

## 2 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

From Treil (2017).

### Chapter 4

10/11: 1.1. True or false:

- a) Every linear operator in an  $n$ -dimensional vector space has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues.

*Answer.* False.

The identity linear operator  $I_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  has the sole eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$ , since  $I_2\mathbf{x} = 1\mathbf{x}$  for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .  $\square$

- b) If a matrix has one eigenvector, it has infinitely many eigenvectors.

*Answer.* True.

Let  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $\alpha\mathbf{x}$  is also an eigenvector of  $A$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  since

$$A(\alpha\mathbf{x}) = \alpha A\mathbf{x} = \alpha\lambda\mathbf{x} = \lambda(\alpha\mathbf{x})$$

$\square$

- c) There exists a square real matrix with no real eigenvalues.

*Answer.* True.

Consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for which we have  $\lambda = 1 \pm 2i$ . Since the two eigenvalues  $1 + 2i$  and  $1 - 2i$  are distinct, and the square matrix given is  $2 \times 2$ , there are no more eigenvalues. Therefore, every eigenvalue of this matrix is not real.  $\square$

- d) There exists a square matrix with no (complex) eigenvectors.

*Answer.* False.

Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an eigenvector of  $A$ . If  $\mathbf{x}$  is complex, then we are done. If  $\mathbf{x}$  is real, then multiply  $\mathbf{x}$  by the scalar  $i$ . It follows by the proof of part (b) that  $i\mathbf{x}$  is an eigenvector if  $A$ .  $\square$

- e) Similar matrices always have the same eigenvalues.

*Answer.* True.

The characteristic polynomials of similar matrices coincide.  $\square$

- f) Similar matrices always have the same eigenvectors.

*Answer.* False.

The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

while its similar matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

has eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that since similar matrices refer to the same linear transformation, a single linear transformation technically only has one set of eigenvectors (albeit possibly expressed in different bases).  $\square$

- g) A non-zero sum of two eigenvectors of a matrix  $A$  is always an eigenvector.

*Answer.* False.

Consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues  $\lambda = 1, 2$  and respective eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the “ $\mathbf{b}$ ” vector is not a scalar multiple of the “ $\mathbf{x}$ ” vector.  $\square$

- h) A non-zero sum of two eigenvectors of a matrix  $A$  corresponding to the same eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is always an eigenvector.

*Answer.* True.

Let  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$  and  $A\mathbf{y} = \lambda\mathbf{y}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y}) &= \alpha A\mathbf{x} + \beta A\mathbf{y} \\ &= \alpha\lambda\mathbf{x} + \beta\lambda\mathbf{y} \\ &= \lambda(\alpha\mathbf{x} + \beta\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

### 1.3. Compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the rotation matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the eigenvalues (and eigenvectors) do not need to be real.

*Answer.* The characteristic polynomial of  $A - \lambda I$  is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det(A - \lambda I) \\ &= (\cos \alpha - \lambda)^2 + \sin^2 \alpha \\ -\sin^2 \alpha &= (\cos \alpha - \lambda)^2 \\ \pm i \sin \alpha &= \pm \cos \alpha - \lambda \\ \lambda &= \cos \alpha + i \sin \alpha = e^{i\alpha} \\ &= \cos \alpha - i \sin \alpha = e^{-i\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lambda = e^{i\alpha}, e^{-i\alpha}$ . It follows by solving the systems of equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \cos \alpha - x_2 \sin \alpha &= e^{i\alpha} x_1 & y_1 \cos \alpha - y_2 \sin \alpha &= e^{-i\alpha} y_1 \\ x_1 \sin \alpha + x_2 \cos \alpha &= e^{i\alpha} x_2 & y_1 \sin \alpha + y_2 \cos \alpha &= e^{-i\alpha} y_2 \end{aligned}$$

that the eigenvectors are

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \qquad y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

□

- 1.5.** Prove that eigenvalues (counting multiplicities) of a triangular matrix coincide with its diagonal entries.

*Answer.* Since the determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal entries, we have that

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (a_{1,1} - \lambda)(a_{2,2} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{n,n} - \lambda)$$

But this polynomial is zero only if and only if  $\lambda$  is a diagonal entry, so the eigenvalues must be the diagonal entries. □

- 1.6.** An operator  $A$  is called **nilpotent** if  $A^k = \mathbf{0}$  for some  $k$ . Prove that if  $A$  is nilpotent, then  $\sigma(A) = \{0\}$  (i.e., that 0 is the only eigenvalue of  $A$ ).

*Answer.* Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\lambda$  is a nonzero eigenvalue of  $A$  with corresponding eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then since  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ ,  $A^k\mathbf{x} = \lambda^k\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} = 0\mathbf{x}$ , so  $A^k \neq 0$ , a contradiction. □

- 1.7.** Show that the characteristic polynomial of a block triangular matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ \mathbf{0} & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are square matrices coincides with  $\det(A - \lambda I) \det(B - \lambda I)$ . (Hint: Use Exercise 3.11 from Chapter 3.)

*Answer.* It follows from Chapter 3, Exercise 3.11 that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ \mathbf{0} & B \end{pmatrix} - \lambda I \right) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} A - \lambda I & * \\ \mathbf{0} & B - \lambda I \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det(A - \lambda I) \det(B - \lambda I) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

- 1.8.** Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be a basis in a vector space  $V$ . Assume also that the first  $k$  vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$  of the basis are eigenvectors of an operator  $A$ , corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  (i.e., that  $A\mathbf{v}_j = \lambda\mathbf{v}_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ). Show that in this basis, the matrix of the operator  $A$  has block triangular form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_k & * \\ \mathbf{0} & B \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I_k$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix and  $B$  is some  $(n - k) \times (n - k)$  matrix.

*Answer.* We will first show that if  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  and a part of the basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  of  $V$ , then its matrix with respect to  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  has zeros in every slot except the  $i^{\text{th}}$  slot, which is 1. This is easily shown as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A\mathbf{v}_i &= \lambda\mathbf{v}_i \\ A\mathbf{v}_i &= \lambda(0\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + 0\mathbf{v}_{i-1} + 1\mathbf{v}_i + 0\mathbf{v}_{i+1} + \cdots + 0\mathbf{v}_n) \end{aligned}$$

This combined with the observations that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $A$  is equal to  $A\mathbf{v}_i$  and  $A\mathbf{v}_i = \lambda\mathbf{v}_i$  proves that

$$A = (A\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad A\mathbf{v}_n) = (\lambda\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \lambda\mathbf{v}_k \quad A\mathbf{v}_{k+1} \quad \cdots \quad A\mathbf{v}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_k & * \\ \mathbf{0} & B \end{pmatrix}$$

as desired. □

- 1.10.** Prove that the determinant of a matrix  $A$  is the product of its eigenvalues (counting multiplicities). (Hint: First show that  $\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are eigenvalues (counting multiplicities). Then compare the free terms (terms without  $\lambda$ ) or plug in  $\lambda = 0$  to get the conclusion.)

*Answer.* We know that the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  of  $A$  are exactly the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of  $A$ . In other words,  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  must go to zero exactly when  $\lambda = \lambda_i$  for some  $i$ . Thus,  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  must be of the form

$$c(\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda)$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}$ . But since  $\lambda$  only occurs in  $A - \lambda I$  with the coefficient  $-1$ , and the  $\lambda^n$  term is solely generated by the term in the permutation sum that is the product of the diagonal entries, the  $\lambda^n$  term must have coefficient  $(-1)^n$ . Additionally, the polynomial above will have  $\lambda^n$  have coefficient  $(-1)^n$ . Thus, we must have  $c = 1$ , and we have proven the hint. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \det(A - 0I) \\ &= (\lambda_1 - 0) \cdots (\lambda_n - 0) \\ &= \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

- 1.11.** Prove that the trace of a matrix equals the sum of its eigenvalues in three steps. First, compute the coefficient of  $\lambda^{n-1}$  in the right side of the equality

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda)$$

Then show that  $\det(A - \lambda I)$  can be represented as

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = (a_{1,1} - \lambda)(a_{2,2} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{n,n} - \lambda) + q(\lambda)$$

where  $q(\lambda)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n - 2$ . And finally, compare the coefficients of  $\lambda^{n-1}$  to get the conclusion.

*Answer.* Consider  $(\lambda_1 - \lambda) \cdots (\lambda_n - \lambda)$ . We have that every  $\lambda^{n-1}$  term in the expansion of this product must take the  $\lambda$  from  $n - 1$  of the terms and the  $\lambda_i$  from the remaining term. Thus, our expansion should contain the terms  $\lambda_1 \lambda^{n-1}, \dots, \lambda_n \lambda^{n-1}$ , which, when we sum, gives  $(-1)^n (\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-1}$ .

In the permutation sum form of the determinant, we have that  $(a_{1,1} - \lambda)(a_{2,2} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{n,n} - \lambda)$  will be one of the terms in the sum. In particular, it is the *only* term to contain all the  $\lambda$ -containing entries in the matrix, so it solely determines the  $\lambda^n$  term. Additionally, the term containing the next-highest number of  $\lambda$ 's must contain  $n - 2$   $\lambda$ 's, not  $n - 1$ , since any product with  $n - 1$  diagonal entries and 1 non-diagonal entry necessarily contains two terms that are in the same row or column. Thus, the term given solely determines the  $\lambda^{n-1}$  term as well. All of the other terms, having degree at most  $\lambda^{n-2}$ , can be defined equal to  $q(\lambda)$ .

Therefore, since the first part of the proof gives

$$(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n) \lambda^{n-1}$$

as the  $\lambda^{n-1}$  term, and the second part of the proof (by a similar argument) gives

$$(a_{1,1} + a_{2,2} + \cdots + a_{n,n}) \lambda^{n-1}$$

as the  $\lambda^{n-1}$  term, we have by comparing terms (rigorously, subtract all terms of other degrees to preserve the equality) that

$$\text{tr } A = a_{1,1} + a_{2,2} + \cdots + a_{n,n} = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n$$

as desired. □

**2.1.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. True or false (justify your conclusions):

- a)  $A^T$  has the same eigenvalues as  $A$ .

*Answer.* True.

Since  $\det B = \det B^T$  for any matrix  $B$  and the transpose operation does not affect the diagonal, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A - \lambda I) &= \det((A - \lambda I)^T) \\ &= \det(A^T - (\lambda I)^T) \\ &= \det(A^T - \lambda I)\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

- b)  $A^T$  has the same eigenvectors as  $A$ .

*Answer.* False.

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we can calculate that  $A$  has eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

but  $A^T$  has eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

□

- c) If  $A$  is diagonalizable, then so is  $A^T$ .

*Answer.* True.

Suppose  $A = SDS^{-1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}A^T &= (SDS^{-1})^T \\ &= (S^{-1})^T D^T S^T \\ &= (S^{-1})^T D ((S^{-1})^T)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

**2.2.** Let  $A$  be a square matrix with real entries, and let  $\lambda$  be its complex eigenvalue. Suppose  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$  is a corresponding eigenvector, i.e.,  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ . Prove that the  $\bar{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  and  $A\bar{\mathbf{v}} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{\mathbf{v}}$ , where  $\bar{\mathbf{v}} = (\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n)^T$  is the complex conjugate of the vector  $\mathbf{v}$ .

*Answer.* Let  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{a} + i\mathbf{b}$  where  $a_j = \operatorname{Re} v_j$  and  $b_j = \operatorname{Im} v_j$ . It follows that

$$A\mathbf{a} + iA\mathbf{b} = A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{a} + i\lambda\mathbf{b}$$

This combined with the fact that all entries in  $A$ ,  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  are real implies by matching corresponding parts that

$$A\mathbf{a} = \lambda\mathbf{a} \qquad A\mathbf{b} = \lambda\mathbf{b}$$

Therefore,

$$A\bar{\mathbf{v}} = A(\mathbf{a} - i\mathbf{b}) = A\mathbf{a} - iA\mathbf{b} = \lambda\mathbf{a} - i\lambda\mathbf{b} = \lambda(\mathbf{a} - i\mathbf{b}) = \lambda\bar{\mathbf{v}}$$

as desired. □

**2.3.** Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Find  $A^{2004}$  by diagonalizing  $A$ .

*Answer.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \det(A - \lambda I) \\ &= (4 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - 3 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 6\lambda + 5 \\ &= (\lambda - 5)(\lambda - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lambda = 5, 1$ . It follows by inspection that

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Consequently,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad S^{-1} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence

$$A = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{2004} &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 5^{2004} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 5^{2004} & 5^{2004} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 3 \cdot 5^{2004} & -3 + 3 \cdot 5^{2004} \\ -1 + 5^{2004} & 3 + 5^{2004} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

□

**2.4.** Construct a matrix  $A$  with eigenvalues 1 and 3 and corresponding eigenvectors  $(1, 2)^T$  and  $(1, 1)^T$ . Is such a matrix unique?

*Answer.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 6 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ 4 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $A'$  has eigenvalues 1, 3 with corresponding eigenvectors  $(1, 2)^T$  and  $(1, 1)^T$ . Then since the eigenvectors are linearly independent and form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , Theorem 2.1 implies that  $A'$  is diagonal with diagonal matrix equal to the middle matrix in the first line above and change of basis matrices equal to the other two in the first line above. Therefore,  $A = A'$ . □

2.6. Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 6 & -6 \\ 0 & 5 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

a) Find its eigenvalues. Is it possible to find the eigenvalues without computing?

*Answer.* Its eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 2, 5, 4$ , since this is an upper-triangular matrix and those are the diagonal entries.  $\square$

b) Is this matrix diagonalizable? Find out without computing anything.

*Answer.* Yes. Since the eigenvalues are all distinct and there are 3 for this  $3 \times 3$  matrix, Corollary 2.3 implies that  $A$  is diagonalizable.  $\square$

c) If the matrix is diagonalizable, diagonalize it.

*Answer.* If  $\lambda_1 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 5$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 4$ , then the corresponding eigenvectors are

$$x_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad x_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad x_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\square$

2.8. Find all square roots of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e., find all matrices  $B$  such that  $B^2 = A$ . (Hint: Finding a square root of a diagonal matrix is easy. You can leave your answer as a product.)

*Answer.* We have that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, we have four possibilities for  $B$ :

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ B_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

2.10. Let  $A$  be a  $5 \times 5$  matrix with 3 eigenvalues (not counting multiplicities). Suppose we know that one eigenspace is three-dimensional. Can you say if  $A$  is diagonalizable?

*Answer.* Yes, it is diagonalizable. Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  be the 3 eigenvalues of  $A$ , let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  be the eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ , and let  $\mathbf{v}_{3a}, \mathbf{v}_{3b}, \mathbf{v}_{3c}$  be a basis of the eigenspace corresponding to  $\lambda_3$ . Since the eigenspace of  $\lambda_3$  is three dimensional, we know that  $\mathbf{v}_{3a}, \mathbf{v}_{3b}, \mathbf{v}_{3c}$  is linearly independent. Additionally, we have by consecutive applications of Theorem 2.2 that  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_{3a}, \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_{3b}$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_{3c}$  are linearly independent lists. Hence  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_{3a}, \mathbf{v}_{3b}, \mathbf{v}_{3c}$  is a linearly independent list of length 5, so it must form a basis of  $\mathbb{F}^5$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1,  $A$  is diagonalizable.  $\square$

- 2.11.** Give an example of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix which cannot be diagonalized. After you construct the matrix, can you make it “generic,” so no special structure of the matrix can be seen?

*Answer.* Generalizing from the given example, we can show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not diagonalizable. Applying row operations can put the matrix in the more generic form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\square$

- 2.13.** Eigenvalues of a transposition:

- a) Consider the transformation  $T$  in the space  $M_{2 \times 2}$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices defined by  $T(A) = A^T$ . Find all its eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Is it possible to diagonalize this transformation? (Hint: While it is possible to write a matrix of this linear transformation in some basis, compute the characteristic polynomial, and so on, it is easier to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors directly from the definition.)

*Answer.* The symmetric matrices are eigenvectors of this transformation with eigenvalue 1. A basis of them would be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The antisymmetric matrices are eigenvectors of this transformation with eigenvalue  $-1$ . A basis of them would be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since these four matrices are linearly independent, there exists a basis of  $M_{2 \times 2}$  of eigenvectors of  $T$ . Therefore,  $T$  is diagonalizable.  $\square$

- b) Can you do the same problem but in the space of  $n \times n$  matrices?

*Answer.* Yes. A basis of the  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices includes all of the matrices that are zero everywhere except for one 1 in a diagonal entry, and all of the matrices that are zero everywhere except for two 1's in off-diagonal symmetric positions. There are  $\frac{n}{2}(n+1)$  of these basis “vectors.” A basis of the  $n \times n$  antisymmetric matrices includes all of the matrices that are zero everywhere except for a  $-1$  in an off-diagonal position in the upper triangle and a 1 in the symmetric position in the lower triangle. There are  $\frac{n}{2}(n-1)$  of these. Together, we have

$$\frac{n}{2}(n+1) + \frac{n}{2}(n-1) = n^2$$

basis “vectors,” meaning that we have a complete eigenbasis of  $M_{n \times n}$ .  $\square$



**2.14.** Prove that two subspaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are linearly independent if and only if  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

*Answer.* Suppose first that  $V_1, V_2$  are linearly independent. Let  $\mathbf{v}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{1n}$  be a basis of  $V_1$ , and let  $\mathbf{v}_{21}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2m}$  be a basis of  $V_2$ . Then by Lemma 2.7,  $\mathbf{v}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{1n}, \mathbf{v}_{21}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2m}$  is linearly independent. Now suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in V_1 \cap V_2$ . Since  $\mathbf{v} \in V_1$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = \alpha_{11}\mathbf{v}_{11} + \dots + \alpha_{1n}\mathbf{v}_{1n}$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{v} = \alpha_{21}\mathbf{v}_{21} + \dots + \alpha_{2m}\mathbf{v}_{2m}$ . Thus,

$$\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} = \alpha_{11}\mathbf{v}_{11} + \dots + \alpha_{1n}\mathbf{v}_{1n} - \alpha_{21}\mathbf{v}_{21} - \dots - \alpha_{2m}\mathbf{v}_{2m}$$

But since  $\mathbf{v}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{1n}, \mathbf{v}_{21}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{2m}$  is linearly independent, it follows that all the  $\alpha$ 's are 0. Therefore,  $\mathbf{v} = 0\mathbf{v}_{11} + \dots + 0\mathbf{v}_{1n} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $V_1 \cap V_2 \subset \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . The inclusion in the other direction is obvious, since  $V_1, V_2$  are subspaces.

Now suppose that  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . To prove that  $V_1, V_2$  are linearly independent, it will suffice to show that  $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$  where  $\mathbf{v}_i \in V_i$  for all  $i$  implies  $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}$  where  $\mathbf{v}_i \in V_i$  for all  $i$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $\mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Then we must have  $\mathbf{v}_2 = -\mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ . But by closure under scalar multiplication, this implies that  $-1 \cdot -\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 \in V_2$  since  $\mathbf{v}_2 \in V_2$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in V_1 \cap V_2$  as well, a contradiction. The proof is symmetric if we let  $\mathbf{v}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$  first.  $\square$