

Script 4

The Topology of a Continuum

4.1 Journal

11/3: **Definition 4.1.** A subset of a continuum is **closed** if it contains all of its limit points.

Theorem 4.2. *The sets \emptyset and C are closed.*

Proof. We will address the two sets individually.

To prove that \emptyset is closed, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that $\emptyset \subset C$ and \emptyset contains all of its limit points. By Exercise 1.10, $\emptyset \subset C$. We now prove that \emptyset has no limit points. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that some point $p \in C$ is a limit point of \emptyset . Then by Definition 3.13, for all regions R with $p \in R$, $R \cap (\emptyset \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$. But clearly, $R \cap (\emptyset \setminus \{p\}) = R \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Therefore, since \emptyset has no limit points, the statement “ \emptyset contains all of its limit points” is vacuously true.

To prove that C is closed, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that $C \subset C$ and C contains all of its limit points. Since $C = C$, Theorem 1.7 implies that $C \subset C$. Now suppose for the sake of contradiction that C does not contain all of its limit points. Then there exists a point $p \in C$ that is a limit point of C such that $p \notin C$. But we cannot have $p \in C$ and $p \notin C$, so it must be that the initial hypothesis was incorrect, meaning that C does, in fact, contain all of its limit points. \square

Theorem 4.3. *A subset of C containing a finite number of points is closed.*

Proof. Let A be a finite subset of C . To prove that A is closed, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that A contains all of its limit points. But by Theorem 3.24, A has no limit points, so the statement “ A contains all of its limit points” is vacuously true. \square

Definition 4.4. Let X be a subset of C . The **closure** of X is the subset \overline{X} of C defined by

$$\overline{X} = X \cup LP(X)$$

Theorem 4.5. *$X \subset C$ is closed if and only if $X = \overline{X}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that X is closed. To prove that $X = \overline{X}$, Definition 4.4 tells us that it will suffice to show that $X = X \cup LP(X)$. To show this, Definition 1.2 tells us that we must verify that every element x of X is an element of $X \cup LP(X)$ and vice versa. First, let x be an arbitrary element of X . Then by Definition 1.5, $x \in X \cup LP(X)$, as desired. Now let x be an arbitrary element of $X \cup LP(X)$. Then by Definition 1.5, $x \in X$ or $x \in LP(X)$. We divide into two cases. If $x \in X$, then we are done. If $x \in LP(X)$, then $x \in X$ as desired for the following reason: Since X is closed by hypothesis, Definition 4.1 implies that X contains all of its limit points, i.e., for all $y \in LP(X)$, $y \in X$; this implication notably applies to the x in question.

Now suppose that $X = \overline{X}$. To prove that X is closed, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that X contains all of its limit points. By Theorem 1.7, $LP(X) \subset X \cup LP(X)$. This combined with the fact that $X = X \cup LP(X)$ (by Definition 4.4, since $X = \overline{X}$) implies that $LP(X) \subset X$. It follows by Definition 1.3 that every element of $LP(X)$ is an element of X , i.e., every limit point of X is an element of X , i.e., X contains all of its limit points, as desired. \square

11/5: **Theorem 4.6.** *Let $X \subset C$. Then $\overline{X} = \overline{\overline{X}}$.*

Lemma. *If p is an element of $LP(LP(X))$, then p is an element of $LP(X)$.*

Proof. Let p be an arbitrary element of $LP(LP(X))$. To prove that $p \in LP(X)$, Definition 3.13 tells us that it will suffice to show that for all regions R containing p , $R \cap (X \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$. Let R be an arbitrary region with $p \in R$ (we know that such a region exists because of Theorem 3.12^[1]). Then since we know that $p \in LP(LP(X))$, we have by Theorem 3.26 that $R \cap LP(X)$ is infinite. Thus, we know that there exists an object $x \in R \cap LP(X)$ such that $x \neq p$. By Definition 1.6, it follows that $x \in R$ and $x \in LP(X)$. Since $x \in LP(X)$, Theorem 3.26 tells us that all regions containing x (including R) have infinite intersection with X , i.e., $R \cap X$ is infinite. Consequently, $R \cap (X \setminus \{p\})$ is still infinite (since $\{p\}$ is finite), so $R \cap (X \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$, as desired. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.6. To prove that $\overline{X} = \overline{\overline{X}}$, repeated applications of Definition 4.4 tell us that it will suffice to show that

$$X \cup LP(X) = (X \cup LP(X)) \cup LP(X \cup LP(X))$$

To show this, Theorem 1.7a tells us that it will suffice to verify the two statements

$$X \cup LP(X) \subset (X \cup LP(X)) \cup LP(X \cup LP(X)) \quad (X \cup LP(X)) \cup LP(X \cup LP(X)) \subset X \cup LP(X)$$

By Theorem 1.7b, the left statement above is true. Consequently, all that's left at this point is to verify the right statement. To do so, Definition 1.3 tells us that it will suffice to demonstrate that every point $p \in (X \cup LP(X)) \cup LP(X \cup LP(X))$ is an element of $X \cup LP(X)$. Let's begin.

Let p be an arbitrary element of $(X \cup LP(X)) \cup LP(X \cup LP(X))$. Then by Definition 1.5, $p \in X \cup LP(X)$ or $p \in LP(X \cup LP(X))$. We divide into two cases. Suppose first that $p \in X \cup LP(X)$. Since this is actually exactly what we want to prove, we are done. Now suppose that $p \in LP(X \cup LP(X))$. Then we have by Theorem 3.20 that $p \in LP(X)$ or $p \in LP(LP(X))$. We divide into two cases again. If $p \in LP(X)$, then by Definition 1.5, $p \in X \cup LP(X)$, and we are done. On the other hand, if $p \in LP(LP(X))$, then by the lemma, $p \in LP(X)$. Therefore, as before, $p \in X \cup LP(X)$, and we are done. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let $X \subset C$. Then \overline{X} is closed.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, $\overline{X} = \overline{\overline{X}}$. Thus, if we let $Y = \overline{X}$, we know that $Y = \overline{Y}$. But by Theorem 4.5, this implies that Y , i.e., \overline{X} , is closed, as desired. \square

Definition 4.8. A subset G of a continuum C is **open** if its complement $C \setminus G$ is closed.

Theorem 4.9. *The sets \emptyset and C are open.*

Proof. We will address the two sets individually. to prove that \emptyset is open, Definition 4.8 tells us that it will suffice to show that $C \setminus \emptyset$ is closed. But $C \setminus \emptyset = C$, and by Theorem 4.2, C is closed. The proof is symmetric for C . \square

Theorem 4.10. *Let $G \subset C$. Then G is open if and only if for all $x \in G$, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$.*

Proof. To prove that G is open if and only if for all $x \in G$, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$, we will take a similar approach to the proof of Theorem 3.20. Indeed, to prove the dual implications "if there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$ for all $x \in G$, then G is open" and "if G is open, then for all $x \in G$, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$," we will prove the first implication directly and the second one by contrapositive. Let's begin.

Suppose first that for all $x \in G$, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$. To prove that G is open, Definition 4.8 tells us that it will suffice to confirm that $C \setminus G$ is closed. To confirm this, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that $C \setminus G$ contains all of its limit points. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that for some limit point p of $C \setminus G$, $p \notin C \setminus G$. Since $p \notin C \setminus G$, Definition 1.11 tells us that $p \notin C$ or $p \in G$. But we must have $p \in C$, so necessarily $p \in G$. It follows by the hypothesis that

¹This justification will not be supplied in similar cases beyond this point.

there exists a region R such that $p \in R$ and $R \subset G$. The fact that $R \subset G$ implies that $R \cap (C \setminus G) = \emptyset$. Consequently, $R \cap ((C \setminus G) \setminus \{p\}) = \emptyset$. But this implies by Definition 3.13 that p is not a limit point of $C \setminus G$, a contradiction. Therefore, $C \setminus G$ contains all of its limit points, as desired.

Now suppose that for some $x \in G$, there does not exist a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$. To prove that G is not open, Definition 4.8 tells us that it will suffice to show that its complement $C \setminus G$ is not closed. To show this, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to verify that $C \setminus G$ does not contain all of its limit points, i.e., it will suffice to find some limit point of $C \setminus G$ that is not an element of this set. Consider the $x \in G$ introduced by the hypothesis; we will prove that this x is the desired limit point of $C \setminus G$ that is also not an element of $C \setminus G$. By Definition 1.11, $x \in G$ implies $x \notin C \setminus G$, so all that's left at this point is to prove that $x \in LP(C \setminus G)$. To do this, Definition 3.13 tells us that it will suffice to demonstrate that for all R with $x \in R$, $R \cap ((C \setminus G) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$. Let R be an arbitrary region with $x \in R$. By the hypothesis, $R \not\subset G$, so Definition 1.3 implies that there exists some $y \in R$ such that $y \notin G$. Since $y \notin G$, we know two things: First, $y \neq x$ (since $x \in G$ and y cannot be both an element of and not an element of G) and second, $y \in C \setminus G$ (see Definition 1.11). Consequently, we have $y \in R$ and $y \in C \setminus G$, so by Definition 1.6, $y \in R \cap (C \setminus G)$. It follows since $y \neq x$ by Definition 1.11 that $y \in R \cap ((C \setminus G) \setminus \{x\})$. Therefore, by Definition 1.8, $R \cap ((C \setminus G) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.11. *Every region R is open. Every complement of a region $C \setminus R$ is closed.*

Proof. Let R be an arbitrary region. Clearly, for all $x \in R$, there exists a region (namely R) such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset R$. Thus, by Theorem 4.10, R is open, as desired. It follows by Definition 4.8 that $C \setminus R$ is closed, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.12. *Let $G \subset C$. Then G is open if and only if for all $x \in G$, there exists a subset $V \subset G$ such that $x \in V$ and V is open.*

Proof. Suppose first that G is open. Then by Theorem 4.10, for all $x \in G$, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$. Additionally, by Corollary 4.11, each of these regions R is open. Thus, R is the desired open subset $V \subset G$ with $x \in V$.

Now suppose that for all $x \in G$, there exists a subset $V \subset G$ such that $x \in V$ and V is open. To prove that G is open, Theorem 4.10 tells us that it will suffice to show that for all $x \in G$, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$. Let x be an arbitrary element of G . By the hypothesis, we know that $x \in V$ where V is an open subset of G . It follows by Theorem 4.10 that there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset V$. But by subset transitivity, for any R , $R \subset G$. Thus, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G$, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.13. *Let $a \in C$. Then the sets $\{x \in C \mid x < a\}$ and $\{x \in C \mid a < x\}$ are open.*

Proof. We divide into two cases.

First, consider the set $\{x \in C \mid x < a\}$, which we will henceforth call G for ease of use. To prove that G is open, Theorem 4.10 tells us that it will suffice to show that for all $y \in G$, there exists a region R such that $y \in R$ and $R \subset G$. Let y be an arbitrary element of G . By Axiom 3 and Definition 3.3, we can choose a $z < y$. Since we also have $y < a$ (by the definition of G and the fact that $y \in G$), Definitions 3.6 and 3.10 assert that $y \in \underline{za}$. Now we must prove that $\underline{za} \subset G$, which Definition 1.3 tells us we can do by showing that every element of \underline{za} is an element of G . But since every element of \underline{za} is less than a (and greater than z , but this is not relevant) by Definitions 3.10 and 3.6, they are all elements of G by the definition of G , as desired.

The proof is symmetric in the other case. \square

Theorem 4.14. *Let G be a nonempty open set. Then G is the union of a collection of regions.*

Proof. Since G is an open set, Theorem 4.10 implies that for all $x \in G$, there exists a region R_x such that $x \in R_x$ and $R_x \subset G$. Since we do not know that this R_x is unique and we need to choose one R_x for each of the (possibly infinite) $x \in G$, we invoke the axiom of choice to identify a single R_x with each x with which we can work. Thus, we can create the set $\mathcal{R} = \{R_x \mid x \in G\}$ and define the union of its elements $\bigcup_{x \in G} R_x$ by Definition 1.13. We now prove that $\bigcup_{x \in G} R_x = G$, which will suffice to show that G can be described as a collection of regions.

To prove that $\bigcup_{x \in G} R_x = G$, Definition 1.2 tells us that it will suffice to show that every element $y \in \bigcup_{x \in G} R_x$ is an element of G and vice versa. Suppose first that y is an arbitrary element of $\bigcup_{x \in G} R_x$. Then by Definition 1.13, $y \in R_x$ for some $x \in G$. Thus, since $R_x \subset G$ by definition, Definition 1.3 implies that $y \in G$. Now suppose that y is an arbitrary element of G . Then $y \in R_y$, so Definition 1.13 implies that $y \in \bigcup_{x \in G} R_x$, as desired. \square

11/10: **Exercise 4.15.** Do there exist subsets $X \subset C$ that are neither open nor closed?

Proof. Yes. Consider the continuum \mathbb{Q} (see Exercise 3.9) and the subset $\underline{12} \cup \{2\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$.

To prove that $\underline{12} \cup \{2\}$ is not closed, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that there exists a limit point of $\underline{12} \cup \{2\}$ that is not an element of $\underline{12} \cup \{2\}$. The object $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the desired point, as will now be proven.

To show that $1 \notin \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$, Definition 1.5 tells us that it will suffice to confirm that $1 \notin \underline{12}$ and $1 \notin \{2\}$. Suppose first for the sake of contradiction that $1 \in \underline{12}$. Then by Definitions 3.10 and 3.6, $1 < 1$ and $1 < 2$. But we also have $1 = 1$, contradicting Definition 3.1. Now suppose for the sake of contradiction that $1 \in \{2\}$. Then $1 = 2$, a contradiction.

To show that $1 \in LP(\underline{12} \cup \{2\})$, Definition 3.13 tells us that it will suffice to show that for all regions \underline{ab} containing 1 , $\underline{ab} \cap ((\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\}) \neq \emptyset$. Let \underline{ab} be an arbitrary region containing 1 . Then by Definitions 3.10 and 3.6, $a < 1$ and $1 < b$. We now divide into two cases ($b < 2$ and $b \geq 2$). Suppose first that $b < 2$. Since $1 < b$, Additional Exercise 3.1 implies that there exists a point $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $1 < r < b$. Thus, by consecutive applications of Definition 3.1, $a < 1$ and $1 < r$ imply $a < r$, and $r < b$ and $b < 2$ imply $r < 2$. Consequently, by consecutive applications of Definitions 3.6 and 3.10, $a < r$ and $r < b$ imply $r \in \underline{ab}$, and $1 < r$ and $r < 2$ imply $r \in \underline{12}$. Thus, by Definition 1.5, $r \in \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$. Additionally, since $1 < r$, we have by Definition 3.1 that $r \neq 1$, i.e., $r \notin \{1\}$. Thus, the facts that $r \in \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$ and $r \notin \{1\}$ imply by Definition 1.11 that $r \in (\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\}$. Therefore, since $r \in \underline{ab}$ and $r \in (\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\}$, Definition 1.6 implies that $r \in \underline{ab} \cap ((\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\})$, so by Definition 1.8, $\underline{ab} \cap ((\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\}) \neq \emptyset$, as desired. Now suppose that $b \geq 2$. Then since $a < 1 < 1.5 < 2 \leq b$, we have by consecutive applications of Definitions 3.6 and 3.10 that $1.5 \in \underline{ab}$ and $1.5 \in \underline{12}$. It follows from the latter result and Definition 1.5 that $1.5 \in \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$. Since we also have $1.5 \neq 1$, i.e., $1.5 \notin \{1\}$, Definition 1.11 tells us that $1.5 \in (\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\}$. Thus, since $1.5 \in \underline{ab}$ and $1.5 \in (\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\}$, Definition 1.6 implies that $1.5 \in \underline{ab} \cap ((\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\})$. Therefore, by Definition 1.8, $\underline{ab} \cap ((\underline{12} \cup \{2\}) \setminus \{1\}) \neq \emptyset$, as desired.

To prove that $\underline{12} \cup \{2\}$ is not open, Theorem 4.10 tells us that it will suffice to show that for some $x \in \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$, no region \underline{ab} exists such that $x \in \underline{ab}$ and $\underline{ab} \subset \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$. In other words, we must show that for some $x \in \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$, all regions \underline{ab} with $x \in \underline{ab}$ satisfy $\underline{ab} \not\subset \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$. Let \underline{ab} be an arbitrary region with $2 \in \underline{ab}$. Then by Definitions 3.10 and 3.6, $a < 2 < b$. Since $2 < b$, we have by Additional Exercise 3.1 that there exists a point $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $2 < r < b$, i.e., $a < 2 < r < b$. It follows by Definitions 3.6 and 3.10 that $r \in \underline{ab}$. Additionally, since $2 < r$, we have $2 \neq r$, i.e., $r \notin \{2\}$ and we have by Definitions 3.6 and 3.10 that $r \notin \underline{12}$. Consequently, by Definition 1.5, $r \notin \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$. Therefore, we have found an element of \underline{ab} (namely r) that is not an element of $\underline{12} \cup \{2\}$, so by Definition 1.3, $\underline{ab} \not\subset \underline{12} \cup \{2\}$, as desired. \square

Theorem 4.16. Let $\{X_\lambda\}$ be an arbitrary collection of closed subsets of a continuum C . Then the intersection $\bigcap_\lambda X_\lambda$ is closed.

Proof. To prove that $\bigcap_\lambda X_\lambda$ is closed, Definition 4.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that every limit point of $\bigcap_\lambda X_\lambda$ is an element of $\bigcap_\lambda X_\lambda$. Let p be an arbitrary limit point of $\bigcap_\lambda X_\lambda$. Then since $\bigcap_\lambda X_\lambda \subset X_\lambda$ for all λ , we have by Theorem 3.14 that p is a limit point of every X_λ . Consequently, since every X_λ is closed by hypothesis, Definition 4.1 implies that $p \in X_\lambda$ for all λ . Thus, by Definition 1.13, $p \in \bigcap_\lambda X_\lambda$, as desired. \square

11/12: **Theorem 4.17.** Let G_1, \dots, G_n be a finite collection of open subsets of a continuum C . Then the intersection $G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_n$ is open.

Proof. To prove that $\bigcap_{k=1}^n G_k$ is open, Theorem 4.10 tells us that it will suffice to show that for all $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n G_k$, there exists a region containing x that is a subset of $\bigcap_{k=1}^n G_k$. Let x be an arbitrary element of $\bigcap_{k=1}^n G_k$. Then by Definition 1.13, $x \in G_k$ for all $k \in [n]$. Consequently, since all G_k are open by hypothesis, Theorem 4.10 implies that for every G_k , there exists a region R_k such that $x \in R_k$ and $R_k \subset G_k$. Since every R_k has x in common, Corollary 3.19 applies and implies that $\bigcap_{k=1}^n R_k$ is a region containing x .

Additionally, since every $R_k \subset G_k$, we have by Script 1 that $\bigcap_{k=1}^n R_k \subset \bigcap_{k=1}^n G_k$. Therefore, $\bigcap_{k=1}^n R_k$ is a region containing x that is a subset of $\bigcap_{k=1}^n G_k$, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.18. *Let $\{G_\lambda\}$ be an arbitrary collection of open subsets of a continuum C . Then the union $\bigcup_\lambda G_\lambda$ is open. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a finite collection of closed subsets of a continuum C . Then the union $X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n$ is closed.*

Proof. To prove that $\bigcup_\lambda G_\lambda$ is open, Theorem 4.10 tells us that it will suffice to show that for all $x \in \bigcup_\lambda G_\lambda$, there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset \bigcup_\lambda G_\lambda$. Let x be an arbitrary element of $\bigcup_\lambda G_\lambda$. Then $x \in G_\lambda$ for some λ . It follows by the fact that G_λ is open by hypothesis and Theorem 4.10 that there exists a region R such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset G_\lambda$. But since $G_\lambda \subset \bigcup_\lambda G_\lambda$, too, we have by subset transitivity that R is a region such that $x \in R$ and $R \subset \bigcup_\lambda G_\lambda$, as desired.

To prove that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ is closed, Definition 4.8 tells us that it will suffice to show that $C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ is open. To show this, Theorem 1.14 tells us that it will suffice to confirm that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (C \setminus X_i)$ is open. But since X_1, \dots, X_n is a finite collection of closed subsets of C , Definition 4.8 implies that $C \setminus X_1, \dots, C \setminus X_n$ is a finite collection of open subsets of C . Therefore, by Theorem 4.17, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (C \setminus X_i)$, as desired. \square

Exercise 4.19. Is it necessarily the case that the intersection of an infinite number of open sets is open? Is it possible to construct an infinite collection of open sets whose intersection is not open? Equivalently, is it possible to construct an infinite collection of closed sets whose union is not closed?

Proof. It is possible to construct an infinite collection of closed sets whose union is not closed. Consider the continuum \mathbb{Q} and the region $\underline{12} \subset \mathbb{Q}$. By a similar argument to that used in Exercise 4.15, $1 \in LP(\underline{12})$ but $1 \notin \underline{12}$. Thus, by Definition 4.1, $\underline{12}$ is not closed. Additionally, since \mathbb{Q} is countable (see Theorem 2.11) and $\underline{12} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ is infinite, we have by Exercise 1.37 that $\underline{12}$ is countable. Consequently, by Definition 1.35 and 1.28, there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \underline{12}$. It follows that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\}$ is well-defined, and we will now prove that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\} = \underline{12}$.

To prove that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\} = \underline{12}$, Definition 1.2 tells us that it will suffice to show that every element $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\}$ is an element of $\underline{12}$ and vice versa. Let x be an arbitrary element of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\}$. Then $x \in \{f(n)\}$, i.e., $x = f(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by the definition of f , $x \in \underline{12}$, as desired. Now let x be an arbitrary element of $\underline{12}$. Then since f is a bijection, $x = f(n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $x \in \{f(n)\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $x \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\}$, as desired.

Since $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\} = \underline{12}$ is not closed but every $\{f(n)\}$ is closed by Theorem 4.3, then $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\}$ is an infinite collection of closed sets whose union is not closed. Equivalently, we have that $C \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\}$ is not open (see Definition 4.8) and $C \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{f(n)\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (C \setminus \{f(n)\})$ is the intersection of an infinite number of open sets (see Theorem 4.3 and Definition 4.8), as desired. \square

11/17: **Corollary 4.20.** *Let $G \subset C$ be nonempty. Then G is open if and only if G is the union of a collection of regions.*

Proof. Suppose first that G is open. Then by Theorem 4.14, G is the union of a collection of regions.

Now suppose that G is the union of a collection of regions. Then by Corollary 4.11, G is the union of a collection of open subsets of C . Therefore, by Corollary 4.18, G is open. \square

Corollary 4.21. *If \underline{ab} is a region in C , then $\text{ext } \underline{ab}$ is open.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.13, $\{x \in C \mid x < a\}$ and $\{x \in C \mid b < x\}$ are open. It follows by Corollary 4.18 that $\{x \in C \mid x < a\} \cup \{x \in C \mid b < x\}$ is open. Therefore, since $\{x \in C \mid x < a\} \cup \{x \in C \mid b < x\} = \text{ext } \underline{ab}$ by Lemma 3.16, $\text{ext } \underline{ab}$ is open, as desired. \square

Definition 4.22. Let C be a continuum. We say that C is **disconnected** if it may be written as $C = A \cup B$, where A and B are disjoint, nonempty, open sets. C is **connected** if it is not disconnected.

Exercise 4.23. Let C be a connected continuum and $a \in C$. Prove that $C \setminus \{a\}$ is a disconnected continuum.

Proof. To prove that $C \setminus \{a\}$ is a disconnected continuum, Definition 4.22 tells us that it will suffice to show that $C \setminus \{a\} = A \cup B$ where A and B are disjoint, nonempty, open sets. Let $A = \{x \in C \setminus \{a\} \mid x < a\}$ and let $B = \{x \in C \setminus \{a\} \mid a < x\}$. We now work our way through the conditions.

To show that $C \setminus \{a\} = A \cup B$, Definition 1.2 tells us that it will suffice to confirm that every element $y \in C \setminus \{a\}$ is an element of $A \cup B$ and vice versa. Suppose first that $y \in C \setminus \{a\}$. Then $y \in C$ and $y \notin \{a\}$, i.e., $y \neq a$. Thus, we have by Definition 3.1 that $y < a$ or $y > a$ (we cannot have $y = a$ since we have already established that $y \neq a$). We divide into two cases. If $y < a$, then since we also know that $y \in C \setminus \{a\}$, we have $y \in \{x \in C \setminus \{a\} \mid x < a\}$, i.e., $y \in A$, i.e., $y \in A \cup B$, as desired. On the other hand, if $y > a$, then for similar reasons to the other case, $y \in B$, so $y \in A \cup B$, as desired. Now suppose that $y \in A \cup B$. Then $y \in A$ or $y \in B$. We divide into two cases. If $y \in A$, then we have by the definition of A that $y \in C \setminus \{a\}$. The proof is symmetric for the other case.

To show that A and B are disjoint, Definition 1.9 tells us that it will suffice to verify that $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $y \in A \cap B$. Then $y \in A$ and $y \in B$. It follows by the definitions of A and B that $y < a$ and $a < y$. But this contradicts Definition 3.1. Therefore, no object y is an element of $A \cap B$, so by Definition 1.8, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, as desired.

To show that A and B are nonempty, Definition 1.8 tells us that it will suffice to find an object in A and an object in B . We divide into two cases. By Axiom 3 and Definition 3.3, there exists an object $y \in C$ such that $y < a$. It follows from the latter condition and Definition 3.1 that $y \neq a$, i.e., $y \notin \{a\}$. Thus, since $y \in C$ and $y \notin \{a\}$, $y \in C \setminus \{a\}$. This result combined with the fact that $y < a$ implies by the definition of A that $y \in A$, as desired. The proof is symmetric in the other case.

To prove that A and B are open, we need look no farther than Corollary 4.13. \square

Exercise 4.24. Must every realization of a continuum be disconnected? Think about the realizations of the continuum from Exercise 3.27. Are they connected/disconnected?

Proof. No — every realization of a continuum is not disconnected (for example, we will later prove that \mathbb{R} is a connected continuum). However, every realization discussed up to this point (notably including the three continua from Exercise 3.27) is disconnected, as will now be proven.

To prove that \mathbb{Z} is disconnected, Definition 4.22 tells us that it will suffice to show that $\mathbb{Z} = A \cup B$, where A, B are disjoint, nonempty, open sets. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x < 1\}$ and let $B = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 < x\}$. We now address each of the four requirements in turn.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\mathbb{Z} \neq A \cup B$. Then by Theorem 1.7, $\mathbb{Z} \not\subset A \cup B$, or $A \cup B \not\subset \mathbb{Z}$. Since $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $B \subset \mathbb{Z}$ by their definitions, we have $A \cup B \subset \mathbb{Z}$, so it must be that $\mathbb{Z} \not\subset A \cup B$. Thus, by Definition 1.3, there exists an object $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y \notin A \cup B$. From the latter condition, we have by Definition 1.5 that $y \notin A$ and $y \notin B$. It follows from the definitions of A and B that $y \notin \mathbb{Z}$, or $y \not< 1$ and $0 \not< y$. But we know that $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, so it must be that $y \not< 1$ and $0 \not< y$. Thus, by Definition 3.1, $y \geq 1$ and $0 \geq y$, i.e., $0 \geq y \geq 1$. But this implies that $0 \geq 1$, which contradicts the fact that $0 < 1$ by the definition of \mathbb{Z} . Therefore, we must have $\mathbb{Z} = A \cup B$, as desired.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that A, B are not disjoint. Then by Definition 1.9, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$. Thus, there exists some object $y \in A \cap B$. By Definition 1.6, this implies that $y \in A$ and $y \in B$. It follows by the definitions of A and B that $y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y < 1$, and $0 < y$. Thus, y is an integer between 0 and 1, but this contradicts the definition of the integers. Therefore, A, B are disjoint, as desired.

To prove that A, B are nonempty, Definition 1.8 tells us that it will suffice to show that there exists an object in each set. Since $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < 1$, $0 \in A$. Similarly, since $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 < 1$, $1 \in B$. Therefore, A, B are nonempty, as desired.

By Corollary 4.13, A, B are open, as desired.

To prove that \mathbb{Q} is disconnected, we will show that $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid x^2 < 2\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 2 < x^2\}$ satisfy the requirements of Definition 4.22.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\mathbb{Q} \neq A \cup B$. As before, this must mean that there exists an object $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $y^2 \not< 2$ and $2 \not< x^2$. Equivalently, there exists an object $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $y^2 = 2$. Since $y \in \mathbb{Q}$, $y = \frac{p}{q}$ where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \neq 0$ are not both divisible by any number greater than 1. From

the facts that $y^2 = 2$ and $y = \frac{p}{q}$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^2 &= 2 \\ \frac{p^2}{q^2} &= 2 \\ p^2 &= 2q^2\end{aligned}$$

Since $p^2 = 2q^2$ where q^2 is clearly a positive integer, we know that p^2 is even (i.e., is divisible by 2). It follows from Notes on Proofs that p is even and, thus, that $p = 2k$ where k is some integer. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}(2k)^2 &= 2q^2 \\ 4k^2 &= 2q^2 \\ 2k^2 &= q^2\end{aligned}$$

For the same reasons as before, the above tells us that that q is also divisible by 2. Thus, p and q are both divisible by 2 where clearly $2 > 1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathbb{Q} = A \cup B$, as desired.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that A, B are not disjoint. As before, this must mean that there exists an object y such that $y \in \mathbb{Q}$, $y^2 < 2$, and $2 < y^2$. But the simultaneous facts that $y^2 > 2$ and $2 < y^2$ contradict the trichotomy. Therefore, A, B are disjoint, as desired.

To prove that A, B are nonempty, we must find, as before, an element of each set. Since $0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $0^2 = 0 < 2$, $0 \in A$. Similarly, since $2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $2^2 = 4 > 2$, $2 \in B$. Therefore, A, B are nonempty, as desired.

To prove that A, B are open, Theorem 4.10 tells us that it will suffice to show that for all $y \in A$, there exists a region \underline{ab} such that $y \in \underline{ab}$ and $\underline{ab} \subset A$, and likewise for B . We treat the cases pertaining to A and B separately. To start, let y be an arbitrary element of A . Then $y^2 < 2$. If we consider the region $\frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}, \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}$, we find, using this condition, that

$$\begin{aligned}y^2 &< 2 & y^2 &< 2 \\ y^2 + 2y &< 2 + 2y & -y^2 &> -2 \\ y(y+2) &< 2(y+1) & 2y - y^2 &> 2y - 2 \\ y &< \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2} & y(2-y) &> 2(y-1) \\ & & y &> \frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $y \in \frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}, \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}$. Now let z be an arbitrary element of $\frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}, \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}$. We divide into three cases ($z = 0$, $z > 0$, and $z < 0$). If $z = 0$, then since $0^2 < 2$, $z \in A$. If $z > 0$, then since $y^2 < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}y^2 &< 2 \\ 2y^2 &< 4 \\ 4y^2 + 8y + 4 &< 2y^2 + 8y + 8 \\ \frac{4y^2 + 8y + 4}{y^2 + 4y + 4} &< 2 \\ \frac{4(y^2 + 2y + 1)}{y^2 + 4y + 4} &< 2 \\ \frac{2^2(y+1)^2}{(y+2)^2} &< 2 \\ \left(\frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}\right)^2 &< 2\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < z < \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}$, the above implies that $z^2 < \left(\frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}\right)^2 < 2$, meaning that $z \in A$, as desired. If $z < 0$, then since $y^2 < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &< 2 \\ 2y^2 &< 4 \\ 4y^2 - 8y + 4 &< 2y^2 - 8y + 8 \\ \frac{4y^2 - 8y + 4}{y^2 - 4y + 4} &< 2 \\ \frac{4(y^2 - 2y + 1)}{y^2 - 4y + 4} &< 2 \\ \frac{2^2(y-1)^2}{(2-y)^2} &< 2 \\ \left(\frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}\right)^2 &< 2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{2(y-1)}{2-y} < z < 0$, the above implies that $z^2 < \left(\frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}\right)^2 < 2$, meaning that $z \in A$, as desired. Therefore, $\frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}, \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2} \subset A$, as desired. Now let y be an arbitrary element of B . We divide into two cases ($y > 0$ and $y < 0$; we do not consider $y = 0$ since in this case, $y^2 < 2$, meaning that $y \notin B$). Suppose first that $y > 0$. Since $y^2 > 2$ and $y > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &> 2 \\ y^2 + 2y &> 2 + 2y \\ y(y+2) &> 2(y+1) \\ y &> \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2} \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we can choose a $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $y < b$ by Axiom 3. It follows that $y \in \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}, b$. Now let z be an arbitrary element of $\frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}, b$. Then since $y^2 > 2$ and $y > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &> 2 \\ 2y^2 &> 4 \\ 4y^2 + 8y + 4 &> 2y^2 + 8y + 8 \\ \frac{4y^2 + 8y + 4}{y^2 + 4y + 4} &> 2 \\ \frac{4(y^2 + 2y + 1)}{y^2 + 4y + 4} &> 2 \\ \frac{2^2(y+1)^2}{(y+2)^2} &> 2 \\ \left(\frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}\right)^2 &> 2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $z > \frac{2(y+1)}{y+2} > 0$ (with the latter inequality following from the fact that $y > 0$), the above implies that $z^2 > \left(\frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}\right)^2 > 2$, meaning that $z \in B$, as desired. Therefore, $\frac{2(y+1)}{y+2}, b \subset B$, as desired. Now suppose

that $y < 0$. Since $y^2 > 2$ and $y < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &> 2 \\ -y^2 &< -2 \\ 2y - y^2 &< 2y - 2 \\ y(2 - y) &< 2(y - 1) \\ y &< \frac{2(y - 1)}{2 - y} \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we can choose an $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a < y$ by Axiom 3. It follows that $y \in a, \frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}$. Now let z be an arbitrary element of $a, \frac{2(y-1)}{2-y}$. Then since $y^2 > 2$ and $y < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^2 &> 2 \\ 2y^2 &> 4 \\ 4y^2 - 8y + 4 &> 2y^2 - 8y + 8 \\ \frac{4y^2 - 8y + 4}{y^2 - 4y + 4} &> 2 \\ \frac{4(y^2 - 2y + 1)}{y^2 - 4y + 4} &> 2 \\ \frac{2^2(y - 1)^2}{(2 - y)^2} &> 2 \\ \left(\frac{2(y - 1)}{2 - y} \right)^2 &> 2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $z < \frac{2(y-1)}{2-y} < 0$ (with the latter inequality following from the fact that $y < 0$), the above implies that $z^2 > \left(\frac{2(y-1)}{2-y} \right)^2 > 2$, meaning that $z \in B$, as desired. Therefore, $a, \frac{2(y-1)}{2-y} \subset B$, as desired.

To prove that $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \underline{01}$ is disconnected, we will show that $A = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \underline{01} \mid x < 1\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \underline{01} \mid 0 < x\}$ satisfy the requirements of Definition 4.22.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \underline{01} \neq A \cup B$. This supposition leads in the same manner to the same contradiction reached in the earlier proof that \mathbb{Z} is disconnected. Therefore, $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \underline{01} = A \cup B$, as desired.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that A, B are not disjoint. As before, this must mean that there exists an object y such that $y \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \underline{01}$, $y < 1$, and $0 < y$. Since $0 < y < 1$, Definitions 3.6 and 3.10 imply that $y \in \underline{01}$. But the fact that $y \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \underline{01}$ implies by Definition 1.11 that $y \notin \underline{01}$, a contradiction. Therefore, A, B are disjoint, as desired.

To prove that A, B are nonempty, we must find, as before, an element of each set. Since $0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 \notin \underline{01}$, and $0 < 1$, $0 \in A$. Similarly, since $1 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $1 \notin \underline{01}$, and $0 < 1$, $1 \in B$. Therefore, A, B are nonempty, as desired.

By Corollary 4.13, A, B are open, as desired. \square