## 4 Types of Subgroups

10/24: 1. Let H and K be normal subgroups of G such that  $H \cap K$  is trivial. Prove that xy = yx for all  $x \in H$  and  $y \in K$ . (Exercise 3.1.42 of Dummit and Foote (2004).)

*Proof.* Let  $x \in H$  and  $y \in K$  be arbitrary.

Since H is normal,  $gxg^{-1} \in H$  for all  $g \in G$ . Choosing  $g = y^{-1}$  reveals that  $y^{-1}xy \in H$ . Additionally, we know since H is a subgroup that  $x^{-1} \in H$ . It similarly follows that  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in H$ .

Similarly,  $x^{-1}y^{-1}x \in K$  and  $y \in K$  imply that  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in K$ .

Having proven that  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in H$  and  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in K$ , we know that  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in H \cap K = \{e\}$ . Therefore,

$$x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = e$$
$$xy = yx$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

2. Show that  $S_4$  does not have a normal subgroup of order 3 or order 8.

*Proof.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that N be a normal subgroup of order 3 or 8. We know that N is a subgroup; thus,  $e \in N$ . We also know that N is a union of conjugacy classes. Thus, if we include any other cycle of a given shape in N, we know that all cycles of that shape are elements of N. Since there are 5 cycles of shape (xx), 8 cycles of shape (xx), 6 cycles of shape (xx), and 3 cycles of shape (xx), and 1 plus the sum of any combination of these numbers does not equal 3 or 8, we have arrived at a contradiction.

3. If H is a subgroup of G, define the **normalizer** of H to be

$$N_G(H) = \{ g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} = H \}$$

(a) Prove that  $N_G(H) = G$  if and only if H is normal.

Proof. Suppose first that  $N_G(H) = G$ . Then  $gHg^{-1} = H$  for all  $g \in G$ . It follows that  $ghg^{-1} \in H$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $g \in G$ . Therefore, by the definition of normality, H is normal, as desired. Now suppose that H is normal. Then  $ghg^{-1} \in H$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H$ . Additionally, if  $h' \in H$ , then  $h = g^{-1}h'g \in H$  by hypothesis, so  $h' = ghg^{-1} \in gHg^{-1}$ . It follows by the definition of set equality that  $gHg^{-1} = H$  for all  $g \in G$ . But by the definition of  $N_G(H)$ , this means that

(b) Prove that  $N_G(H)$  contains H.

 $N_G(H) = G$ , as desired.

Proof. Let  $h \in H$  be arbitrary. To prove that  $h \in N_G(H)$ , it will suffice to show that  $hHh^{-1} = H$ . We will do this with a bidirectional inclusion argument. Suppose first that  $hh'h^{-1} \in hHh^{-1}$ . Then since  $h, h' \in H$  by hypothesis and H is a subgroup (i.e., is closed under multiplication), we have that  $hh'h^{-1} \in H$ , as desired. Now let  $h'' \in H$ . Then choosing  $h' = h^{-1}h''h \in H$ , we have that  $h'' = hh'h^{-1} \in hHh^{-1}$ , as desired.

(c) Prove that H is a **normal** subgroup of  $N_G(H)$ .

*Proof.* H is a clearly a subgroup of  $N_G(H)$ : H is a subset of  $N_G(H)$  by part (b) and H is nonempty, closed under multiplication, closed under inverses, and associative as a subgroup of G. All that remains now is to prove that H is normal.

To prove that  $H \triangleleft N_G(H)$ , it will suffice to show that for all  $g \in N_G(H)$ ,  $gHg^{-1} \subset H$ . But we have this by the definition of  $N_G(H)$ , as desired.

- (d) Compute  $N_G(H)$  for the following pairs (G, H).
  - i.  $(S_4, \langle (1, 2, 3, 4) \rangle)$ .

*Proof.* We will first prove a lemma.

Lemma: Let  $H = \langle x \rangle = \langle y \rangle$  be a subgroup of G. If  $gxg^{-1} = y$ , then  $gHg^{-1} = H$ . Proof: We proceed via a bidirectional inclusion argument. Suppose first that  $ghg^{-1} \in gHg^{-1}$ . Since  $h \in H$  by hypothesis,  $h = x^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, since  $gxg^{-1} = y \in H$  and by the closure of H,  $ghg^{-1} = gx^ng^{-1} = (gxg^{-1})^n \in H$ , as desired. Now suppose that  $h' \in H$ . Then  $h' = y^n = gx^ng^{-1} \in gHg^{-1}$ , as desired. Q.E.D.

Let x = (1, 2, 3, 4). We know that

$$gxg^{-1} = (g(1), g(2), g(3), g(4))$$

There are two 4-cycles in H, each of which can be written in four ways:

(1, 2, 3, 4)	(1,4,3,2)
(2, 3, 4, 1)	(2,1,4,3)
(3,4,1,2)	(3, 2, 1, 4)
(4, 1, 2, 3)	(4, 3, 2, 1)

Thus, the values of g that make  $gxg^{-1}$  equal to one of the above are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e & & (2,4) \\ (1,2,3,4) & & (1,2)(3,4) \\ (1,3)(2,4) & & (1,3) \\ (1,4,3,2) & & (1,4)(2,3) \end{array}$$

Letting y=(4,3,2,1), we have  $H=\langle x\rangle=\langle y\rangle$  and  $gxg^{-1}\in\{x,y\}$  for all of the above g and our chosen x. Thus, by the lemma,  $gHg^{-1}=H$  for all of the above g. It follows that they are all elements of  $N_G(H)$ .

Moreover, any value of g that would make  $g(1,3)(2,4)g^{-1}$  equal to some other value of H has already been included in the above list, so we have no additional cases to check from there. Of course, all  $g \in G$  satisfy  $geg^{-1} \in H$ , the g there that have not already been mentioned would take  $gxg^{-1}$  outside of H.

Therefore,

$$N_G(H) = \{e, (2, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4), (1, 3), (1, 4, 3, 2), (1, 4)(2, 3)\}$$

ii.  $(S_5, \langle (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \rangle)$ .

*Proof.* Let x = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5). As before, we know that

$$gxg^{-1} = (g(1),g(2),g(3),g(4),g(5))$$

There are four 5-cycles in H, each of which can be written in five ways:

(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 3, 5, 2, 4)	(1,4,2,5,3)	(1, 5, 4, 3, 2)
(2, 3, 4, 5, 1)	(2,4,1,3,5)	(2,5,3,1,4)	(2,1,5,4,3)
(3,4,5,1,2)	(3, 5, 2, 4, 1)	(3, 1, 4, 2, 5)	(3, 2, 1, 5, 4)
(4, 5, 1, 2, 3)	(4, 1, 3, 5, 2)	(4, 2, 5, 3, 1)	(4, 3, 2, 1, 5)
(5,1,2,3,4)	(5, 2, 4, 1, 3)	(5,3,1,4,2)	(5,4,3,2,1)

Thus, the values of g that make  $gxg^{-1}$  equal to one of the following are

e	(2, 3, 5, 4)	(2, 4, 5, 3)	(2,5)(3,4)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 2, 4, 3)	(1, 2, 5, 4)	(1,2)(3,5)
(1, 3, 5, 2, 4)	(1, 3, 2, 5)	(1, 3, 4, 2)	(1,3)(4,5)
(1,4,2,5,3)	(1, 4, 5, 2)	(1, 4, 3, 5)	(1,4)(2,3)
(1, 5, 4, 3, 2)	(1, 5, 3, 4)	(1, 5, 2, 3)	(1,5)(2,4)

Since each of the four 5-cycles generates H, we have by the lemma to part (d)i that  $gHg^{-1}$  for all of the above g. It follows that they are all elements of  $N_G(H)$ . Therefore,

$$N_G(H) = \{e, (2, 3, 5, 4), (2, 4, 5, 3), (2, 5)(3, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4, 5), (1, 2, 4, 3), (1, 2, 5, 4), (1, 2)(3, 5) (1, 3, 5, 2, 4), (1, 3, 2, 5), (1, 3, 4, 2), (1, 3)(4, 5) (1, 4, 2, 5, 3), (1, 4, 5, 2), (1, 4, 3, 5), (1, 4)(2, 3) (1, 5, 4, 3, 2), (1, 5, 3, 4), (1, 5, 2, 3), (1, 5)(2, 4)\}$$

4. Prove that the subgroup N generated by elements of the form  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$  for all  $x, y \in G$  is normal. (Exercise 3.1.41 of Dummit and Foote (2004).)

*Proof.* To prove that N is normal, it will suffice to show that for all  $z \in N$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $gzg^{-1} \in N$ . Let  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \in N$  and  $g \in G$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{split} gx^{-1}y^{-1}xyg^{-1} &= gx^{-1}(g^{-1}g)y^{-1}(g^{-1}g)x(g^{-1}g)yg^{-1} \\ &= (gx^{-1}g^{-1})(gy^{-1}g^{-1})(gxg^{-1})(gyg^{-1}) \\ &= (gxg^{-1})^{-1}(gyg^{-1})^{-1}(gxg^{-1})(gyg^{-1}) \\ &\in N \end{split}$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

5. Prove that if G/Z(G) is cyclic, then G is abelian. (For a hint, see Exercise 3.1.36 of Dummit and Foote (2004).)

*Proof.* We first prove the hint. Let  $G/Z(G) = \langle xZ(G) \rangle$  and let  $\sigma \in G$  be arbitrary. Then  $\sigma \in [xZ(G)]^a$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows by the rules of coset multiplication that  $\sigma \in x^aZ(G)$ . Therefore,  $\sigma = x^az$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $z \in Z(G)$ , as desired.

To prove that G is abelian, it will suffice to show that for all  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ ,  $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$ . Let  $\sigma, \tau \in G$  be arbitrary. Let  $\sigma = x^az$  and  $\tau = x^bz'$ . Then since elements of Z(G) — such as z, z' — commute with any  $g \in G$  and exponents commute with each other, we have that

$$\sigma\tau = x^a z x^b z' = z x^a x^b z' = z x^b x^a z' = x^b z' x^a z = \tau \sigma$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

6. Let G be a finite group, and let  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup of index two — i.e., |G|/|H| = 2. Prove that H is normal.

*Proof.* To prove that H is normal, it will suffice to show that gH = Hg for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $g \in G$  be arbitrary. We divide into two cases  $(g \in H \text{ and } g \notin H)$ .

Suppose first that  $g \in H$ . Let  $gh \in gH$  be arbitrary. Then by closure under multiplication,  $gh \in H$ . Choosing  $h' = ghg^{-1} \in H$ , it follows that  $gh = h'g \in Hg$ , as desired. The proof that  $gH \supset Hg$  is analogous.

Now suppose that  $g \notin H$ . Since [G:H] = 2, G can be partitioned into the disjoint union of H and the coset gH or, symmetrically, H and the coset Hg. It follows that

$$gH = G \setminus H = Hg$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

7. Let G be a finite group, and let  $H \subset G$  be a subgroup of index three — i.e., |G|/|H| = 3. Show that H is not necessarily normal.

*Proof.* Let  $G = S_3$ ,  $H = \langle (1,2) \rangle$ , h = (1,2), and g = (1,3). Since |G| = 6 and |H| = 2, [G:H] = 6/2 = 3. Additionally,  $ghg^{-1} = (2,3) \notin H$ , so H is not normal, as desired. □

- 8. **Automorphism Groups**. Define an automorphism of a group G to be an isomorphism  $\phi: G \to G$  from G to itself. (See §4.4 of Dummit and Foote (2004).)
  - (a) Prove that the identity map is an automorphism.

*Proof.* To prove that the identity map  $\iota$  on an arbitrary group G is an automorphism, it will suffice to show that  $\iota$  is a homomorphism, injective, surjective, and sends  $G \mapsto G$ .

Homomorphism:

$$\iota(xy) = xy = \iota(x)\iota(y)$$

Injective:

$$\iota(x) = \iota(x') \iff x = x'$$

Surjective: If  $x \in G$ ,  $\iota(x) = x$ .

Naturally,  $\iota: G \to G$ .

(b) Prove that the composition of two automorphisms is an automorphism.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\phi, \psi$  are automorphisms on a group G; we seek to prove that  $\phi \circ \psi$  is an automorphism. To do so, it will suffice to show that  $\phi \circ \psi$  is a homomorphism, injective, surjective, and sends  $G \to G$ .

Homorphism:

$$[\phi \circ \psi](xy) = \phi(\psi(xy)) = \phi(\psi(x)\psi(y)) = \phi(\psi(x))\phi(\psi(y)) = [\phi \circ \psi](x) \cdot [\phi \circ \psi](y)$$

Injective:

$$[\phi \circ \psi](x) = [\phi \circ \psi](x')$$
$$\phi(\psi(x)) = \phi(\psi(x'))$$
$$\psi(x) = \psi(x')$$
$$x = x'$$

Surjective: If  $z \in G$ , then the surjectivity of  $\phi$  implies that there exists  $y \in G$  such that  $\phi(y) = x$ . Similarly, there exists  $x \in G$  such that  $\psi(x) = y$ . It follows that

$$z = \phi(\psi(x)) = [\phi \circ \psi](x)$$

 $\psi(G) = G$  and  $\phi(G) = G$ , so

$$[\phi \circ \psi](G) = \phi(\psi(G)) = \phi(G) = G$$

as desired.

(c) Prove that the set of automorphisms forms a group under composition. We will call this group Aut(G).

*Proof.* To prove that Aut(G) is a group, it will suffice to show that Aut(G) contains an identity element, is closed under inverses, and is associative.

Identity: Per part (a), we may choose  $\iota$  to be the identity element of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ . Indeed, if  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  and  $g \in G$  are arbitrary, then

$$[\phi \circ \iota](g) = \phi(\iota(g)) = \phi(g) = \iota(\phi(g)) = [\iota \circ \phi](g)$$

Inverses: Since  $\phi$  is a bijection,  $\phi^{-1}: G \to G$  is a well-defined automorphism in its own right. We can prove in an analogous manner to the above that  $\phi \circ \phi^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \circ \phi = e$ .

Associativity: Let  $f, g, h \in Aut(G)$  and  $x \in G$  be arbitrary. Then

$$[(f \circ g) \circ h](x) = [f \circ g](h(x)) = f(g(h(x))) = f([g \circ h](x)) = [f \circ (g \circ h)](x)$$

(d) If  $g \in G$  is a fixed element, prove that the map  $\phi_g : G \to G$  given by  $\phi_g(x) = gxg^{-1}$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* To prove that  $\phi_g$  is an isomorphism, it will suffice to show that it is a homomorphism, injective, and surjective.

Homomorphism:

$$\phi_q(xy) = gxyg^{-1} = gx(g^{-1}g)yg^{-1} = (gxg^{-1})(gyg^{-1}) = \phi_q(x)\phi_q(y)$$

Injective:

$$\phi_g(x) = \phi_g(x')$$
$$gxg^{-1} = gx'g^{-1}$$
$$x = x'$$

Cancellation Lemma

Surjective: Let  $y \in G$  be arbitrary. Choose  $x = g^{-1}yg$ . Then

$$y=(gg^{-1})y(gg^{-1})=g(g^{-1}yg)g^{-1}=gxg^{-1}=\phi_g(x)$$

(e) Prove that the map  $\psi: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  given by  $\psi(g) = \phi_g$  (sending the element g to the automorphism  $\phi_g$ ) is a homomorphism of groups.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y, g \in G$  be arbitrary. Then we have that

$$[\psi(xy)](g) = \phi_{xy}(g) = (xy)g(xy)^{-1} = xygy^{-1}x^{-1} = x\phi_y(g)x^{-1} = \phi_x(\phi_y(g)) = [\phi_x \circ \phi_y](g)$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

(f) Prove that the kernel of the map  $\psi: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is the center

$$Z(G) = \{ g \in G \mid gx = xg, \ \forall x \in G \}$$

*Proof.* To prove that  $\ker \psi = Z(G)$ , we will use a bidirectional inclusion argument.

Suppose first that  $g \in \ker \psi$ . Then  $\iota = \psi(g) = \phi_g$ . It follows that  $gxg^{-1} = \phi_g(x) = \iota(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ , but this directly implies that gx = xg for all  $x \in G$ .

The proof is symmetric in the reverse direction.

(g) Define the inner automorphism group Inn(G) of G to be the subgroup of Aut(G) given by the image of G under  $\psi$ . Prove that Inn(G) is a normal subgroup of Aut(G).

*Proof.* We have from the lemma in class that  $\text{Inn}(G) = \text{im } \psi$  is a subgroup of Aut(G) since  $\psi$  is a homomorphism.

To prove that  $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$  is normal, it will suffice to show that if  $\phi_g = \psi(g) \in \operatorname{Inn}(G)$  and  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ , then  $\varphi \phi_g \varphi^{-1} \in \operatorname{Inn}(G)$ . Let  $\phi_g \in \operatorname{Inn}(G)$ ,  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ , and  $x \in G$  be arbitrary. Then we have that

$$[\varphi \phi_g \varphi^{-1}](x) = \varphi(\phi_g(\varphi^{-1}(x)))$$

$$= \varphi(g\varphi^{-1}(x)g^{-1})$$

$$= \varphi(g)\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(x))\varphi(g^{-1})$$

$$= \varphi(g)x\varphi(g)^{-1}$$

$$= \phi_{\varphi(g)}(x)$$

$$\in \operatorname{Inn}(G)$$

as desired.

(h) Show that if G is abelian, then Inn(G) is trivial.

*Proof.* Suppose G is abelian. Then gx = xg for all  $g, x \in G$ . It follows that Z(G) = G. Thus, by part (f), ker  $\phi = Z(G) = G$ , meaning that  $Inn(G) = \operatorname{im} \psi = \{\iota\}$ , as desired.

- (i) Let Out(G) = Aut(G) / Inn(G). Prove that...
  - i.  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Out}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z};$

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  is abelian. Thus, by part (h),  $\operatorname{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$  is trivial. It follows that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{Out}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$  as desired.

Constructing  $\psi$ : Let  $\psi$ : Aut $(G) \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  be the isomorphism we seek to construct. First notice that since  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  is cyclic, any homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  is uniquely determined by  $\phi(1)$ . Indeed, if we know  $\phi(1)$ , then  $\phi(n) = n\phi(1)$ . Since  $\phi(1)$  can have three possible values, we divide into three cases. If  $\phi_1(1) = 0$ , then  $\phi_1$  sends every element of  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  to zero. Thus,  $\phi_1$  is not surjective, so  $\phi_1 \notin \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ . If  $\phi_2(1) = 1$ , then  $\phi_2(n) = n$ , i.e.,  $\phi_2 = \iota$ . Thus, take  $\psi(\phi_2) = 0$ . It follows that  $\phi_3$  defined by

$$1 \mapsto 2$$
  $2 \mapsto 1$   $0 \mapsto 0$ 

must be sent by  $\psi$  to  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Verifying that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism: We have mapped the two distinct elements of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  to the two distinct elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $\psi$  is injective and surjective. Moreover,  $\psi$  is a homomorphism since

$$\psi(\phi_2 \circ \phi_2) = \psi(\phi_2) = 0 = 0 + 0 = \psi(\phi_2) + \psi(\phi_2)$$

$$\psi(\phi_2 \circ \phi_3) = \psi(\phi_3) = 1 = 0 + 1 = \psi(\phi_2) + \psi(\phi_3)$$

$$\psi(\phi_3 \circ \phi_2) = \psi(\phi_3) = 1 = 1 + 0 = \psi(\phi_3) + \psi(\phi_2)$$

$$\psi(\phi_3 \circ \phi_3) = \psi(\phi_2) = 0 = 1 + 1 = \psi(\phi_3) + \psi(\phi_3)$$

ii.  $Out(S_3) = \{1\};$ 

*Proof.*  $S_3$  is not abelian. In fact, it contains no nontrivial elements which commute: We know that disjoint cycles commute, but in  $S_3$ , any nontrivial cycle is of length at least 2 and thus must share an element with another cycle of length at least 2. Thus  $Z(S_3) = \{e\}$ . It follows by part (f) that  $\psi: S_3 \to \operatorname{Aut}(S_3)$  is an isomorphism. Thus,  $\operatorname{Inn}(G) = \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{Out}(G) = \{1\}$ , as desired.

iii.  $\operatorname{Aut}(K) \cong \operatorname{Out}(K) \cong S_3$ , where  $K = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  is the Klein 4-group.

Labalme 6

*Proof.* K is abelian; hence, by part (h),  $Aut(K) \cong Out(K)$ .

 $K = \langle (0,1), (1,0) \rangle$ ; hence, any  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$  is uniquely defined by its action on (0,1) and (1,0). In particular, since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, we know  $\phi(0,0) = (0,0)$ . Additionally, whichever element of  $\{(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)\}$  is not in  $\phi(\{(0,1),(1,0)\})$  is the element to which  $\phi$  maps (1,1). Thus, we can define an isomorphism from  $\psi : \operatorname{Aut}(G) \to S_3$  as follows. Let  $f : K \setminus \{(0,0)\} \to [3]$  be defined by

$$(0,1) \mapsto 1 \qquad (1,0) \mapsto 2 \qquad (1,1) \mapsto 3$$

Then define  $\psi$  by

$$\psi(\phi) = f \circ \phi \circ f^{-1}$$

It follows by an analogous argument to that used in part (d) that  $\psi$  is an isomorphism.  $\Box$ 

9. Let p be an odd prime number. Prove that there are no surjective homomorphisms from  $S_n$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  for any prime p. (Hint: Consider the image of the two-cycles).

*Proof.* Let  $\phi: S_n \to \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  be an arbitrary homomorphism. Let  $(a,b) \in S_n$  be an arbitrary 2-cycle. By Lagrange's theorem,  $|\phi(a,b)|$  divides  $|\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}|$ , i.e,  $|\phi(a,b)| \in \{1,p\}$ . Additionally, we have that

$$2\phi(a,b) = \phi[(a,b) \circ (a,b)] = \phi(e) = 0$$

i.e.,  $|\phi(a,b)| \leq 2$ . Thus,  $|\phi(a,b)| = 1$ . It follows that  $\phi(a,b) = 0$  for all  $(a,b) \in S_n$ . But since a homomorphism is uniquely defined by its action on the generators and the 2-cycles generate  $S_n$ , this means that  $\phi$  is the trivial homomorphism. Therefore, since all homomorphisms from  $S_n$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  are equal to the trivial one (which is not surjective), we know that there are no surjective homomorphisms from  $S_n$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , as desired.