

6 Basic Topology

From Rudin (1976).

Chapter 2

- 11/8: 1. Prove that the empty set is a subset of every set.

Proof. Let A be a set. Then $x \in A$ for all $x \in \emptyset$ is vacuously true. Thus, $\emptyset \subset A$. \square

2. A complex number z is said to be **algebraic** if there are integers a_0, \dots, a_n , not all zero, such that

$$a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n = 0$$

Prove that the set of all algebraic numbers is countable. (Hint: For every positive integer N , there are only finitely many equations with $n + |a_0| + |a_1| + \dots + |a_n| = N$.)

Proof. Define a family of sets $\{A_N\}$ such that each A_N is the set of all complex zeroes of all polynomials $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^{n-k}$ with integer coefficients a_0, \dots, a_n , not all zero, satisfying the equation $n + |a_0| + \dots + |a_n| = N$. Symbolically, let each

$$A_N = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^{n-k} = 0, a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists a_i : a_i \neq 0, n + |a_0| + \dots + |a_n| = N\}$$

Since there are only finitely many equations with $n + |a_0| + \dots + |a_n| = N$ for each N by the hint, there are only finitely many corresponding polynomials $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^{n-k}$ for each N . By the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, every polynomial p has at most $\deg p$ distinct solutions. Thus, since each A_N is the union of finitely many finite sets, each A_N is finite.

Consider the set $A = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} A_N$. Since every algebraic number is a zero of a polynomial with integer coefficients, not all zero, whose coefficients' absolute values and degree add up to *some* positive integer N , A is the set of all algebraic numbers. Moreover, as the union of an at most countable number of at most countable sets, the Corollary to Theorem 2.12 implies that A is at most countable. Additionally, since the set of solutions to $a_0 z + a_1 = 0$ for $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a_0 \neq 0$ is both a subset of the algebraic numbers and equal to \mathbb{Q} (a countable set), A is at least countable. Therefore, A is countable, as desired. \square

3. Prove that there exist real numbers which are not algebraic.

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that every real number is algebraic. Then if A is the set of all complex algebraic numbers, $\mathbb{R} \subset A$. Thus, since \mathbb{R} is infinite and A is countable (by Problem 2.2), Theorem 2.8 implies that \mathbb{R} is countable, a contradiction. \square

4. Is the set of all irrational real numbers countable?

Proof. No.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is countable. Then since $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and \mathbb{Q} are at most countable, the Corollary to Theorem 2.12 implies that $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \cup \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{R}$ is at most countable, contradicting the fact that \mathbb{R} is uncountable. \square

5. Construct a bounded set of real numbers with exactly three limit points.

Proof. Let $A = \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \{1/n + i : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then A has limit points at 0, 1, 2 and nowhere else. \square

6. Let E' be the set of all limit points of a set E . Prove that E' is closed. Prove that E and \bar{E} have the same limit points (recall that $\bar{E} = E \cup E'$). Do E and E' always have the same limit points?

Proof. To prove that E' is closed, it will suffice to show that it contains all of its limit points. Let p be an arbitrary limit point of E' . To show that $p \in E'$, it will suffice to verify that p is a limit point of E , i.e., that every neighborhood of p intersects E at a point other than p . Let $N_r(p)$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of p . Since p is a limit point of E' , $N_r(p) \cap E'$ is infinite (2.20). Thus, we can choose a point $x \in N_r(p) \cap E'$ such that $x \neq p$. It follows that $x \in E'$, so it must be that every neighborhood of x has infinite intersection with E (2.20). In particular, since $N_r(p)$ is open and $x \in N_r(p)$, x is an interior point of $N_r(p)$, so we can choose a neighborhood N of x such that $N \subset N_r(p)$. The last two statements combined imply that $N \cap E$ is infinite. In particular, since $N \cap E \subset N \subset N_r(p)$, there exist infinitely many points of E in $N_r(p)$; choosing any one of these that is not equal to p completes the proof.

To prove that E and \bar{E} have the same limit points, it will suffice to show that every limit point of E is a limit point of \bar{E} and that every limit point of \bar{E} is a limit point of E . The latter was accomplished by the above. Thus, let p be an arbitrary limit point of E . To prove that p is a limit point of \bar{E} , it will suffice to show that every neighborhood of p intersects \bar{E} at some point other than p . Consider an arbitrary neighborhood $N_r(p)$ of p . Since p is a limit point of E , $N_r(p) \cap (E \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} N_r(p) \cap (\bar{E} \setminus \{p\}) &= N_r(p) \cap [(E \cup E') \setminus \{p\}] \\ &= N_r(p) \cap [(E \setminus \{p\}) \cup (E' \setminus \{p\})] \\ &= [N_r(p) \cap (E \setminus \{p\})] \cup [N_r(p) \cap (E' \setminus \{p\})] \\ &\supset N_r(p) \cap (E \setminus \{p\}) \\ &\neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

No, E and E' do not always have the same limit points. Let $E = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $E' = \{0\}$, but since E' is finite, $E'' = \emptyset$. \square

7. Let A_1, A_2, \dots be subsets of a metric space.

(a) If $B_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$, prove that $\bar{B}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{A}_i$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary.

Suppose first that $x \in \bar{B}_n$. We divide into two cases ($x \in B_n$ and $x \in B'_n$). If $x \in B_n$, then $x \in A_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that $x \in A_i \cup A'_i = \bar{A}_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{A}_i$, as desired. On the other hand, if $x \in B'_n$, then $N_r(x) \cap (B_n \setminus \{p\}) \neq \emptyset$ for every $r > 0$. Now suppose for the sake of contradiction that $x \notin \bar{A}_i$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exist neighborhoods $N_{r_1}(x), \dots, N_{r_n}(x)$ of x such that no $N_{r_i}(x)$ contains a point of A_i other than p . Let $0 < r_j \leq r_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n N_{r_j}(x) \cap (A_i \setminus \{p\}) \\ &= N_{r_j}(x) \cap \left[\bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \setminus \{p\}) \right] \\ &= N_{r_j}(x) \cap \left[\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) \setminus \{p\} \right] \\ &= N_{r_j}(x) \cap [B_n \setminus \{p\}] \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $x \in \bar{A}_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that $x \in A_i \cup A'_i = \bar{A}_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{A}_i$, as desired.

Now suppose that $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{A}_i$. Then $x \in \bar{A}_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, n$. We divide into two cases ($x \in A_i$ and $x \in A'_i$). If $x \in A_i$, then $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = B_n \subset B_n \cup B'_n = \bar{B}_n$, as desired. On the

other hand, if $x \in A'_i$, then every neighborhood of x contains a point $q \neq x$ of A_i . But since $A_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = B_n$, it follows that every neighborhood of x contains a point $q \neq x$ of B_n . Thus, $x \in B'_n \subset B_n \cup B'_n = \bar{B}_n$, as desired. \square

- (b) If $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, prove that $\bar{B} \supset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_i$. Show, by an example, that this inclusion can be proper.

Proof. Let $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_i$ be arbitrary. Then $x \in \bar{A}_i$ for some i . We divide into two cases ($x \in A_i$ and $x \in A'_i$). If $x \in A_i$, then $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = B \subset B \cup B' = \bar{B}$, as desired. On the other hand, if $x \in A'_i$, then every neighborhood of x contains a point $q \neq x$ of A_i . But since $A_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = B$, it follows that every neighborhood of x contains a point $q \neq x$ of B . Thus, $x \in B' \subset B \cup B' = \bar{B}$, as desired.

Define the family of sets $\{A_n\}$ by $A_n = \{1/n\}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then since each A_n is finite, each $\bar{A}_n = \emptyset$, so $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_i = \emptyset$. However, $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ has zero as a limit point, so

$$\bar{B} \supset \{0\} \not\subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{A}_i$$

as desired. \square

8. Is every point of every open set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ a limit point of E ? Answer the same question for closed sets in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Proof. Yes, every point of every open set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a limit point of E . Let E be an arbitrary open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $x \in E$ be arbitrary. Since $x \in E$ open, x is an interior point of E , meaning that there exists $N_r(x) \subset E$. Now to prove that x is a limit point of E , it will suffice to show that every neighborhood of x contains a point $q \neq x$ of E . Let $N_s(x)$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of x . If $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $m = \min(r, s)$, choose $q = (x_1 + m/2, x_2 + m/2)$. Since $r, s > 0$ by definition, $q \neq x$. Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} |q - x|^2 &= (x_1 + m/2 - x_1)^2 + (x_2 + m/2 - x_2)^2 \\ &= m^2/2 \\ &< m^2 \end{aligned}$$

Taking square roots reveals that $|q - x| < r$ and $|q - x| < s$. It follows that $q \in N_r(x) \subset E$ and $q \in N_s(x)$, as desired.

No, every point of every closed set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is not a limit point of E . Let E be a nonempty finite set. Then by the table on Rudin (1976, p. 33), E is closed but not perfect, implying that E is a closed set not every point of which is a limit point of it (in fact, the fact that not every point of every closed set is a limit point of E is the whole motivation for defining perfect sets!). \square

9. Let E° denote the set of all interior points of a set E (see Definition 2.18e; E° is called the **interior** of E).

- (a) Prove that E° is always open.

Proof. Let $x \in E^\circ$ be arbitrary. Then since x is an interior point of E , there exists a neighborhood $N(x)$ of x such that $N(x) \subset E$. By Theorem 2.19, $N(x)$ is open. It follows from Theorem 2.24 that $\bigcup_{x \in E^\circ} N(x)$ is open. We now prove that $E^\circ = \bigcup_{x \in E^\circ} N(x)$. The inclusion in one direction is obvious. In the other, let $y \in \bigcup_{x \in E^\circ} N(x)$. Then $y \in N(x)$ for some x . It follows since each $N(x)$ is open that there exists a neighborhood N of y such that $N \subset N(x)$. But since $N(x) \subset E$ by definition, we have both that $y \in E$ and that $N \subset E$. Thus, y is an interior point of E , so $y \in E^\circ$, as desired. \square

- (b) Prove that E is open if and only if $E^\circ = E$.

Proof. Suppose first that E is open. Let $x \in E^\circ$ be arbitrary. Then since x is an interior point of E , x is naturally a point of E . On the other hand, let $x \in E$. Then since E is open, x is an interior point of E , so $x \in E^\circ$, as desired.

Now suppose that $E^\circ = E$. Then since E° is open by part (a), E is open. \square

- (c) If $G \subset E$ and G is open, prove that $G \subset E^\circ$.

Proof. Let $x \in G$ be arbitrary. Since G is open, there exists a neighborhood N of x such that $N \subset G$. But since $G \subset E$, $N \subset E$. Thus, x is an interior point of E , so $x \in E^\circ$, as desired. \square

- (d) Prove that the complement of E° is the closure of the complement of E .

Proof. Let $x \in (E^\circ)^c$. Then $x \notin E^\circ$. We divide into two cases ($x \notin E$ and $x \in E$). If $x \notin E$, then $x \in E^c$. It follows that $x \in E^c \cup (E^c)' = \overline{E^c}$, as desired. On the other hand, if $x \in E$ (but $x \notin E^\circ$), then there exists no neighborhood of x that is a subset of E . In other words, every neighborhood of x contains some point of E^c . This combined with the fact that $x \notin E^c$ implies that $x \in (E^c)'$. Therefore, $x \in E^c \cup (E^c)' = \overline{E^c}$, as desired.

Let $x \in \overline{E^c}$. We divide into two cases ($x \in E^c$ and $x \in (E^c)'$). If $x \in E^c$, then $x \notin E$. It follows that $x \notin E^\circ \subset E$. Therefore, $x \in (E^\circ)^c$, as desired. On the other hand, if $x \in (E^c)'$, then every neighborhood of x contains a point of E^c . This combined with the fact that $x \in E$ ($x \notin E^c$ in this case) implies that no neighborhood N of x exists such that $N \subset E$. Therefore, x is not an interior point of E , i.e., $x \notin E^\circ$; it follows that $x \in (E^\circ)^c$, as desired. \square

- (e) Do E and \bar{E} always have the same interiors?

Proof. No.

Consider $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Since \mathbb{Q} is disconnected at every point, $\mathbb{Q}^\circ = \emptyset$ but $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})^\circ = \mathbb{R}^\circ = \mathbb{R}$. \square

- (f) Do E and E° always have the same closures?

Proof. No.

Consider $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$. As before, we have that $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$ while $\bar{\mathbb{Q}^\circ} = \bar{\emptyset} = \emptyset$. \square

10. Let X be an infinite set. For $p \in X$ and $q \in X$, define

$$d(p, q) = \begin{cases} 1 & p \neq q \\ 0 & p = q \end{cases}$$

Prove that this is a metric. Which subsets of the resulting metric space are open? Which are closed? Which are compact?

Proof. To prove that d is a metric, it will suffice to show that $d(p, q) > 0$ if $p \neq q$, $d(p, p) = 0$, $d(p, q) = d(q, p)$, and $d(p, q) \leq d(p, r) + d(r, q)$ for any $r \in X$. Let's begin. Let $p \neq q$. Then by the definition of d , $d(p, q) = 1 > 0$, as desired. Let $p \in X$. Then by the definition of d , $d(p, p) = 0$, as desired. Let $p, q \in X$. We divide into two cases ($p = q$ and $p \neq q$). If $p = q$, then $d(p, q) = 0 = d(q, p)$. If $p \neq q$, then $d(p, q) = 1 = d(q, p)$, as desired. Let $p, q, r \in X$. We divide into two cases ($p = q$ and $p \neq q$). If $p = q$, then $d(p, q) = 0$ must be less than the sum of two numbers that are either 0 or 1. If $p \neq q$, then $d(p, q) = 1$. However, since r cannot equal the distinct p and q , at least one of $d(p, r)$ and $d(r, q)$ equals 1, so the inequality holds here, too, as desired.

Every subset is open. Let $E \subset X$, and let $x \in E$. Then by the definition of d , $N_1(x) = \{y \in X : d(y, x) < 1\} = \{x\} \subset E$. Thus, every point of E is an interior point, as desired.

Every subset is closed. Let $E \subset X$. By the previous result, E^c is open. Thus, by Theorem 2.23, E is closed.

Only finite sets are compact. We know that every finite set is compact (choose an open cover $\{G_\alpha\}$ of E finite; map every $x \in E$ to some G_α that contains it; choose the range of this map as the finite subcover). If E is infinite, however, choose the open cover $\{\{x\}\}_{x \in E}$. We know that all of these sets are open (because every set is open). Additionally, since each one only contains one element of E , we need all infinitely many of them to cover E . Thus, this infinite E is not compact. \square

11. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^1$, define

$$\begin{aligned}d_1(x, y) &= (x - y)^2 \\d_2(x, y) &= \sqrt{|x - y|} \\d_3(x, y) &= |x^2 - y^2| \\d_4(x, y) &= |x - 2y| \\d_5(x, y) &= \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|}\end{aligned}$$

Determine, for each of these, whether it is a metric or not.

Proof. d_1 is not a metric. Let $x = 2$, $y = 0$, $z = 1$. Then

$$d_1(2, 0) = (2 - 0)^2 = 4 > 2 = (2 - 1)^2 + (1 - 0)^2 = d_1(2, 1) + d_1(1, 0)$$

so d_1 does not obey the triangle inequality.

d_2 is a metric. If $x \neq y$, then $x - y \neq 0$, so $d_2(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|} > 0$, as desired. For each x , $d_2(x, x) = \sqrt{|x - x|} = \sqrt{0} = 0$, as desired. For all x, y , $d_2(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|} = \sqrt{|y - x|} = d_2(y, x)$, as desired. For all x, y, z ,

$$\begin{aligned}d_2(x, y) &= \sqrt{|x - y|} \\&\leq \sqrt{|x - z| + |z - y|} \\&\leq \sqrt{|x - z|} + \sqrt{|z - y|} \\&= d_2(x, z) + d_2(z, y)\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

d_3 is not a metric. Let $x = 1$, $y = -1$. Then $x \neq y$, but

$$d_3(1, -1) = |1^2 - (-1)^2| = 0$$

d_4 is not a metric. Let $x = 2$, $y = 1$. Then $x \neq y$, but

$$d_4(2, 1) = |2 - 2(1)| = 0$$

d_5 is a metric. If $x \neq y$, then $x - y \neq 0$, so $d_5(x, y) = |x - y|/(1 + |x - y|) > 0$, as desired. For each x , $d_5(x, x) = |x - x|/(1 + |x - x|) = 0$, as desired. For all x, y , $d_5(x, y) = |x - y|/(1 + |x - y|) = |y - x|/(1 + |y - x|) = d_5(y, x)$. For all x, y, z ,

$$\begin{aligned}d(x, y) &= \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|} \\&\leq \frac{|x - z| + |z - y|}{1 + |x - z| + |z - y|} \\&= \frac{|x - z|}{1 + |x - z| + |z - y|} + \frac{|z - y|}{1 + |x - z| + |z - y|} \\&\leq \frac{|x - z|}{1 + |x - z|} + \frac{|z - y|}{1 + |z - y|} \\&= d(x, z) + d(z, y)\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

12. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ consist of 0 and the numbers $1/n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Prove that K is compact directly from the definition (without using the Heine-Borel theorem).

Proof. Let $\{G_\alpha\}$ be an arbitrary open cover of K . Then $0 \in G_\alpha$ for some α . Since G_α is open, 0 is an interior point of it, so there exists a neighborhood $N_r(0)$ such that $N_r(0) \subset G_\alpha$. Since $r > 0$ by definition, if we let $x = r$ and $y = 1$, the Archimedean property implies there exists a positive integer m such that $mr > 1$. It follows that $1/m < r$, so every $1/n$ such that $n \geq m$ is an element of $N_r(0) \subset G_\alpha$. Since G_α contains 0 and infinitely many of the $1/n$, let this G_α be part of our finite subcover. For the remaining entries in our finite subcover, choose for each of the finitely many $1/n$ such that $n < m$ a G_β that contains it. \square

13. Construct a compact set of real numbers whose limit points form a countable set.

Proof. Consider the family of sets $\{K_i\}$ defined by

$$K_i = \{1/i\} \cup \{1/i + 1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = +\infty$. Let

$$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{+\infty} K_i$$

K is bounded with lower bound $0 \in K_\infty$ and upper bound $2 = 1/1 + 1/1 \in K_1$. Additionally, K is closed with limit points $K' = K_\infty$. Thus, if we define $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow K'$ by

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 1 \\ \frac{1}{n-1} & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

we will have a bijection between the natural number and K' , proving that K' is countable, as desired. \square

14. Give an example of an open cover of the segment $(0, 1)$ which has no finite subcover.

Proof. Choose $\{G_i\}_{i=3}^\infty$ defined by

$$G_i = \left(\frac{1}{i}, \frac{1}{i-2}\right)$$

Every segment is open in \mathbb{R} . Additionally, $\{G_i\}$ is a cover since if $x \in (0, 1)$, then we can modify the Archimedean property to choose the smallest integer n such that $1/n < x$. It follows that $x \leq \frac{1}{n-1} < \frac{1}{n-2}$, so $x \in (1/n, 1/(n-2))$, as desired. Lastly, $\{G_i\}$ has no finite subcover: if it did, we could use the betweenness of the reals to choose an $x < 1/i$ where $(1/i, 1/(i-2))$ is the smallest segment in the finite subcover. It would follow that $x \in (0, 1)$ but x is not an element of any set in the cover, a contradiction. \square

15. Show that Theorem 2.36 and its Corollary become false (in \mathbb{R}^1 , for example) if the word “compact” is replaced by “closed” or by “bounded.”

Proof. Suppose first that “compact” is replaced by “closed.” Consider the collection of sets $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by

$$K_n = n\mathbb{N}$$

for each n , where by $n\mathbb{N}$ we mean all the natural number multiples of n (e.g., $3\mathbb{N} = \{3, 6, 9, \dots\}$). Clearly any finite collection of these sets will intersect at the least common multiple of the relevant n 's. However, the intersection of all such sets will be the empty set since for any possible natural number n in the intersection, $n \notin (n+1)\mathbb{N} = K_{n+1}$.

Now suppose that “compact” is replaced by “bounded.” Consider the collection of sets $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by

$$K_n = (0, 1/n)$$

for each n . This family of sets satisfies the constraints of both the modified Theorem 2.36 and its Corollary. However, $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n = \emptyset$ since by the Archimedean principle, we can always find a $1/n$ smaller than any x in any of the sets, and thus a set in the intersection that does not contain said x . \square