

# Chapter 1

## Multilinear Algebra

### 1.1 Notes

3/28: • Motivation for the course and an overview of Guillemin and Haine (2018).

3/30: • Plan:

– More (multi)linear algebra.

• Dual spaces.

• Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional real vector space.

• **Hom** ( $V, \mathbb{R}$ ): The set of all homomorphisms (i.e., linear maps) from  $V$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . *Also known as  $V^*$ .*

• **Dual basis** (for  $V^*$ ): The set of linear transformations from  $V$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{e}_j \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & j = i \\ 0 & j \neq i \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  is a basis of  $V$ . *Denoted by  $\mathbf{e}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^*$ .*

• Check:  $\mathbf{e}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n^*$  are a basis for  $V^*$ .

– Are they linearly independent? Let  $c_1 \mathbf{e}_1^* + \dots + c_n \mathbf{e}_n^* = 0 \in \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$c_i = (c_1 \mathbf{e}_1^* + \dots + c_n \mathbf{e}_n^*)(\mathbf{e}_i) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

as desired.

– Span? Let  $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ . Then we can verify that

$$\varphi(\mathbf{e}_1) \mathbf{e}_1^* + \dots + \varphi(\mathbf{e}_n) \mathbf{e}_n^* = \varphi$$

■ We prove this by verifying the previous statement on the basis of  $V$  (if two linear transformations have the same action on the basis of a vector space, they are equal).

• With a choice of basis for  $V$ , we obtain an isomorphism  $\varepsilon : V \rightarrow V^*$  with the mapping  $\mathbf{e}_i \mapsto \mathbf{e}_i^*$  for all  $i$ .

• The dual space is known as such because  $(V^*)^* \cong V$ , where  $\cong$  is **canonical** (no choice of basis is needed).

• One more property of dual spaces: **functoriality**.

- Given a linear transformation  $A : V \rightarrow W$ , we know that  $A^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$  where  $A^*$  is the transpose of  $A$ . In particular, if  $\varphi \in W^*$ , then  $\varphi \circ A : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- Claim:  $A^*$  is linear.
- **Functoriality:** If  $A : V \rightarrow W$  and  $B : W \rightarrow U$ , then  $B^* : U^* \rightarrow W^*$  and  $A^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ . The functoriality statement is that  $(B \circ A)^* = A^* \circ B^*$ .
- $A^*$  is the **pullback** (or transpose) of  $A$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be a basis for  $V$  and  $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$  be a basis for  $W$ . Then  $[A]_{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n}^{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m} = A$  is the matrix of the linear transformation  $A$  with respect to these bases. Then if  $\mathbf{v}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n^*$  and  $\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m^*$  are the corresponding dual bases, then  $[A^*]_{\mathbf{v}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n^*}^{\mathbf{w}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m^*} = A^T$ . We can and should verify this for ourselves.
- This is over the real numbers, so  $A^*$  is just the transpose because there are no complex numbers of which to take the conjugate!
- A generalization: Tensors.
- **$k$ -tensor:** A **multilinear** map

$$T : \underbrace{V \times \cdots \times V}_{k \text{ times}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

- **Multilinear** (map  $T$ ): A function  $T$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i^1 + \mathbf{v}_i^2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) &= T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i^1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) + T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i^2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \\ T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \lambda \mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) &= \lambda T(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_i, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) \in V^k$ .

- The determinant is an  $n$ -tensor!
- 1-tensors are just covectors.
- $L^k(V)$ : The vector space of all  $k$ -tensors on  $V$ .
- Calculating  $\dim L^k(V)$ . (Answer not given in this class.)
- Let  $A : V \rightarrow W$ . Then  $A^* : L^k(W) \rightarrow L^k(V)$ .
  - Check  $(A \circ B)^* = B^* \circ A^*$ .
- **multi-index of  $n$  of length  $k$ :** A  $k$ -tuple  $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$  where each  $i_j \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfies  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ). Denoted by  $\mathbf{I}$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  be a basis for  $V$ .

- **Tensor product** (of  $T_1 \in L^k(V)$ ,  $T_2 \in L^l(V)$ ): The function from  $V^{k+l}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+l}) \mapsto T_1(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k) T_2(\mathbf{v}_{k+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+l})$$

Denoted by  $T_1 \otimes T_2$ .

- Claims:
  1.  $T_1 \otimes T_2 \in L^{k+l}(V)$ .
  2.  $A^*(T_1 \otimes T_2) = A^*(T_1) \otimes A^*(T_2)$ .
- $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{I}}^*$ : The function  $\mathbf{e}_{i_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_k}^*$ , where  $\mathbf{I} = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is a multi-index of  $n$  of length  $k$ .
- Claim: Letting  $\mathbf{I}$  range over all  $n^k$  multi-indices of  $n$  of length  $k$ , the  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{I}}^*$  are a basis for  $L^k(V)$ .
- If  $V = \mathbb{R}$ , then  $V = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}_1$ . If  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ , then  $V = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}_2$ .
- We know that  $L^1(V) = V^* = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{e}_1^*$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^* : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, for example,

$$(\mathbf{e}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_2^*)((1, 2), (3, 4)) = \mathbf{e}_1^*(1, 2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_2^*(3, 4) = 1 \cdot 4 = 4$$