

# Script 19

## Differentiation in $\mathbb{R}^n$

8/4: **Definition 19.1.** A **linear transformation**  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a function such that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

(a)  $\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})$ ;

(b)  $\varphi(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ .

That is,  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation if it respects the two operations in Definition 18.2.

**Lemma 19.2.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation. Then  $\varphi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\varphi(\mathbf{0}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{0}) \\ &\neq \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

**Exercise 19.3.** We denote  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ . Determine whether the following functions are linear transformations:

(a)  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(x, y) = x + y$ .

*Answer.*  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation. □

*Proof.* To prove that  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation, Definition 19.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\varphi(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= (x_1 + y_1) + (x_2 + y_2) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\lambda \mathbf{x}) &= \lambda x_1 + \lambda x_2 \\ &= \lambda(x_1 + x_2) \\ &= \lambda \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

(b)  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\varphi(x, y) = (x, y + 1)$ .

*Answer.*  $\varphi$  is not a linear transformation. □

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{0}) = (0, 1) \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Thus, by the contrapositive of Lemma 19.2,  $\varphi$  is not a linear transformation, as desired. □

(c)  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\varphi(x, y) = (3x - y, x + 2y, 0)$ .

*Answer.*  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation. □

*Proof.* To prove that  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation, Definition 19.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\varphi(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= (3[x_1 + y_1] - [x_2 + y_2], [x_1 + y_1] + 2[x_2 + y_2], 0) \\ &= ([3x_1 - x_2] + [3y_1 - y_2], [x_1 + 2x_2] + [y_1 + 2y_2], 0) \\ &= (3x_1 - x_2, x_1 + 2x_2, 0) + (3y_1 - y_2, y_1 + 2y_2, 0) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\lambda\mathbf{x}) &= (3[\lambda x_1] - [\lambda x_2], [\lambda x_1] + 2[\lambda x_2], 0) \\ &= (\lambda[3x_1 - x_2], \lambda[x_1 + 2x_2], 0) \\ &= \lambda(3x_1 - x_2, x_1 + 2x_2, 0) \\ &= \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x})\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

(d)  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\varphi(x, y) = (x^2, x + y, x + y^3)$ .

*Answer.*  $\varphi$  is not a linear transformation. □

*Proof.* Consider  $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(2(1, 1)) &= (4, 4, 10) \\ &\neq (2, 4, 4) \\ &= 2(1, 2, 2) \\ &= 2\varphi(1, 1)\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

#### Exercise 19.4.

(a) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear transformation. What does the graph of  $\varphi$  look like?

*Answer.* A line through the origin with finite slope. □

(b) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear transformation. What does the graph of  $\varphi$  look like?

*Answer.* A plane through the origin with finite slope in both directions. □

**Exercise 19.5.**

- (a) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  be linear transformations. Prove that  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is also a linear transformation.

*Proof.* To prove that  $\psi \circ \varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  is a linear transformation, Definition 19.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = (\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{x}) + (\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{y})$  and  $(\psi \circ \varphi)(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda(\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are linear transformations themselves, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= \psi(\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})) \\ &= \psi(\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= \psi(\varphi(\mathbf{x})) + \psi(\varphi(\mathbf{y})) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= (\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{x}) + (\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ \varphi)(\lambda \mathbf{x}) &= \psi(\varphi(\lambda \mathbf{x})) \\ &= \psi(\lambda \varphi(\mathbf{x})) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= \lambda \psi(\varphi(\mathbf{x})) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= \lambda(\psi \circ \varphi)(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

- (b) Let  $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be linear transformations and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $\varphi + \psi$  and  $\lambda\varphi$  are linear transformations.

*Proof.* To prove that  $\varphi + \psi$  is a linear transformation, Definition 19.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = (\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{x}) + (\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{y})$  and  $(\varphi + \psi)(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda(\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are linear transformations themselves, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= \varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) + \psi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y}) + \psi(\mathbf{x}) + \psi(\mathbf{y}) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \psi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y}) + \psi(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= (\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{x}) + (\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi + \psi)(\lambda \mathbf{x}) &= \varphi(\lambda \mathbf{x}) + \psi(\lambda \mathbf{x}) \\ &= \lambda \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda \psi(\mathbf{x}) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= \lambda(\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \psi(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= \lambda(\varphi + \psi)(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

To prove that  $\lambda\varphi$  is a linear transformation, Definition 19.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = (\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{x}) + (\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{y})$  and  $(\lambda\varphi)(\gamma \mathbf{x}) = \gamma(\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\gamma$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then since  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation itself, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \\ &= \lambda(\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})) && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &= \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda\varphi(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= (\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{x}) + (\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda\varphi)(\gamma\mathbf{x}) &= \lambda\varphi(\gamma\mathbf{x}) \\
 &= \lambda\gamma\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \\
 &= \gamma\lambda\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \\
 &= \gamma(\lambda\varphi)(\mathbf{x})
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 19.1

as desired.  $\square$

- (c) Let  $\pi_I : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  be the projection function from Definition 18.34. Prove that  $\pi_I$  is a linear transformation.

*Proof.* To prove that  $\pi_I$  is a linear transformation, Definition 19.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\pi_I(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \pi_I(\mathbf{x}) + \pi_I(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\pi_I(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\pi_I(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_I(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= (x_{i_1} + y_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} + y_{i_k}) \\
 &= (x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) + (y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}) \\
 &= \pi_I(\mathbf{x}) + \pi_I(\mathbf{y})
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_I(\lambda\mathbf{x}) &= (\lambda x_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda x_{i_k}) \\
 &= \lambda(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) \\
 &= \lambda\pi_I(\mathbf{x})
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Definition 19.6.** The  $j^{\text{th}}$  **standard basis vector** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the vector  $\mathbf{e}_j$  defined by

$$(\mathbf{e}_j)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k = j \\ 0 & k \neq j \end{cases}$$

For example, the standard basis vectors for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ , and  $\mathbf{e}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ . Notice that if  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{e}_n$ .

**Definition 19.7.** For any linear transformation  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , we denote by  $[\varphi]_{ij}$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of the vector  $\varphi(\mathbf{e}_j)$ ; i.e.,  $[\varphi]_{ij} = \varphi_i(\mathbf{e}_j)$ .

**Exercise 19.8.**

- (a) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation and let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Find a formula for  $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$  in terms of  $[\varphi]_{ij}$ , the components of  $\mathbf{x}$ , and the standard basis vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{x} = x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{e}_n$  by Definition 19.6 and since  $\varphi$  is linear, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(\mathbf{x}) &= \varphi(x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{e}_n) \\
 &= \varphi(x_1\mathbf{e}_1) + \dots + \varphi(x_n\mathbf{e}_n) \\
 &= x_1\varphi(\mathbf{e}_1) + \dots + x_n\varphi(\mathbf{e}_n) \\
 &= x_1(\varphi_1(\mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(\mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_m) + \dots + x_n(\varphi_1(\mathbf{e}_n)\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \varphi_m(\mathbf{e}_n)\mathbf{e}_m) \\
 &= x_1([\varphi]_{11}\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + [\varphi]_{m1}\mathbf{e}_m) + \dots + x_n([\varphi]_{1n}\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + [\varphi]_{mn}\mathbf{e}_m) \\
 &= x_1 \sum_{i=1}^m [\varphi]_{i1}\mathbf{e}_i + \dots + x_n \sum_{i=1}^m [\varphi]_{in}\mathbf{e}_i
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \sum_{i=1}^m [\varphi]_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j [\varphi]_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i
\end{aligned}$$

□

- (b) For  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , let  $A_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that there is a unique linear transformation  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $[\varphi]_{ij} = A_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be defined by

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus, by Definition 19.7,  $[\varphi]_{ij} = A_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ .

To prove that  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation, Definition 19.1 tells us that it will suffice to show that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\varphi(\lambda \mathbf{x}) = \lambda \varphi(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j + y_j) A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i + y_j A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n y_j A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \varphi(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi(\mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\lambda \mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\lambda x_j) A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \lambda \varphi(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be any linear transformation satisfying  $[\psi]_{ij} = A_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ . To prove that  $\varphi = \psi$ , it will suffice to show that  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \psi(\mathbf{x})$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j A_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j [\psi]_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \\
&= \psi(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 19.8a

as desired. □

**Definition 19.9.** We define an  $m \times n$  matrix  $M$  to be an array of scalars

$$M = \{a_{ij}\} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

So  $a_{ij}$  denotes the scalar in row  $i$ , column  $j$  of the matrix. For every linear transformation  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , there is a corresponding  $m \times n$  matrix  $\{[\varphi]_{ij}\}$ . We denote  $\{[\varphi]_{ij}\}$  by  $[\varphi]$ . Also, by Exercise 19.8, given a matrix of scalars, there is a unique linear transformation  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  that corresponds to it.

**Exercise 19.10.**

- (a) Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be given by  $\varphi(x, y, z) = (3x + 2y - z, 4x - 5y + 2z)$ . Write down the matrix  $[\varphi]$ .

*Answer.* The matrix is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & -1 \\ 4 & -5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

□

- (b) What is the linear transformation that corresponds to the following matrix?

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

*Answer.* The linear transformation is  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  defined by

$$\varphi(x, y) = (-2x + 3y, 4x + 6y, x)$$

□

**Theorem 19.11.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation. Then there is a constant  $M_\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\|\varphi(\mathbf{x})\| \leq M_\varphi \|\mathbf{x}\|$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 \leq n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2$$

*Proof.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^2 &= (1a_1 + \cdots + 1a_n)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \sqrt{1^2 + \cdots + 1^2} \cdot \sqrt{a_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2} \right)^2 && \text{Lemma 18.9b} \\ &= \sqrt{n^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2} \\ &= n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

*Proof of Theorem 19.11.* Let

$$M = \max_{i,j} |[\varphi]_{ij}| \qquad M_\varphi = M\sqrt{nm}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(\mathbf{x})\| &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j [\varphi]_{ij} \right)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m n \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j [\varphi]_{ij})^2} && \text{Lemma} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2 [\varphi]_{ij}^2} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \left( x_j^2 \sum_{i=1}^m [\varphi]_{ij}^2 \right)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n \left( x_j^2 \sum_{i=1}^m M^2 \right)} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n m M^2 x_j^2} \\ &= M\sqrt{nm} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2} \\ &= M_\varphi \|\mathbf{x}\| && \text{Definition 18.6} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

8/7: **Corollary 19.12.** Any linear transformation  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is uniformly continuous.

*Proof.* To prove that  $\varphi$  is uniformly continuous, Definition 18.42 tells us that it will suffice to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| < \delta$ , then  $\|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{y})\| < \epsilon$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary. Since  $\varphi$  is a linear transformation, Theorem 19.11 asserts that there exists  $M_\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|\varphi(\mathbf{x})\| \leq M_\varphi \|\mathbf{x}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . With this result, choose  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{M_\varphi}$ . Now let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying  $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| < \delta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\mathbf{y})\| &= \|\varphi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\| && \text{Definition 19.1} \\ &\leq M_\varphi \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| \\ &< M_\varphi \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{M_\varphi} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 19.13.** Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a linear transformation. If  $\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \|\varphi(\mathbf{h})\|/\|\mathbf{h}\| = 0$ , then  $\varphi$  is the zero transformation, i.e.,  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $\mathbf{x}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \neq \mathbf{0}$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Since  $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_j [\varphi]_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \neq \mathbf{0}$  by Exercise 19.8, there exists at least one nonzero  $[\varphi]_{ab}$ . Consequently, since  $\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \|\varphi(\mathbf{h})\|/\|\mathbf{h}\| = 0$ , Definition 18.29 tells us that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \|\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{0}\| < \delta$ , then

$||\varphi(\mathbf{h})||/||\mathbf{h}|| - 0| = ||\varphi(\mathbf{h})||/||\mathbf{h}|| < |[\varphi]_{ab}|$ . Let  $\mathbf{h} = (0, \dots, 0, h_b, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $0 < h_b < \delta$ . It follows that  $0 < ||\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{0}|| < \delta$ . Therefore, since

$$\begin{aligned} ||\varphi(\mathbf{h})|| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n h_j [\varphi]_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i \right\| & ||\mathbf{h}|| &= |h_b| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m h_b [\varphi]_{ib} \mathbf{e}_i \right\| \\ &\geq ||h_b [\varphi]_{ab} \mathbf{e}_a|| \\ &= |h_b [\varphi]_{ab}| \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |[\varphi]_{ab}| &= \frac{|h_b [\varphi]_{ab}|}{|h_b|} \\ &\leq \frac{||\varphi(\mathbf{h})||}{||\mathbf{h}||} \\ &< |[\varphi]_{ab}| \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □