## MATH 20410 (Analysis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ II – Accelerated) Notes

Steven Labalme

February 2, 2022

# Contents

6	The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral	1
	6.1 Notes	1
7	Sequences and Series of Functions	4
	7.1 Notes	4

### Chapter 6

## The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

#### 6.1 Notes

1/28:

- Plan:
  - 1. Finish up Fundamental Theorem of Calculus proof.
  - 2. Basic consequences.
  - 3. Rectifiable curves.
- Recall that we're given  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  continuous,  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ , and  $x\mapsto\int_a^x f(t)\,\mathrm{d}t$ .
- Goal: Show  $F'(x_0) = f(x_0)$ .
  - WTS: Find  $\delta$  such that  $|x x_0| < \delta$  implies

$$\left| \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(t) dt - f(x_0) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(t) dt - \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(x_0) dt \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \left| \int_{x_0}^x (f(t) - f(x_0)) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \int_{x_0}^x |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt$$

$$< \epsilon$$

- Since f is continuous, there exists  $\delta$  such that if  $|x-x_0| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x)-f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ .
- Now

$$\frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \int_{x_0}^x |f(t) - f(x_0)| \, \mathrm{d}t < \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \int_{x_0}^x \epsilon \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$= \epsilon$$

- Applications:
  - 1. Theorem (MVT for integration):  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  continuous, then there exists  $x_0\in[a,b]$  such that

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

– Apply MVT to  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ . Then

$$F'(x_0) = f(x_0) = \frac{F(b) - F(a)}{b - a}$$

as desired.

2. Theorem (Integration by parts): Let  $F, G : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with F' = f, G' = g and with f and g both integrable. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} Fg = F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_{a}^{b} fG$$

- Just use the product rule plus the FTC to prove.
- We have

$$\int_{a}^{b} (FG)' = \int_{a}^{b} fG + \int_{a}^{b} Fg$$

$$F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) = \int_{a}^{b} fG + \int_{a}^{b} Fg$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} Fg = F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_{a}^{b} fG$$

- 3. Theorem (u-substitution).
  - Follows similarly from the chain rule and FTC.
- Integration of vector-valued functions.
- If  $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^k$ , we define  $\int_a^b f$  by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \left( \int_{a}^{b} f_{1}, \dots, \int_{a}^{b} f_{k} \right)$$

- Alternatively, you can define  $\int_a^b f$  using P, U(f,P), L(f,P), etc. and then prove that the integral exists iff all  $f_i$  are integrable and in this case the above definition holds.
- Rectifiable curves: Let  $\gamma:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}^k$  be a continuous function.
- Plan: Define the length of  $\gamma$  and show that we can compute it with an integral.
  - Idea: For polygonal paths, we know how to define length. So let's approximate  $\gamma$  by polygons and take a limit.
  - Ref: Given a partition P, then define the length of  $\gamma$  with respect to P as  $\Lambda(\gamma, P)$ . Let the length of  $\gamma$  be  $\Lambda(\gamma) = \sup_{P} \Lambda(\gamma, P)$  if this limit exists in this case, we call  $\gamma$  rectifiable.
- Fractals are not rectifiable their length diverges.
- Theorem: Suppose  $\gamma$  is continuously differentiable (i.e.,  $\gamma$  is differentiable and  $\gamma'$  is continuous). Then  $\gamma$  si rectifiable and

$$\Lambda(\gamma) = \int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t$$

- Notice: If  $P \leq P'$ , then  $\Lambda(\gamma, P) \leq \Lambda(\gamma, P')$ . (Prove with triangle inequality.)
- WTS: For all partitions P,  $\Lambda(\gamma, P) \leq \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$  and thus  $\Lambda(\gamma) \leq \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$ .
- We have that

$$\Lambda(\gamma, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})|$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \gamma'(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

$$= \int_{a}^{b} |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

- Catch up.
  - $-\,$  I should make up PSets 1-2.
  - Exams have less than Rudin-strength problems.
  - Exams are mostly true/false (and of that, mostly false, provide a counterexample).

### Chapter 7

### Sequences and Series of Functions

#### 7.1 Notes

1/31: • Midterm on differentiation and integration, and a bit of stuff from this week.

- Plan:
  - Talk about sequences of functions, all with the same domain and range, converging.
  - Address what properties of  $f_n$  remain in the limit (e.g., continuity, differentiability, integrability).
    - The answer depends on what we mean by "convergence."
    - $f_n \to f$  pointwise implies basically nothing.
    - $\blacksquare$   $f_n \to f$  uniformly implies that basically everything works out nicely.
- We'll restrict ourselves to real functions because those have all the properties (integrability, differentiability, etc.) that we care about.
- **Pointwise** (convergent sequence  $\{f_n\}$  to f): A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges to f(x), where  $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Denoted by  $f_n \to f$ .
- Bad functions.
  - Consider  $f_n:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $x\mapsto x^n$ . Each  $f_n$  is continuous, but f is not (zero everywhere except  $f(1)=1)^{[1]}$ .
  - Consider  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f_n(x) = x^2/(1+x^2)^n$ , and  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ . As a geometric series,  $f(x) = 1 + x^2$  when  $x \neq 0$  but f(0) = 0. Thus, the limit exists but is not continuous once again.
  - Consider  $f_m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $x \mapsto \lim_{n \to \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)$ . Each  $f_m$  is integrable, but the limit f is the function that's 1 for rationals and zero for irrationals. In particular, f is not integrable.
    - We take even powers of the cosine to make it always positive.
    - We use  $\cos^2(x)$  just because its always between [0, 1], and we know when it is equal to 1.
    - In particular,  $\cos^2(\pi x)$  is equal to 1 at every integer,  $\cos^2(2\pi x)$  is equal to 1 at every half integer.  $\cos^2(6\pi x)$  is equal to 1 at every one-sixth of an integer.
    - Then raising it to the  $n^{\text{th}}$  power just makes it spiky.
- Aside: Interchanging limits.
  - If all  $f_n$  are continuous, then  $\lim_{x\to x_0} f_n(x) = f_n(x_0)$ .

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ Questions that require counterexamples like this could show up on the midterm!

- The question "is f continuous" is equivalent to being able to interchange limits:

$$\lim_{x \to x_0} \lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(x) = f(x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{x \to x_0} f_n(x)$$

- Sequence example showing we need to be careful interchanging limits:  $s_{n,m} = m/(m+n)$ .
- All of this pathology goes away with the right definition, though.
- Uniformly (convergent sequence  $\{f_n\}$  to f): A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an N such that if  $n \geq N$ , then  $|f_n(x) f(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $f_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- Proposition (Cauchy criterion for uniform convergence):  $f_n \to f$  uniformly iff for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that for all  $m, n \ge N$  and for all  $x \in X$ ,  $|f_n(x) f_m(x)| < \epsilon$ .
  - Forward direction: Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose  $f_n \to f$  uniformly. Choose N such that the functions are within  $\epsilon/2$ . Then

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \le |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

- 2/2: Office hours tomorrow 4-5 PM.
  - Plan:
    - 1. More on uniform convergence.
      - Limit of continuous functions is continuous.
      - Limit of the integral of functions is the integral of the limit.
    - 2. C(X) perspectives on uniform convergence.
  - Corollary (Weierstraß M-test): If there exist constants  $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  for all x and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$  converges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$  converges uniformly.
  - Theorem:  $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n$  continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  for all n, and  $f_n \to f$  uniformly imply f continuous at  $x_0$ .
    - Idea:
      - " $\epsilon/3$  trick": Find  $\delta$  such that if  $|x-x_0|<\delta$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \le |f(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)| + |f_N(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

- Proof:
  - $f_n \to f$  uniformly implies there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_N(x) f(x)| < \epsilon/3$  for all  $x \in X$ .
  - $f_N$  continuous at  $x_0$ : There exists  $\delta$  such that if  $d(x,x_0) < \delta$ , then  $|f_N(x) f_N(x_0)| < \epsilon/3$ .
  - Thus, by the  $\epsilon/3$  trick, we have the continuity of f.
- Theorem:  $f_n:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$  integrable and  $f_n\to f$  uniformly implies f is integrable and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_{n}$$

- Plan:
  - 1. Show f is integrable.
  - 2. Show  $\int f = \lim \int f_n$ .
- Proof:

 $\blacksquare$  Fix some partition P and write

$$U(f_n, P) = \sum_{i=1}^k M_i^n \Delta x_i$$

$$L(f_n, P) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i^n \Delta x_i$$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \Delta x_i$$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i \Delta x_i$$

- WTS: For large enough n, we can make all  $|M_i^n M_i| < \epsilon$  and  $|m_i^n m_i| < \epsilon$ .
- We can do the above by uniform convergence.
- We end up with

$$|U(f_n, P) - U(f, P)| \le \sum_{i=1}^n |M_i^n - M_i| \Delta x_i$$

$$< \epsilon(b - a)$$

- Klug had assumed that the functions achieve their maxima/minima on the same interval and forgets how to finish the proof.
- Defining a norm on C(X).

$$||f|| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$$

- This makes C(X) into a vector space.
- We can now define our metric d(f,g) by d(f,g) = ||f-g||.
- $f_n \to f \iff f$  is bounded.
  - $-f_n \to f$  uniformly  $\iff \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup |f_n(x) f(x)| = 0 \iff f_n \to f \text{ is } \mathcal{C}(X).$
- Corollary to the Weierstraß M-test: C(X) is complete (i.e., all uniformly Cauchy sequences converge).
  - Assume  $\{f_n\}$  is Cauchy. Then by the Cauchy criterion for uniform convergence,  $f_n$  converges uniformly to some f. But this f must be continuous, too, meaning  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ .