

# MATH 20410 (Analysis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ II – Accelerated) Notes

Steven Labalme

February 15, 2022

# Contents

<b>6</b>	<b>The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral</b>	<b>1</b>
6.1	Notes . . . . .	1
6.2	Exam 1 Additional Topics . . . . .	3
<b>7</b>	<b>Sequences and Series of Functions</b>	<b>4</b>
7.1	Notes . . . . .	4
<b>9</b>	<b>Functions of Several Variables</b>	<b>8</b>
9.1	Notes . . . . .	8
9.2	Chapter 9: Functions of Several Variables . . . . .	9

## Chapter 6

# The Riemann-Stieltjes Integral

### 6.1 Notes

1/28:

- Plan:

1. Finish up Fundamental Theorem of Calculus proof.
2. Basic consequences.
3. Rectifiable curves.

- Recall that we're given  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous,  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $x \mapsto \int_a^x f(t) dt$ .

- Goal: Show  $F'(x_0) = f(x_0)$ .

- WTS: Find  $\delta$  such that  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(t) dt - f(x_0) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(t) dt - \frac{1}{x - x_0} \int_{x_0}^x f(x_0) dt \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \left| \int_{x_0}^x (f(t) - f(x_0)) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \int_{x_0}^x |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Since  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $\delta$  such that if  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \epsilon$ .

- Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \int_{x_0}^x |f(t) - f(x_0)| dt &< \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \int_{x_0}^x \epsilon dt \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- Applications:

1. Theorem (MVT for integration):  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous, then there exists  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  such that

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

- Apply MVT to  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ . Then

$$F'(x_0) = f(x_0) = \frac{F(b) - F(a)}{b - a}$$

as desired.

2. Theorem (Integration by parts): Let  $F, G : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be differentiable with  $F' = f$ ,  $G' = g$  and with  $f$  and  $g$  both integrable. Then

$$\int_a^b Fg = F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_a^b fG$$

- Just use the product rule plus the FTC to prove.
- We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (FG)' &= \int_a^b fG + \int_a^b Fg \\ F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) &= \int_a^b fG + \int_a^b Fg \\ \int_a^b Fg &= F(b)G(b) - F(a)G(a) - \int_a^b fG \end{aligned}$$

3. Theorem ( $u$ -substitution).

- Follows similarly from the chain rule and FTC.

- Integration of vector-valued functions.

- If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ , we define  $\int_a^b f$  by

$$\int_a^b f = \left( \int_a^b f_1, \dots, \int_a^b f_k \right)$$

- Alternatively, you can define  $\int_a^b f$  using  $P$ ,  $U(f, P)$ ,  $L(f, P)$ , etc. and then prove that the integral exists iff all  $f_i$  are integrable and in this case the above definition holds.
- Rectifiable curves: Let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  be a continuous function.
- Plan: Define the length of  $\gamma$  and show that we can compute it with an integral.
  - Idea: For polygonal paths, we know how to define length. So let's approximate  $\gamma$  by polygons and take a limit.
  - Ref: Given a partition  $P$ , then define the length of  $\gamma$  with respect to  $P$  as  $\Lambda(\gamma, P)$ . Let the length of  $\gamma$  be  $\Lambda(\gamma) = \sup_P \Lambda(\gamma, P)$  if this limit exists in this case, we call  $\gamma$  **rectifiable**.
- Fractals are not rectifiable — their length diverges.
- Theorem: Suppose  $\gamma$  is continuously differentiable (i.e.,  $\gamma$  is differentiable and  $\gamma'$  is continuous). Then  $\gamma$  is rectifiable and

$$\Lambda(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$$

- Notice: If  $P \leq P'$ , then  $\Lambda(\gamma, P) \leq \Lambda(\gamma, P')$ . (Prove with triangle inequality.)
- WTS: For all partitions  $P$ ,  $\Lambda(\gamma, P) \leq \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$  and thus  $\Lambda(\gamma) \leq \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$ .
- We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\gamma, P) &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\gamma(x_i) - \gamma(x_{i-1})| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \gamma'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |\gamma'(t)| dt \\ &= \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt \end{aligned}$$

- Catch up.
  - I should make up PSets 1-2.
  - Exams have less than Rudin-strength problems.
  - Exams are mostly true/false (and of that, mostly false, provide a counterexample).

## 6.2 Exam 1 Additional Topics

- A continuous function that is not always differentiable.

$$f(x) = |x|$$

- A differentiable function with a discontinuous derivative.

$$f(x) = x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x}$$

- A vector-valued function that doesn't satisfy the MVT.

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = e^{ix}$$

- Between 0 and  $2\pi$ .

- A pair of vector-valued functions that don't satisfy L'Hôpital's rule.

$$f(x) = x \qquad g(x) = x + x^2 e^{i/x^2}$$

# Chapter 7

## Sequences and Series of Functions

### 7.1 Notes

- 1/31:
- Midterm on differentiation and integration, and a bit of stuff from this week.
  - Plan:
    - Talk about sequences of functions, all with the same domain and range, converging.
    - Address what properties of  $f_n$  remain in the limit (e.g., continuity, differentiability, integrability).
      - The answer depends on what we mean by “convergence.”
      - $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise implies basically nothing.
      - $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly implies that basically everything works out nicely.
  - We’ll restrict ourselves to real functions because those have all the properties (integrability, differentiability, etc.) that we care about.
  - **Pointwise** (convergent sequence  $\{f_n\}$  to  $f$ ): A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges to  $f(x)$ , where  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Denoted by  $f_n \rightarrow f$ .
  - Bad functions.
    - Consider  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $x \mapsto x^n$ . Each  $f_n$  is continuous, but  $f$  is not (zero everywhere except  $f(1) = 1$ )<sup>[1]</sup>.
    - Consider  $f_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f_n(x) = x^2/(1+x^2)^n$ , and  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ . As a geometric series,  $f(x) = 1+x^2$  when  $x \neq 0$  but  $f(0) = 0$ . Thus, the limit exists but is not continuous once again.
    - Consider  $f_m : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $x \mapsto \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \cos^{2n}(m!\pi x)$ . Each  $f_m$  is integrable, but the limit  $f$  is the function that’s 1 for rationals and zero for irrationals. In particular,  $f$  is not integrable.
      - We take even powers of the cosine to make it always positive.
      - We use  $\cos^2(x)$  just because it’s always between  $[0, 1]$ , and we know when it is equal to 1.
      - In particular,  $\cos^2(\pi x)$  is equal to 1 at every integer,  $\cos^2(2\pi x)$  is equal to 1 at every half integer.  $\cos^2(6\pi x)$  is equal to 1 at every one-sixth of an integer.
      - Then raising it to the  $n^{\text{th}}$  power just makes it spiky.
  - Aside: Interchanging limits.
    - If all  $f_n$  are continuous, then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_n(x) = f_n(x_0)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Questions that require counterexamples like this could show up on the midterm!

- The question “is  $f$  continuous” is equivalent to being able to interchange limits:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f_n(x)$$

- Sequence example showing we need to be careful interchanging limits:  $s_{n,m} = m/(m+n)$ .
- All of this pathology goes away with the right definition, though.
- **Uniformly** (convergent sequence  $\{f_n\}$  to  $f$ ): A sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}$  such that for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N$  such that if  $n \geq N$ , then  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Proposition (Cauchy criterion for uniform convergence):  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly iff for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that for all  $m, n \geq N$  and for all  $x \in X$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \epsilon$ .
  - Forward direction: Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly. Choose  $N$  such that the functions are within  $\epsilon/2$ . Then

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$$

2/2:

- Office hours tomorrow 4-5 PM.
- Plan:
  1. More on uniform convergence.
    - Limit of continuous functions is continuous.
    - Limit of the integral of functions is the integral of the limit.
  2.  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  perspectives on uniform convergence.
- Corollary (Weierstraß M-test): If there exist constants  $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_n$  for all  $x$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$  converges, then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$  converges uniformly.
- Theorem:  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n$  continuous at  $x_0 \in X$  for all  $n$ , and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly imply  $f$  continuous at  $x_0$ .
  - Idea:
    - “ $\epsilon/3$  trick”: Find  $\delta$  such that if  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq |f(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)| + |f_N(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

- Proof:

- $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly implies there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_N(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon/3$  for all  $x \in X$ .
  - $f_N$  continuous at  $x_0$ : There exists  $\delta$  such that if  $d(x, x_0) < \delta$ , then  $|f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)| < \epsilon/3$ .
  - Thus, by the  $\epsilon/3$  trick, we have the continuity of  $f$ .
- Defining a norm on  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ .

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$$

- This makes  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  into a vector space.
- We can now define our metric  $d(f, g)$  by  $d(f, g) = \|f - g\|$ .
- $f_n \rightarrow f \iff f$  is bounded.
  - $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly  $\iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0 \iff f_n \rightarrow f$  is  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ .
- Corollary to the Weierstraß M-test:  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is complete (i.e., all uniformly Cauchy sequences converge).

- Assume  $\{f_n\}$  is Cauchy. Then by the Cauchy criterion for uniform convergence,  $f_n$  converges uniformly to some  $f$ . But this  $f$  must be continuous, too, meaning  $f \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ .

2/4:

- Plan.

1.  $\int \lim f_n = \lim \int f_n$ .
2.  $\mathrm{d}x \lim f_n = \lim \mathrm{d}x f_n$ .
3. Definitions: Pointwise/uniform boundedness, equicontinuity.

- Theorem:  $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  integrable and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly implies  $f$  is integrable and

$$\int_a^b f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n$$

- Plan:

1. Show  $f$  is integrable.
2. Show  $\int f = \lim \int f_n$ .

- Proof:

- Let  $\epsilon_n = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x) - f_n(x)|$ .
- Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly,  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- By definition,  $f_n - \epsilon_n \leq f \leq f_n + \epsilon_n$ .
- Thus, by Theorems 6.4 and 6.5,

$$\int_a^b (f_n - \epsilon_n) = \int_a^b (f_n - \epsilon_n) \leq \int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b (f_n + \epsilon_n)$$

- It follows since

$$0 \leq \int_a^b f - \int_a^b f_n \leq \int_a^b (f_n + \epsilon_n) - \int_a^b (f_n - \epsilon_n) = (b - a) \epsilon_n$$

that  $f$  is integrable.

- Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b (f_n - \epsilon_n) &\leq \int_a^b f \leq \int_a^b (f_n + \epsilon_n) \\ \left| \int_a^b f_n - \int_a^b f \right| &\leq \epsilon_n (b - a) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n &= \int_a^b f \end{aligned}$$

- Theorem:  $f_n : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , each  $f_n$  differentiable,  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise, and  $(f_n)' \rightarrow g$  uniformly implies that  $f$  is differentiable and  $f' = g$ .

- Note that you can do better: Substituting  $f_n(x_0)$  converging for some  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  for  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise still implies the desired result.
- Idea: We use the  $\epsilon/3$  trick;  $2/3$  will be easy and  $1/3$  will be tricky.
- Goal: We want

$$\left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - g(x_0) \right| < \epsilon$$

for some  $\delta$  with  $0 < |x - x_0| < \delta$ . We will show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)}{x - x_0} + \frac{f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f'_N(x_0) + f'_N(x_0) - g(x_0) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)}{x - x_0} \right| + \left| \frac{f_N(x) - f_N(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f'_N(x_0) \right| + |f'_N(x_0) - g(x_0)| \end{aligned}$$



- For the middle inequality, use Chapter 5, Exercise 8.
- For the right inequality, use the uniform convergence condition.
- For the left inequality, it will suffice to show the Cauchy condition

$$\left| \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \frac{f_m(x) - f_m(x_0)}{x - x_0} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

so, noting that the left term above is equal to

$$\left| \frac{[f_n(x) - f_m(x)] - [f_n(x_0) - f_m(x_0)]}{x - x_0} \right|$$

which is equal to  $|f'_n(c) - f'_m(c)|$  by the MVT, from which we can apply the Cauchy form of the uniform convergence of  $(f_n)'$  condition.

- **Pointwise bounded** ( $\{f_n\}$ ): A sequence of real functions  $\{f_n\}$  such that for all  $x \in X$ , there exists  $M_x \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M_x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- **Uniformly bounded** ( $\{f_n\}$ ): A sequence of real functions  $\{f_n\}$  for which there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|f_n(x)| \leq M$ .
- Proposition:  $f_n : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{f_n\}$  is pointwise bounded, and  $E$  is countable implies there is a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  that converges pointwise.
  - Enumerate  $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ .
  - Then since  $\{f_n(x_m)\}$  is bounded for all  $m$  by hypothesis, it always has a convergent subsequence.
  - The claim is if you look at the sequence of diagonal functions, it is such a subsequence, i.e., if  $f_1(x_1)$  is the first term for  $x_1$ ,  $f_3(x_2)$  is the second term for  $x_2$ ,  $f_{11}(x_3)$  is the third term for  $x_3$ , and so on,  $f_1, f_3, f_{11}, \dots$  is such a subsequence.

2/9: • Build up to the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

2/11: • The Arzelà-Ascoli theorem.

# Chapter 9

## Functions of Several Variables

### 9.1 Notes

2/14:

- Plan:
  1. Warm-up with matrices.
  2. The total derivatives of  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  ( $n = m = 2$ , i.e.,  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ).
  3. Basic properties: Chain rule, relation with partial derivatives, implicit function theorem.
- Let  $V, W$  be finite-dimensional vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We let  $L(V, W)$  be the vector space of all linear transformations  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ .
- If we pick bases  $N_1, \dots, N_n$  of  $V$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_m$  of  $W$ , then  $V \cong \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $W \cong \mathbb{R}^m$ . It follows that  $L(V, W) \cong \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ .
- $L(V, W) \times L(W, U) \xrightarrow{\text{compose}} L(V, U)$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}^{mn} \times \mathbb{R}^{nl} \xrightarrow[\text{mult.}]{\text{matrix}} \mathbb{R}^{ml}$ .
- Sup norm: If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  real matrix, then  $\|A\| = \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ |\mathbf{x}|=1}} |A\mathbf{x}|$ .
  - Basic properties:
    1.  $|A\mathbf{x}| \leq \|A\| |\mathbf{x}|$ .
    2.  $\|A\| < \infty$  and all  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  are uniformly continuous.
    3.  $\|A\| = 0 \iff A = 0$ .
    4.  $\|cA\| = |c| \|A\|$ .
    5.  $\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$ .
    6.  $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ .
  - Note that we get a metric space structure on  $L(V, W)$  by defining  $d(A, B) = \|A - B\|$ .
- Proves that 1 and 2 imply the uniform continuity of all  $A$  (via Lipschitz continuity).
- **Differentiable** (multivariate function  $f$  at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ ): A function  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  ( $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ) such that to  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in U$  there corresponds some linear transformation  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that
$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{|f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - A\mathbf{h}|}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0$$
- **Total derivative** (of  $f$  multivariate at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ ): The linear transformation  $A$  in the above definition. Denoted by  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}_0)$ .
- “An proof and progress in mathematics” - Thurston.

- Relating to the old one dimensional derivative.
- A paper we'd find rather impressionistic right now.
- Propositions ahead of us.
  - Proposition: Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable at  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in U$  and  $A, B$  are both derivatives of  $f$  at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ . Then  $A = B$ .
  - Proposition: Differentiable implies continuous.
  - Proposition: Sum rule, product rule, quotient rule.

## 9.2 Chapter 9: Functions of Several Variables

From Rudin (1976).

- 2/15:
- Defines a vector space by the closure of its elements under addition and scalar multiplication.
  - Defines a linear combination, span, independence and dependence, dimension, basis, coordinates, and the standard basis.
  - Theorem 9.2: If  $X$  is spanned by  $r$  vectors,  $\dim X \leq r$ .
  - Corollary:  $\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n$ .
  - Theorem 9.3: Let  $X$  a vector space with  $\dim X = n$ .
    - (a)  $E \subset X$  containing  $n$  vectors spans  $X$  iff  $E$  is independent.
    - (b)  $X$  has a basis, and every basis contains  $n$  vectors.
    - (c) If  $1 \leq r \leq n$  and  $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_r\}$  is independent in  $X$ , then  $X$  has a basis containing  $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_r\}$ .
  - Defines linear transformation, linear operator.
  - Notes that  $A\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$  if  $A$  is a linear transformation, and that  $A$  is completely determined by its action on any basis.
  - **Invertible** (linear operator): A linear operator  $A$  that is one-to-one and onto.
  - Theorem 9.5:  $A$  a linear operator on  $X$  finite-dimensional is one-to-one iff it is onto.
  - Defines  $L(X, Y)$ ,  $L(X)$ , the product  $BA$  of two linear transformations, and the supremum norm of a linear transformation.
  - Theorem 9.7:
    - (a)  $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  implies  $\|A\| < \infty$  and  $A : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  uniformly continuous.
    - (b)  $A, B \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  implies
 
$$\|A + B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\| \qquad \|cA\| = |c|\|A\|$$
  - Defining  $d(A, B) = \|A - B\|$  makes  $L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  a metric space.
  - (c)  $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  and  $B \in L(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^k)$  implies
 
$$\|BA\| \leq \|B\|\|A\|$$
  - Theorem 9.8: Let  $\Omega$  be the set of all invertible linear operators on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
    - (a)  $A \in \Omega$ ,  $B \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\|B - A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\| < 1$  implies  $B \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\|A^{-1}\| = 1/\alpha$ , and let  $\|B - A\| = \beta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|B - A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\| &< 1 \\ \beta \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} &< 1 \\ \beta &< \alpha\end{aligned}$$

To prove that  $B \in \Omega$ , the definition of invertibility and Theorem 9.5 tell us that it will suffice to show that  $B$  is 1-1. To do so, it will suffice to show that  $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  iff  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Let's begin. Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha|\mathbf{x}| &= \alpha\|A^{-1}A\mathbf{x}\| \leq \alpha\|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|A\mathbf{x}\| = \|A\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|(A - B)\mathbf{x}\| + \|B\mathbf{x}\| \leq \beta|\mathbf{x}| + \|B\mathbf{x}\| \\ (\alpha - \beta)|\mathbf{x}| &\leq \|B\mathbf{x}\|\end{aligned}$$

It follows that if  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\|B\mathbf{x}\| > 0$ . This combined with the fact that  $B\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$  implies the desired result.  $\square$

(b)  $\Omega$  is open in  $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $A \mapsto A^{-1}$  is continuous on  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* To prove that  $\Omega$  is open in  $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , it will suffice to show that for all  $A \in \Omega$ , there exists  $N_r(A)$  such that if  $\|B - A\| < r$ , then  $B \in \Omega$ . Let's begin. Let  $A \in \Omega$  be arbitrary. Choose  $N_\alpha(A)$  to be our neighborhood, where  $\alpha$  is defined as in part (a). Let  $B \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy  $\|B - A\| < \alpha$ . Then  $\|B - A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\| < 1$ , so  $B \in \Omega$  by part (a), as desired.

To prove that  $A \mapsto A^{-1}$  is continuous, it will suffice to show that  $\|B^{-1} - A^{-1}\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $B \rightarrow A$ . First off, we have by part (a) and the substitution  $\mathbf{x} = B^{-1}\mathbf{y}$  ( $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ) that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha - \beta)\|B^{-1}\mathbf{y}\| &\leq \|B B^{-1}\mathbf{y}\| = \|\mathbf{y}\| \\ \left\|B^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{y}\|}\right)\right\| &\leq (\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $\|B^{-1}\mathbf{u}\|$  is bounded by  $(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}$  for every unit vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|B^{-1}\|$  is bounded by  $(\alpha - \beta)^{-1}$ . This combined with the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}B^{-1} - A^{-1} &= B^{-1}I - I A^{-1} \\ &= B^{-1}A A^{-1} - B^{-1}B A^{-1} \\ &= B^{-1}(A - B)A^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

implies by Theorem 9.7c that

$$\|B^{-1} - A^{-1}\| \leq \|B^{-1}\| \|A - B\| \|A^{-1}\| \leq (\alpha - \beta)^{-1} \cdot \beta \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha(\alpha - \beta)}$$

Therefore, since  $\beta \rightarrow 0$  as  $B \rightarrow A$ , the above inequality establishes the desired result.  $\square$

- Note that the mapping  $A \mapsto A^{-1}$  defined in Theorem 9.8b is a 1-1 mapping of  $\Omega$  onto  $\Omega$  and its own inverse.
- Defines matrices, column vectors, and matrix multiplication.
- From the Schwarz inequality, we can show that

$$\|A\| \leq \left( \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

- “If  $S$  is a metric space, if  $a_{11}, \dots, a_{mn}$  are real continuous functions on  $S$ , and if for each  $p \in S$ ,  $A_p$  is the linear transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^m$  whose matrix has entries  $a_{ij}(p)$ , then the mapping  $p \rightarrow A_p$  is a continuous mapping of  $S$  into  $L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ ” (Rudin, 1976, p. 211).

- Rudin (1976) spends some time motivating the definition of the total derivative. He also discusses the natural 1-1 correspondence between  $\mathbb{R}^1$  and  $L(\mathbb{R}^1)$ .
- Defines differentiability in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Theorem 9.12:  $A_1, A_2$  the derivative of  $\mathbf{f}$  at  $\mathbf{x}$  implies  $A_1 = A_2$ .
- If  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  where  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\mathbf{f}' : E \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ .
- $\mathbf{f}$  differentiable implies  $\mathbf{f}$  continuous.
- Example ( $\mathbf{f}$  is linear):
  - If  $A \in L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , then  $A'(\mathbf{x}) = A$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that this means that  $A' : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$ , as expected.
- Theorem 9.15 (Chain Rule):  $E$  open in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  differentiable at  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in E$ ,  $I \supset \mathbf{f}(E)$  open in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\mathbf{g} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  differentiable at  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0)$  implies  $\mathbf{F} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  defined by

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}))$$

is differentiable at  $\mathbf{x}_0$  with

$$\mathbf{F}'(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathbf{g}'(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0))\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}_0)^{[1]}$$

*Proof.* Largely symmetric to that of the one-dimensional chain rule in Chapter 5. □

- **Components** (of  $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ): The real functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  defined by

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}_i$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} \in E$  or, equivalently, by  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ), where  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

- Defines partial derivatives.
- Theorem 9.17:  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open and  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  differentiable at  $\mathbf{x} \in E$  imply the partial derivatives  $(D_j f_i)(\mathbf{x})$  exist and

$$\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{e}_j = \sum_{i=1}^m (D_j f_i)(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{u}_i$$

for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

- It follows that

$$[\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})] = \begin{bmatrix} (D_1 f_1)(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & (D_n f_1)(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (D_1 f_m)(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & (D_n f_m)(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Discusses the gradient and the directional derivative.
- Theorem 9.19:  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  convex and open,  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  differentiable in  $E$ , and there exists  $M$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x})\| \leq M$$

for all  $\mathbf{x} \in E$  implies

$$|\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{a})| \leq M|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}|$$

for all  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in E$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that the right-hand side of this equation contains the product of two linear transformations.

- Corollary: If, in addition,  $\mathbf{f}'(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in E$ , then  $\mathbf{f}$  is constant.
- **Continuously differentiable** (mapping  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ ): A function  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\mathbf{f}' : E \rightarrow L(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m)$  is continuous. *Also known as  $\mathcal{C}'$ -mapping. Denoted by  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}'(E)$ .*
- Theorem 9.21: Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  open and  $\mathbf{f} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{C}'(E)$  iff the partial derivatives  $D_j f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ;  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) exist and are continuous on  $E$ .