

Chapter 2

Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces

2.A Span and Linear Independence

- 9/3:
- **Linear combination** (of a list v_1, \dots, v_m of vectors in V): A vector of the form $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m$, where $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$.
 - **Span** (of v_1, \dots, v_m): The set of all linear combinations of a list of vectors v_1, \dots, v_m in V . Also known as **linear span**. Denoted by $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. Given by

$$\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = \{a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m : a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}\}$$

– We define $\text{span}() = \{0\}$.

- Span as a subspace.

Theorem 2.1. *The span of a list of vectors in V is the smallest subspace of V containing all the vectors in the list.*

Proof. Let $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$ be a list of vectors. We will first prove that $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ is a subspace of V . We will then prove that it contains all of the vectors in the list. Lastly, we will prove that any proper subset of $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ either doesn't contain all the vectors in the list or is not a subspace of V . Let's begin.

To prove that $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ is a subspace of V , it will suffice to show that $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ contains the additive identity, $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ is closed under addition, and $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ is closed under scalar multiplication. By the definition of $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$, we know that $0v_1 + \dots + 0v_m = 0 \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. If $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ and $b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$, then naturally $(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) + (b_1v_1 + \dots + b_mv_m) = (a_1 + b_1)v_1 + \dots + (a_m + b_m)v_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. Lastly, if $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, then naturally $\lambda(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m) = (\lambda a_1)v_1 + \dots + (\lambda a_m)v_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$.

By setting every $a_i = 0$ except $a_j = 1$, we can guarantee that $v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ for all $j \in [m]$.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists a smaller subspace U of V that contains v_1, \dots, v_m . It follows that there exists a vector $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ such that $u \notin U$. Since $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$, $u = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$. However, by definition, $v_1, \dots, v_m \in U$, so since U is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, their linear combination $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = u \in U$, a contradiction. ■

- If $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = V$, we say that v_1, \dots, v_m **spans** V .
- **Finite-dimensional vector space:** A vector space such that some list of vectors in it spans the space.

- Recall that by definition, every list has finite length.
 - **Polynomial** (with coefficients in \mathbb{F}): A function $p : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that there exist $a_0, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ such that

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_mz^m$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{F}$.
 - $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$: The set of all polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{F} .
 - $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$, under the usual addition and scalar multiplication, is a vector space over \mathbb{F} .
 - Thus, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbb{F}}$.
 - We will later prove that the coefficients of a polynomial uniquely determine it.
 - **Degree** (of a polynomial p): The number m , where $p = a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_mz^m$ and $a_m \neq 0$. Denoted by $\deg p = m$.
 - The polynomial $p(z) = 0$ is said to have degree $-\infty$.
 - $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F})$: The set of all polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{F} and degree at most m , where m is a nonnegative integer.
 - $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{F}) = \text{span}(1, z, \dots, z^m)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space for all nonnegative integers m .
 - **Infinite-dimensional vector space**: A vector space that is not finite dimensional.
 - $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$ is infinite-dimensional.
 - **Linearly independent** (list v_1, \dots, v_m): A list v_1, \dots, v_m of vectors in V such that the only choice of $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ that makes $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = 0$ is $a_1 = \dots = a_m = 0$.
 - We also let the empty list be linearly independent.
 - v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent if and only if each vector in $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ has only one representation as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_m .
 - If some vectors are removed from a linearly independent list, the remaining list is also linearly independent.
 - Suppose v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent. Suppose v_1, \dots, v_n is not linearly independent, with $n < m$. Then $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $a_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in [n]$. But then $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n + 0v_{n+1} + \dots + 0v_m = 0$, a contradiction.
 - **Linearly dependent** (list v_1, \dots, v_m): A list v_1, \dots, v_m of vectors in V that is not linearly independent.
 - In other words, v_1, \dots, v_m are linearly dependent if there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$, not all 0, such that $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_mv_m = 0$.
 - The following is an important and oft-used lemma.
- Lemma 2.2** (Linear Dependence Lemma). *Suppose v_1, \dots, v_m is a linearly dependent list in V . Then there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that the following hold:*
- (a) $v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$;
 - (b) if the j^{th} term is removed from v_1, \dots, v_m , the span of the remaining list equals $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$.

Proof. We divide into two cases (the list is $v_1 = 0$, and the list is v_1, \dots, v_m).

If the list is $v_1 = 0$, then the list is linearly dependent. Choose $j = 1$. Clearly, $v_1 \in \text{span}() = \{0\}$ by definition. Additionally, $\text{span}() = \{0\} = \{a_1 0 : a_1 \in \mathbb{F}\} = \text{span}(v_1)$, as desired.

Since v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly dependent, there exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$, not all 0, such that $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$. Let j be the largest element of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $a_j \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_j v_j + 0 v_{j+1} + \dots + 0 v_m \\ -a_j v_j &= a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_{j-1} v_{j-1} \\ v_j &= -\frac{a_1}{a_j} v_1 - \dots - \frac{a_{j-1}}{a_j} v_{j-1} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$, as desired.

Now clearly $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m) \subset \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. In the other direction, suppose $u = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_m v_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. Then

$$u = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_{j-1} v_{j-1} + c_j \left(-\frac{a_1}{a_j} v_1 - \dots - \frac{a_{j-1}}{a_j} v_{j-1} \right) + c_{j+1} v_{j+1} + \dots + c_m v_m \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_m)$$

as desired. ■

- We next prove an immediate consequence of the Linear Dependence Lemma.

Theorem 2.3. *In a finite-dimensional vector space, the length of every linearly independent list of vectors is less than or equal to the length of every spanning list of vectors.*

Proof. Suppose that u_1, \dots, u_m is linearly independent in V , and that w_1, \dots, w_n spans V . We must prove that $m \leq n$. To do so, it will suffice to use the following m -step process.

Step 1: Let $B = w_1, \dots, w_n$. Adding any $v \in V$ to this list produces a linearly dependent list (because the newly adjoined vector can be written as a linear combination of the other vectors). In particular, the list u_1, w_1, \dots, w_n is linearly dependent. Thus, since $u_1 \neq 0$ (it's part of a linearly independent list, and thus cannot be written as $0u_i$ for any u_i), the Linear Dependence Lemma asserts that we can remove one of the w_i 's such that the new list B consisting of u_1 and the remaining w_i 's spans V .

Step j : The list B from step $j-1$ spans V . Thus, as before, adjoin vector u_j to B , placing it just after u_1, \dots, u_{j-1} . It follows by the Linear Dependence Lemma that one of the following vectors (i.e., one of the w_i 's) is in $\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_j)$, so we can remove it and know that the list comprised of u_1, \dots, u_j followed by the remaining w_i 's spans V .

After step m , we have added all of the u 's and the process stops. At each step, as we add a u to B , the Linear Dependence Lemma implies that there is some w to remove. Thus, there are at least as many w 's as u 's.^[1] ■

- This theorem allows us to prove results such as no list of 4 or more vectors is linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^3 (since $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$ spans \mathbb{R}^3), and no list of fewer than 4 vectors spans \mathbb{R}^4 (since $(1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1)$ is linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^4).

- We now can rigorously prove that subspaces of finite-dimensional vector spaces are also finite-dimensional.

Theorem 2.4. *Every subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space is finite-dimensional.*

Proof. Let V be finite-dimensional, and suppose for the sake of contradiction that U is infinite-dimensional subspace of V . Since V is finite-dimensional, there exists a list of vectors v_1, \dots, v_m such that $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = V$. To arrive at a contradiction, we will construct a linearly independent list of vectors in U of length $m+1$, contradicting Theorem 2.3.

¹We should be able to do this more rigorously via induction on m .

Since U is infinite-dimensional, there is no list of vectors in U spans it. Thus, if we choose $u_1 \in U$, we know that $\text{span}(u_1) \neq U$. It follows since $\text{span}(u_1) \subset U$ (as we know from the closure of U) that there exists $u_2 \in U$ such that $u_2 \notin \text{span}(u_1)$. However, we will still have that $\text{span}(u_1, u_2) \neq U$. More importantly, though, since $u_2 \notin \text{span}(u_1)$ and $u_1 \notin \text{span}()$, the Linear Dependence Lemma implies that u_1, u_2 is linearly independent. We can clearly continue in this fashion up to u_1, \dots, u_{m+1} , as desired. ■

2.B Bases

- **Basis** (of V): A list of vectors in V that is linearly independent and spans V .
- **Standard basis** (of \mathbb{F}^n): The list $(1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, 1)$.
- Determining whether a list of vectors is a basis:

Theorem 2.5. *A list v_1, \dots, v_n of vectors in V is a basis of V if and only if every $v \in V$ can be written uniquely in the form*

$$v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Suppose first that v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V . Let $v \in V$ be arbitrary. We will first show that v can be written in the desired form, and then we will show that this writing is unique. Let's begin. By the definition of a basis, v_1, \dots, v_n spans V . Thus, $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = V$. It follows that $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, which implies by the definition of span that $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$ where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$, as desired. Now suppose for the sake of contradiction $v = c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n$ as well, where $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ and $c_j \neq a_j$ for some $i \in [n]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= v - v \\ &= (a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) - (c_1v_1 + \dots + c_nv_n) \\ &= (a_1 - c_1)v_1 + \dots + (a_n - c_n)v_n \end{aligned}$$

Since at least $a_j - c_j \neq 0$ but the above sum still does equal 0, we have that v_1, \dots, v_n are not linearly independent, a contradiction.

Now suppose that every $v \in V$ can be written uniquely in the form $v = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n$. To prove that v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V , it will suffice to show that v_1, \dots, v_n spans V and is linearly independent. Let's start with the first claim. Clearly, $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n) \subset V$, and since every $v \in V$ may be written as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_n , we know that every $v \in V$ is an element of $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$, as desired. On the other hand, we know that $0 = 0v_1 + \dots + 0v_n$ and 0 can only be written in this unique form. Thus, the only choice of $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}$ that makes $a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n = 0$ is $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$, proving that v_1, \dots, v_n is linearly independent. ■

- Finding the basis in a spanning list.

Theorem 2.6. *Every spanning list in a vector space can be reduced to a basis of the vector space.*

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_n span V . We induct on n . For the base case $n = 0$, if $()$ spans V , then since $()$ is linearly independent by definition, we are done. Now suppose inductively that we have proven that every spanning list in V of length n can be reduced to a basis of V ; we wish to prove that every spanning list in V of length $n + 1$ can be reduced to a basis of V . Let v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} span V . If v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} is linearly independent, we are done. If v_1, \dots, v_{n+1} is linearly dependent, then by the Linear Dependence Lemma, we can remove a vector from the list without changing its span. Our new list only has length n , so by the inductive hypothesis, it will reduce to a basis of V . ■

- Proving the existence of a basis in a finite-dimensional vector space.

Theorem 2.7. *Every finite-dimensional vector space has a basis.*

Proof. Let V be finite-dimensional. As such, there exists a list v_1, \dots, v_n of vectors in V that spans V . It follows by Theorem 2.6 that some sublist of v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis of V , as desired. ■

- Extending a linearly independent list into a basis (basically the dual of Theorem 2.6).

Theorem 2.8. *Every linearly independent list of vectors in a finite-dimensional vector space can be extended to a basis of the vector space.*

Proof. Let u_1, \dots, u_m be a linearly independent list of vectors in V . By Theorem 2.7, V has a basis w_1, \dots, w_n . It follows that $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ spans V . Thus, by Theorem 2.6, which removes the first linearly dependent vector in $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ (necessarily one of the w_i 's since u_1, \dots, u_m are linearly independent) via the Linear Dependence Lemma, there exists a sublist of $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ containing u_1, \dots, u_m that is a basis of V . ■

- Finding orthogonal complements.

Theorem 2.9. *Suppose V is finite-dimensional and U is a subspace of V . Then there is a subspace W of V such that $V = U \oplus W$.*

Proof. Since V is finite-dimensional, Theorem 2.4 asserts that U is finite-dimensional. Thus, by Theorem 2.7, U has a basis u_1, \dots, u_m . It follows by Theorem 2.8 that there exist $w_1, \dots, w_n \in V$ such that $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ is a basis of V . Let $W = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$.

To prove that $U \oplus W = V$, it will suffice to show that

$$U + W = V \qquad U \cap W = \{0\}$$

To prove the first equation, it will suffice to show that for any vector $v \in V$, $v = u + w$ for $u \in U$ and $w \in W$. But since $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ is a basis of V , we have by the definition of span that

$$v = \underbrace{a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m}_u + \underbrace{b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n}_w$$

as desired.

To prove the second equation, let $v \in U \cap W$ be arbitrary. Then since $v \in U$ and u_1, \dots, u_m is a basis of U , we have that $v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m$ where $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$. Similarly, we have that $v = b_1 w_1 + \dots + b_n w_n$ where $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{F}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= v - v \\ &= a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m - b_1 w_1 - \dots - b_n w_n \end{aligned}$$

But since $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ is a basis of V , $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_n$ is linearly independent. It follows that $a_1 = \dots = a_m = b_1 = \dots = b_n = 0$. Therefore, $v = a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m = 0$, as desired. ■

- Note that this same basic idea extends to the infinite-dimensional case, but that proof requires considerably more advanced tools.

2.C Dimension

- It would be nice to define the dimension of a vector space as the length of a basis, but in order to do this we must first show that all bases have the same length.

Theorem 2.10. *Any two bases of a finite-dimensional vector space have the same length.*

Proof. Let B_1, B_2 be two arbitrary bases of V . Since B_1 is linearly independent in V and B_2 spans V , Theorem 2.3 asserts that $\text{len } B_1 \leq \text{len } B_2$. Similarly, since B_2 is linearly independent in V and B_1 spans V , Theorem 2.3 asserts that $\text{len } B_2 \leq \text{len } B_1$. Therefore, $\text{len } B_1 = \text{len } B_2$, as desired. ■

- **Dimension** (of V finite-dimensional): The length of any basis of V . Denoted by $\dim V$.
- We can now give the expected inequality regarding the dimension of subspaces with respect to the dimension of the vector space.

Theorem 2.11. *If V is finite-dimensional, and U is a subspace of V , then $\dim U \leq \dim V$.*

Proof. Since V is finite dimensional, Theorem 2.4 asserts that U is finite-dimensional. Thus, Theorem 2.7 implies that they have bases $B_U = u_1, \dots, u_m$ and $B_V = v_1, \dots, v_n$. Therefore, since B_U is linearly independent in V and B_V spans V , Theorem 2.3 asserts that $\dim U = \text{len } B_U \leq \text{len } B_V = \dim V$, as desired. ■

- Note that while there exists an order-preserving bijection over addition and scalar multiplication by elements of \mathbb{R} between \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{C} , $\dim \mathbb{R}^2 = 2 \neq 1 = \dim \mathbb{C}$. Thus, when we talk about the dimension of a vector space, the role played by the choice of \mathbb{F} cannot be neglected.
- Consider a list of vectors in a vector space that has the same length as a basis of the vector space. To check that this list is a basis, we can show that it suffices to show that the list is linearly independent or spans the vector space, as we will now prove.

Theorem 2.12. *Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then every linearly independent list of vectors in V with length $\dim V$ is a basis of V .*

Proof. Let $\dim V = n$, and let v_1, \dots, v_n be linearly independent. By Theorem 2.8, we can extend v_1, \dots, v_n to a basis of V . However, since every basis of V has length n by Theorem 2.10, we need not add any vectors to v_1, \dots, v_n to make it a basis; in other words, v_1, \dots, v_n already is a basis. ■

Theorem 2.13. *Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Then every spanning list of vectors in V with length $\dim V$ is a basis of V .*

Proof. The proof is symmetric to the proof of Theorem 2.12. ■

- Lastly, we tackle the dimension of a sum of subspaces, which bears a resemblance to the principle of inclusion-exclusion.

Theorem 2.14. *If U_1 and U_2 are subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space, then*

$$\dim(U_1 + U_2) = \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2)$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, $U_1 \cap U_2$ (which we can prove is a subspace in its own right) has a basis, which we may denote u_1, \dots, u_m . Since u_1, \dots, u_m is linearly independent in U_1 , Theorem 2.8 asserts that it can be extended to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ of U_1 . Similarly, it can be extended to a basis $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$ of U_2 .

To prove that $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is a basis of $U_1 + U_2$, it will suffice to show that it is linearly independent and spans $U_1 + U_2$.

To show that $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is linearly independent, it will suffice to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_m u_m + b_1 v_1 + \dots + b_j v_j + c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k &= 0 \\ c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k &= -a_1 u_1 - \dots - a_m u_m - b_1 v_1 - \dots - b_j v_j \end{aligned}$$

implies that all of the coefficients equal 0. Suppose that the above equation holds. Then since $c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k$ can be written as a linear combination of the basis vectors of U_2 , $c_1 w_1 + \dots + c_k w_k \in U_2$.

Additionally, since $c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k$ is a linear combination of vectors in U_2 , $c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k \in U_2$. Thus, $c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k \in U_1 \cap U_2$. It follows that $c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k$ can be written as a linear combination of u_1, \dots, u_m , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k &= d_1u_1 + \cdots + d_mu_m \\ 0 &= d_1u_1 + \cdots + d_mu_m - c_1w_1 - \cdots - c_kw_k \end{aligned}$$

for some $d_1, \dots, d_m \in \mathbb{F}$. But since $u_1, \dots, u_m, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is linearly independent as the basis of U_2 , the above equation implies that $c_1 = \cdots = c_k = 0$. This implies that

$$0 = -a_1u_1 - \cdots - a_mu_m - b_1v_1 - \cdots - b_jv_j$$

meaning since $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j$ is linearly independent as the basis of U_1 , the above equation implies that $a_1 = \cdots = a_m = b_1 = \cdots = b_j = 0$, as desired.

To show that $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ spans $U_1 + U_2$, it will suffice to show that all vectors in the list are elements of $U_1 + U_2$ (i.e., $\text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k) \subset U_1 + U_2$), and that every vector in $U_1 + U_2$ can be written as a linear combination of $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ (i.e., that $U_1 + U_2 \subset \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k)$). Since every vector in the list is an element of U_1 or U_2 , we can show that it is an element of $U_1 + U_2$ by adding it to the additive identity of the other space. On the other hand, let $x \in U_1 + U_2$. Then $x = x_1 + x_2$, where $x_1 \in U_1$ and $x_2 \in U_2$. It follows that $x_1 = a_1u_1 + \cdots + a_mu_m + b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_jv_j$ and $x_2 = a'_1u_1 + \cdots + a'_mu_m + c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k$. Therefore, $x = (a_1 + a'_1)u_1 + \cdots + (a_m + a'_m)u_m + b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_jv_j + c_1w_1 + \cdots + c_kw_k$, as desired.

Having established that $u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_j, w_1, \dots, w_k$ is a basis of $U_1 + U_2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(U_1 + U_2) &= m + j + k \\ &= (m + j) + (m + k) - m \\ &= \dim U_1 + \dim U_2 - \dim(U_1 \cap U_2) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■