MATH 20800 (Honors Analysis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ II) Notes

Steven Labalme

January 14, 2022

Contents

Chapter 1

The Algebra and Topology of $\mathbb{R}^n$

1.1 Notes

1/10:

- Syllabus.
  - In his mind, homework is the main setting where learning takes place.
- We're going to be studying analysis, or calculus, on **manifolds** this quarter.
- **Manifold**: A "space" that looks like Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ locally.
  - The surfaces of a sphere and torus are common examples of 2-dimensional manifolds.
  - With regard to the above definition, think about how people in ancient times didn't think the Earth was a sphere because it looked like a plane locally.
- This class will look much like a calculus course, in that we first talk about limits, then differentiation, then integration, and culminating in the fundamental theory of calculus.
- Last quarter, we primarily developed linear algebra and basic topology on metric spaces.
  - Chapter 1 of Munkres (1991) is a review of what's needed from last quarter.
  - This is all basically continuity.
- Thus, we can start right up with differentiation.

Chapter 2

Differentiation

2.1 Notes

1/10:

- Since manifolds look like Euclidean spaces locally, we basically only need to study differentiation on Euclidean spaces.
- Set up: Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, and $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function.
- Idea: The derivative of f at some point $\mathbf{a} \in U$ is "the best linear approximation" to f at $\mathbf{a}$.
- **Differentiable** (function f at $\mathbf{a}$): A function f for which there exists a linear transformation $A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that
$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}$$
- **Total derivative** (of f at $\mathbf{a}$): The linear transformation A corresponding to a differentiable function f. *Denoted by* $\boldsymbol{Df}(\mathbf{a})$.
- Questions to ask:
  1. When does the total derivative exist?
  2. When it does exist, can there be multiple?
  3. When it exists and is unique, how do I calculate it?
- Proposition: If A, B are linear transformations that both satisfy the definition, then $A = B$.
  - We have
$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0} \qquad \lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - B\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}$$
  - It follows by subtracting the right equation above from the left one that
$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \frac{A\mathbf{h} - B\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}$$
  - Apply linearity: For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, we have
$$\frac{A(t\mathbf{v}) - B(t\mathbf{v})}{t} = A\mathbf{v} - B\mathbf{v}$$

- Therefore, since $t\mathbf{v} \to 0$ as $t \to 0$, we have by the above that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0} &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{A(t\mathbf{v}) - B(t\mathbf{v})}{\|t\mathbf{v}\|} \\
&= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{A\mathbf{v} - B\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \\
\mathbf{0} \cdot \|\mathbf{v}\| &= \lim_{t\to 0} (A\mathbf{v} - B\mathbf{v}) \\
\mathbf{0} &= A\mathbf{v} - B\mathbf{v} \\
B\mathbf{v} &= A\mathbf{v}
\end{aligned}$$

- Example: Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be linear, i.e., $f(\mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{v}$ for some linear transformation A. Then for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Df(\mathbf{a}) = A$ is constant.
  - We have from the definition that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} &= \lim_{\mathbf{h}\to \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}) + f(\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - f(\mathbf{h})}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} \\
&= \lim_{\mathbf{h}\to \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{0}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} \\
&= \mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}$$

- Theorem: If f is differentiable at $\mathbf{a}$, then f is continuous at $\mathbf{a}$.
  - By definition, there exists a linear transformation A such that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}$$

  - Additionally, we have that

$$f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) = f(\mathbf{a}) + A\mathbf{h} + \|\mathbf{h}\| \left(\frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} \right)$$

  - As $\mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{0}$, the right-hand side of the above equation goes to $f(\mathbf{a})$.
    - As a linear transformation, $A\mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{0}$ as $\mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{0}$.
    - Clearly $\|\mathbf{h}\| \to \mathbf{0}$ as $\mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{0}$.
    - And we have by definition that the last term goes to $\mathbf{0}$ as $\mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{0}$.
  - Therefore, f is continuous at $\mathbf{a}$.
- Observation: A function $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by an m-tuple of functions $f_1 : U \to \mathbb{R}$ known as components. $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.
- Proposition: f is differentiable at $\mathbf{a} \in U$ iff each component function f_i is differentiable at $\mathbf{a}$. In this case,

$$Df(\mathbf{a}) = (Df_1(\mathbf{a}), \dots, Df_m(\mathbf{a}))$$

  - We know that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to \mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

  - Thus, the limit is zero iff the limit of each component is zero.
  - We have that the i^{th} component of the vector on the left below is equal to the number on the right; we call the common value $L_i(\mathbf{h})$.

$$\left(\frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} \right)_i = \frac{f_i(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f_i(\mathbf{a}) - (A\mathbf{h})_i}{\|\mathbf{h}\|}$$

- The upshot is that f is differentiable at $\mathbf{a}$ iff $\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} L_i(\mathbf{h}) = \mathbf{0}$ iff the linear transformation $\mathbf{h} \mapsto (A\mathbf{h})_i : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is the total derivative of f_i.

- Now, each f_i is a function of n variables, i.e., $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1/12:

- **Partial derivative** (of f wrt. x_i at $\mathbf{a} \in U$): The following quantity. *Denoted by* $\boldsymbol{\partial f/\partial x_i}$. *Given by*

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i + h, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) - f(\mathbf{a})}{h}$$

  - The partial derivative is easy to calculate if you're good at calculating single-variable derivatives.

- Questions:
  1. If the partial derivatives all exist, does the total derivative also exist?
  2. If partial derivatives exist, is f continuous?

- The answer is no to both — it's too weak a condition.
  - Counter example: Consider $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2y}{x^4+y^4} & (x,y) \neq \mathbf{0} \\ 0 & (x,y) = \mathbf{0} \end{cases}$$

  - All partial derivatives exist at $(0,0)$ but f is not continuous at $(0,0)$.
  - We'll consider this in the homework.

- Now we try taking derivatives in infinitely many directions, as opposed to just n many.

- **Directional derivative** (of f at $\mathbf{a}$ in the direction of $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$): The following quantity. *Denoted by* $\boldsymbol{D_{\mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{a})}$, $\boldsymbol{\partial f/\partial \mathbf{v}}$. *Given by*

$$D_{\mathbf{v}} f(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{a} + h\mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{a})}{h}$$

  - We always take $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 1$.
  - The partial derivative is just a directional derivative along the standard basis vectors. Alternatively, the directional derivative is just a generalization of the partial derivatives.

- This still isn't a strong enough condition — the above counterexample has all directional derivatives at $(0,0)$ but still isn't continuous.

- Proposition: Suppose f is differentiable at $\mathbf{a} \in U$. Then all directional derivatives of f at $\mathbf{a}$ exist and for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = Df(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{v})$$

  - The total derivative says that the derivative exists from all sequences of approach. We're just going to pick a particular vector direction of approach.
  - Mathematically, by the definition of the total derivative,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{a} + h\mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - Df(\mathbf{a})(h\mathbf{v})}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{a} + h\mathbf{v}) - f(\mathbf{a})}{h} - Df(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{v}) \\ Df(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{v}) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \end{aligned}$$

- A particular consequence is that
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = Df(\mathbf{a})(e_i)$$
  - But the total derivative, as a linear transformation, is completely defined by its behavior on the basis vectors.
  - Thus, it is defined by the m-by-n matrix
$$Df(\mathbf{a}) = \left(\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i}\right)_{\substack{1\leq j\leq m \\ 1\leq i\leq n}}$$
- **Jacobian matrix** (of f at $\mathbf{a}$): The above matrix, representing the total derivative of f at $\mathbf{a}$.
- Theorem: Suppose $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a function on an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If all partial derivatives of f exist and are continuous on U, then f is differentiable on U.
  - Recall the mean value theorem (MVT): Suppose $g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function which is differentiable on (a, b). Then there exists $c \in (a, b)$ such that $g'(c) = [g(b) - g(a)]/[b - a]$.
  - WLOG let $m = 1$ (if we prove this case, we can use the proposition relating f to its components to prove the general case).
  - Rewrite
$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) = f(a_1 + h_1, a_2 + h_2, a_3 + h_3, \ldots, a_n + h_n) &- f(a_1, a_2 + h_2, a_3 + h_3, \ldots, a_n + h_n) \\ &+ f(a_1, a_2 + h_2, a_3 + h_3, \ldots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, a_2, a_3 + h_3, \ldots, a_n + h_n) \\ &+ \cdots \\ &+ f(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n + h_n) - f(\mathbf{a}) \end{aligned}$$
    where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$.
  - Apply the MVT to each term to get
$$f(a_1, \ldots, a_i + h_i, \ldots, a_n + h_n) - f(a_1, \ldots, a_i, \ldots, a_n + h_n) = h_i\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \ldots, c_i(\mathbf{h}), \ldots, a_n + h_n)$$
    for some $c_i(\mathbf{h}) \in (a_i, a_i + h_i) \cup (a_i + h_i, a_i)$.
  - Now let A be the Jacobian matrix of f at $\mathbf{a}$.
  - WTS:
$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} = \mathbf{0}$$
  - We have
$$f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_i\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \ldots, c_i(\mathbf{h}), \ldots, a_n + h_n)$$
  - Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the linear map $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (0, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, 0)$. Clearly, $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_i\mathbf{x}$.
  - Thus, $A\mathbf{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A\pi_i\mathbf{h}$ and $A\pi_i\mathbf{h} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot h_i$.
  - Applying, we have
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{a}) - A\mathbf{h}}{\|\mathbf{h}\|} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{h}\|}\left(h_i\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, c_i(\mathbf{h}), a_{i+1} + h_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n + h_n) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{a}) \cdot h_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{h_i}{\|\mathbf{h}\|}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, c_i(\mathbf{h}), a_{i+1} + h_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n + h_n) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{a})\right) \end{aligned}$$
  - We know that $-1 \leq h_i/\|\mathbf{h}\| \leq 1$, so we need only show that the difference above goes to zero as $\mathbf{h} \to \mathbf{0}$. But we know this by the continuity of the partial derivatives.
- Note that this theorem gives a sufficient condition but not a necessary condition for f to be differentiable.

References

Munkres, J. R. (1991). *Analysis on manifolds*. Addison-Wesley.