 - \blacksquare With the nucleophile retarded, the rate of S_N2 goes down.
 - In an aprotic solvent, the nucleophile is even more reactive.
 - Take-home message: For secondary alkyl halides (the borderline cases), protic solvents promote S_N1 and aprotic solvents promote S_N2.
- Protic (solvent): A solvent with an acidic proton.
- Aprotic (solvent): A solvent without an acidic proton.
- If you see a nucleophilic substitution-type reaction with just one compound surrounding the arrow, assume it is both the nucleophile *and* the solvent.
- Allylic (carbocation): A carbocation on a carbon adjacent to an alkene.
 - Extra stable due to resonance stabilization.

9.2 β -Elimination

- β -elimination is a form of dehydrohalogenation.
- General form.

11/30:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
H & \downarrow & \\
C & C & C
\end{array}
\xrightarrow{\alpha} \xrightarrow{\text{base } (B^{-})} C = C + HB + X^{-}$$

• Mechanisms.

Br
$$\xrightarrow{-Br^{-}}$$

(a) E1.

$$R^{4}$$

$$R^{3}$$

$$X$$

$$R^{2}$$

$$R^{3}$$

$$R^{4}$$

$$R^{1}$$

$$R^{1}$$

$$R^{2}$$

$$R^{3}$$

$$R^{2}$$

$$R^{3}$$

$$R^{2}$$

$$R^{3}$$

$$R^{2}$$

Figure 9.1: Elimination mechanisms.

- E1: Unimolecular elimination.
 - Not a clean reaction E1 and S_N1 often happen together.
 - They will not ask us to tell which pathway is more favored.
 - Features.
 - 1. Tertiary alkyl halides are favored (secondary sometimes).
 - 2. Protic solvents are needed.
 - 3. We need a weak base/poor nucleophile.
 - 4. Selectivity: Determined by the alkene stability; we favor forming the more stable alkene (as per Zaitsev's Rule).
 - E1 is not a useful reaction to prepare alkenes from alkyl halides since we get a mixture of products and there are selectivity issues.
- Zaitsev's Rule: More substituted alkenes are more stable.
 - For secondary carbons, cis < trans < geminal in terms of stability.
 - Since sp^2 is more electronegative than sp^3 and R is an EDG, more R groups can provide more electrons to stabilize the sp^2 carbons.
- E2: Bimolecular elimination.
 - Often very selective, and you can make it very selective.
 - The lack of a carbocation intermediate and the fact that it's a concerted mechanism both contribute to the higher yield.
 - In order to realize E2, the conformation must adopt anti-periplanar geometry.
 - E2 is a stereospecific reaction.
- Anti-periplanar geometry: Two groups of importance are opposite each other and lie in the same plane.
 - Consider the H and X in Figure 9.1b.
- Example: Consider cis-1-chloro-2-isopropylcyclohexane in solution with MeONa and MeOH.

- Only the more stable cyclohexane conformation (with Cl axial and \Pr^i equatorial) has hydrogens anti to the chlorine.
- Both of these hydrogens will undergo E2 elimination with the chlorine, and the trisubstituted product will be the major product (as per Zaitsev's rule).
- However, if we use t-but oxide instead of methoxide, the disubstituted product would be the major product due to sterics.
- Example: Consider trans-1-chloro-2-isopropylcyclohexane in solution with MeONa and MeOH.
 - Since the less stable conformation is the reactive one, the reaction will still go, but it will be very slow.
- Take-home summary: For E2, the first priority is anti-periplanar, and then Zaitsev.