

Week 8

Electronic Structure of Atoms and Molecules

8.1 Hybridization and Time-Dependent Quantum Mechanics

11/15:

- Consider BeH_2 .
 - Then we can form the sp molecular orbital

$$\psi_{sp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(2s \pm 2p_z)$$

- Draws an orbital diagram of BeH_2 .
- BH_3 .
 - Lists sp^2 hybrid orbital wave functions.
- CH_4 .
 - Lists sp^3 hybrid orbital wave functions.
- The probability of finding a ψ_1 electron in the $2p_z$ orbital.
 - The absolute value of the coefficient of $2p_z$ in the wave function, squared.
 - Alternatively,

$$\text{Prob} = \left| \int 2p_z^* \psi_1 \, d\tau \right|^2$$

- Time-dependent Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{H}\psi = i\hbar \frac{d\psi}{dt}$$

- Let $\psi_n(r, t) = \phi_n(r)e^{-iE_n t/\hbar}$. Plugging this into the time-dependent Schrödinger equation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}\psi &= i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \left(\phi_n(r) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \right) \\ &= i\hbar \cdot -\frac{iE_n}{\hbar} \cdot \phi_n(r) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \\ &= E_n \psi_n\end{aligned}$$

- Thus, to this point, we've been solving the spatial part of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

- The probability density of the time-dependent solution is equal to the probability density of the time-independent solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi(r, t)|^2 &= \left| \phi(r) e^{-iEt/\hbar} \right|^2 \\
 &= \psi^*(r) \psi(r) e^{iEt/\hbar} e^{-iEt/\hbar} \\
 &= \psi^*(r) \psi(r) \\
 &= |\psi(r)|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

- The time-dependent Schrödinger equation allows us to make predictions for how long it takes an electron to relax back to a lower energy state after excitation, for instance.
- Using the time-dependent Schrödinger equation to describe the interaction of the system with electromagnetic radiation.

1. Solution: $\vec{E} = \vec{E}_0 \cos(\omega t)$ ^[1].

2. Dipole moment of the molecule (μ).

- We let $\hat{V} = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{E}$. This is how radiation interacts with matter.
- This leads to the overall Hamiltonian for the molecule interacting with the electric field as $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}$, where \hat{H}_0 is the Hamiltonian of the molecule and \hat{V} is the dipole-electric field perturbation defined above.
- If the electron is transitioning from the ground to the first-excited state, we let

$$\psi(t) = a_1(t)\phi_1 e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + a_2(t)\phi_2 e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar}$$

where the first part refers to the ground state, the second part refers to the excited state, and a_1, a_2 are time-dependent expansion coefficients that we are solving for.

- Substitution into the time-dependent Schrödinger equation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\hbar \left(\psi_1 \frac{da_1}{dt} + \psi_2 \frac{da_2}{dt} \right) &= a_1(t)\hat{V}\psi_1 + a_2(t)\hat{V}\psi_2 \\
 i\hbar e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} \frac{da_2}{dt} &= a_1(t) \int \phi_2^* \hat{V} \psi_1 d\vec{r} + a_2(t) \int \phi_2^* \hat{V} \psi_2 d\vec{r}
 \end{aligned}$$

8.2 Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation

11/17:

- The TD SE is an **initial value differential equation** since we know ψ_0 and can propagate that over time.
- We will approximate the solutions to this with both an expansion (variational method) and perturbation theory.
- Now we continue from before (finding the time-dependence of the interaction of a EM radiation with a molecule).
 - Let

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}$$

where \hat{H}_0 is the Hamiltonian of the molecule and \hat{V} describes the interaction of the photon and the molecule.

¹This is the definition of a classical electric radiation field. In Advanced Quantum Mechanics, we can turn this into a photon field for quantum electrodynamics.

- Let

$$\psi(t) = a_1(t) \underbrace{\phi_1 e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar}}_{\psi_1} + a_2(t) \underbrace{\phi_2 e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar}}_{\psi_2}$$

- Substituting into the TD SE, multiplying through by ϕ_2^* , and integrating and simplifying yields (continuing from before)

$$i\hbar \frac{da_2}{dt} = a_1(t) e^{-i(E_1-E_2)t/\hbar} \int \phi_2^* \hat{V} \phi_1 d\vec{r} + a_2(t) \int \phi_2^* \hat{V} \phi_2 d\vec{r}$$

- Computing $a_2(t)$ as a function of time.
- Initial values:

$$a_1(0) = 1$$

$$a_2(0) = 0$$

i.e., all of the electron density is in the ground state, and none is in the excited state.

- Assume a small perturbation and use the initial conditions on the right hand side of the above equation.
- This yields

$$i\hbar \frac{da_2}{dt} = e^{-i(E_1-E_2)t/\hbar} \int \phi_2^* \hat{V} \phi_1 d\vec{r}$$

- But since

$$\hat{V} = -\frac{\mu_z}{2} E_{0z} (e^{i2\pi\nu t} + e^{-i2\pi\nu t})$$

where we focus on the component of the electric field along the internuclear axis, we get

$$\frac{da_2}{dt} \propto \underbrace{\left(\int \phi_2 \mu_z \phi_1 d\vec{r} \right)}_{(\mu_z)_{12}} E_{0z} \left(e^{i(E_2-E_1+h\nu)t/\hbar} + e^{i(E_2-E_1-h\nu)t/\hbar} \right)$$

where $(\mu_z)_{12}$ is the **transition dipole moment** between states 1 and 2.

■ $(\mu_z)_{12}$ must be nonzero to get a transition.

- Integrating from 0 to τ gives

$$a_1(t) = (\mu_z)_{12} E_{0z} \left(\frac{1 - e^{i(E_2-E_1+h\nu)t/\hbar}}{E_2 - E_1 + h\nu} + \frac{1 - e^{i(E_2-E_1-h\nu)t/\hbar}}{E_2 - E_1 - h\nu} \right)$$

- The second term becomes large as $E_2 - E_1 - h\nu \rightarrow 0$.
- Thus, the probability in state 2 at τ is

$$a_2^*(\tau) a_2(\tau) \propto \frac{\sin^2[(E_2 - E_1 - \hbar\omega)\tau/2\hbar]}{(E_2 - E_1 - \hbar\omega)^2}$$

- The right term above is a function of the form $\sin^2(xt/2\hbar)/x^2$, which has a peak right at the resonance frequency.




Figure 8.1: The sinc function.

■ This is the **sinc function**.

- Test 3:
 - Problem sets 6-7.
 - Helium from a perturbation theory/variational principle perspective.
 - Chemical bonding (MOs for H_2^+ , bonding and antibonding orbitals).
 - MO diagrams for diatomics (N_2 , O_2 , etc.)
 - Grassmann wedge notation.
 - Huckel theory (the MOs for conjugated molecules). There WILL be one of these on the test.
 - Hybridization.
 - Time-dependent perturbation theory and the TD SE (probably not a question on this, but if you have expansion coefficients evolving with time, how do you find the probability [modulus squared]).
 - 5 parts, formula page, calculator allowed.

8.3 Chapter 10: Bonding in Polyatomic Molecules

From McQuarrie and Simon (1997).

11/15:

- Consider BeH_2 .
 - The two Be–H bonds are equivalent with an angle of 180° between them
 - MOs are formed from orbitals that are similar in energy, so in addition to the $\text{H}(1s)$ and $\text{Be}(2s)$, throw in the energetically close $\text{Be}(2p)$.
 - We also add in a $2p$ orbital because we need the resultant molecular orbitals to point in opposite directions so as to explain the linear structure of the molecule, and directionality is not something the spherically symmetric $2s$ orbital can provide.
 - Thus, choose

$$\psi_{\text{Be-H}} = c_1 \psi_{\text{Be}(2s)} + c_2 \psi_{\text{Be}(2p)} + c_3 \psi_{\text{H}(1s)}$$
 - The first two terms in the above linear combination can be thought of as representing a new **hybrid** “orbital” on beryllium.
 - The normalized sp hybrid orbitals are given by

$$\psi_{sp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(2s \pm 2p_z)$$

where the z -axis is defined to be the internuclear H–Be–H axis.

- Note that sp hybrid orbitals concentrate electron density in one specific direction since the sign of the $2p$ wave function is different in the two direction ($\pm z$) but the sign of the $2s$ wave function is everywhere positive.
- **Hybrid orbital:** A linear combination of atomic orbitals on the same atom.
- The normalized sp^2 hybrid orbitals are

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}2s + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}2p_z & \psi_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}2s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}2p_z + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}2p_x \\ \psi_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}2s - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}2p_z - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}2p_x \end{aligned}$$

- The normalized sp^3 hybrid orbitals are

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(2s + 2p_x + 2p_y + 2p_z) & \psi_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(2s - 2p_x - 2p_y + 2p_z) \\ \psi_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(2s + 2p_x - 2p_y - 2p_z) & \psi_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(2s - 2p_x + 2p_y - 2p_z)\end{aligned}$$

- Bonding vs. lone pair electrons: H_2O .

- We could form bond orbitals out of the singly occupied $p_{y,z}$ orbitals of O, but this would predict a bond angle of 90° . Thus, we need hybrid orbitals.
- The bond angle in water is between that predicted using sp^2 hybrid orbitals and $2p$ orbitals.
- Since oxygen has two bonding and four lone pair electrons, we let

$$\psi = c_1 2s + c_2 2p_y + c_3 2p_z$$

- Determining the coefficients such that two orthogonal orbitals directed at an angle of 104.5° are generated yields

$$\psi_1 = 0.45 \cdot 2s + 0.71 \cdot 2p_y + 0.55 \cdot 2p_z \quad \psi_2 = 0.45 \cdot 2s - 0.71 \cdot 2p_y + 0.55 \cdot 2p_z$$

- Physical interpretation of the normalization constants: c_i^2 is the fractional character of the i^{th} orbital^[2].
- ψ_1, ψ_2 accommodate the bonding electrons. Our unused hybrid orbital and unused $2p_x$ orbital accommodate the lone pair electrons as a linear combination (since symmetry necessitates that the two lone pair orbitals be equivalent).

- Why BeH_2 is linear but H_2O is bent.

- Using a more general LCAO-MO with all relevant orbitals and solving yields a set of molecular orbitals.
- Upon bending, the degeneracy of the π orbitals is lifted as the hydrogen orbitals move to intersect more with one specific side of the molecule.
- Such changes are summarized by a **Walsh correlation diagram** (see Labalme (2021, p. 65)).
- We want the minimal energy for however many electrons we have, and with the additional electrons of H_2O , it is more energetically favorable to bend than to remain linear.

- **Walsh correlation diagram:** A plot of the energy of a molecular orbital as a function of a systematic change in molecular geometry.
- **σ -bond framework:** The collection of all σ bonds in a molecule.

²Think s -character, p -character.