

# Chapter 4

## Introduction to Spectral Theory

### 4.1 Notes

- 10/1:
- **Difference equation:** Like a differential equation, but instead of writing a differentials, you write differences.
  - Suppose we want to solve  $x_{n+1} = Ax_n$  with  $x_0$  given.
    - You will find that  $x_n = A^n x_0$ .
    - This gets hard to compute, so we want to find a way to simplify the computation.
  - Thus, we want to diagonalize the matrix, and this concept is inherently linked to eigenvalues and eigenvectors.
    - If you can decompose the  $x_0$  into a linear combination of eigenvectors, then you can simplify the computation a lot:
$$x_n = \sum \alpha_i A^n v_i = \sum \alpha_i \lambda_i^n v_i$$
    - An  $n \times n$  matrix will have  $n$  eigenvalues. You want  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors, creating an eigenbasis.
  - To find eigenvalues and eigenvectors, we need to solve  $Ax = \lambda x$ , i.e.,  $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$ . Thus,  $\ker(A - \lambda I) \neq \{0\}$ , so  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ .
  - The eigenvalues of  $A$  are independent of the choice of basis of the domain of  $A$  or the range.
- 10/4:
- We need to know everything in Treil (2017).
    - We don't need to know the applications sections, but you should be interested.
  - **Spectral theory:** Decomposing a linear operator.
  - Let  $A : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator.  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is an eigenvalue if there exists  $x \in V$  nonzero such that  $Ax = \lambda x$ .
    - Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ .
    - The eigenvalues are the roots of the polynomial  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  in  $\lambda$ .
  - Things we want to do:
    - Given  $A$ , find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors (solve  $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$ ).

- In order to simplify  $A$ , make it a diagonal matrix:

$$A = S \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} S^{-1}$$

- Eigenvalues are independent of the choice of basis.

- From the book, we have that

$$[A]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}} = [S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}[B]_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}[S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$$

- It follows that

$$A - \lambda I = [S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}(B - \lambda I)[S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}^{-1}$$

so

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \det([S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}(B - \lambda I)[S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}^{-1}) = \det([S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}[S]_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(B - \lambda I)) = \det(B - \lambda I)$$

- If  $p(z) = (z - \lambda)^k q(z)$ , then  $k$  is the **algebraic multiplicity** of  $\lambda$ . The **geometric multiplicity** of  $\lambda$  is  $\dim \ker(A - \lambda I)$ .

- These terms are not always the same, but they are related.

- Diagonalization:

- Given  $A$  that corresponds to  $T : V \rightarrow V$ , can we find a basis of  $V$  in which the operator is a diagonal matrix?
- $A = SDS^{-1}$  iff there exists a basis of  $V$  consisting of the eigenvectors of  $A$ .
- Proves  $A^N = SD^N S^{-1}$  via  $A^2 = SDS^{-1}SDS^{-1} = SDIDS^{-1} = SD^2 S^{-1}$ .

- Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix over  $\mathbb{F}$ . If  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$  are distinct eigenvalues, then their eigenvectors are linearly independent.

- Prove with induction contradiction argument. Assume true for  $\mathbf{v}_{r-1}$ . Then

$$0 = (A - \lambda_r I)[\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_r] = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_r)\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + (\lambda_{r-1} - \lambda_r)\mathbf{v}_{r-1}$$

- Implies  $\lambda_r = \lambda_i$  for all  $i \in [r-1]$ , a contradiction.
- If  $A$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, then  $A$  is diagonalizable.

- If  $A : V \rightarrow V$  has  $n$  complex eigenvalues, then  $A$  is diagonalizable iff the algebraic multiplicity equals the geometric multiplicity for each eigenvalue.

- Goes through a sample diagonalization with  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- We have

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 2 \\ 8 & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

so

$$0 = \det(A - \lambda I) = (1 - \lambda)^2 - 16$$

- It follows that  $\lambda = 5, -3$ .
- This yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

by inspection.

- As another example, consider  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- Here, we have  $\lambda = 1 \pm 2i$ .

## 4.2 Chapter 4: Introduction to Spectral Theory

From Treil (2017).

10/24:

- **Spectrum** (of  $A$ ): The set of all eigenvalues of  $A$ . Denoted by  $\sigma(A)$ .
- Proposition 4.1.1: The geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue cannot exceed its algebraic multiplicity.
- Theorem 4.2.1: A matrix  $A$  (with values in  $\mathbb{F}$ ) admits a representation  $A = SDS^{-1}$  where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix and  $S$  is invertible if and only if there exists a basis of  $\mathbb{F}^n$  of eigenvectors of  $A$ . Moreover, in this case diagonal entries of  $D$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  and columns of  $S$  are the corresponding eigenvectors.
- Any operator on a complex vector space has  $n$  eigenvalues (counting multiplicities).
  - Think  $n$  necessary roots of the characteristic polynomial, or the necessary upper triangular representation.
- Theorem 4.2.8: Let an operator  $A : V \rightarrow V$  have exactly  $n = \dim V$  eigenvalues (counting multiplicities). Then  $A$  is diagonalizable if and only if for each eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , the dimension of the eigenspace  $\ker(A - \lambda I)$  (i.e., the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$ ) coincides with the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .
- Theorem 4.2.9: A real  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  admits a real factorization (i.e., a real representation  $A = SDS^{-1}$  where  $S$  and  $D$  are real matrices,  $D$  is diagonal, and  $S$  is invertible) if and only if it admits a complex factorization and all eigenvalues of  $A$  are real.
- Example of a nondiagonalizable matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $p(\lambda) = (1 - \lambda)^2$ , so  $\lambda = 1$  with algebraic multiplicity 2.
- However,  $\dim \ker(A - I) = 1$  since  $A - I$  has only one pivot, hence  $2 - 1 = 1$  free variable.
- Thus, apply Theorem 4.2.8.