

5 Definiteness, Dual Spaces, and Advanced Spectral Theory

From Treil (2017).

Chapter 7

- 11/1: 4.1. Using Sylvester's Criterion of Positivity, check if the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 \\ -1 & 4 & -2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

are positive definite or not. Are the matrices $-A$, A^3 , A^{-1} , $A + B^{-1}$, $A + B$, and $A - B$ positive definite?

- 4.2. True or false:

- a) If A is positive definite, then A^5 is positive definite.
- b) If A is negative definite, then A^8 is negative definite.
- c) If A is negative definite, then A^{12} is positive definite.
- d) If A is positive definite and B is negative semidefinite, then $A - B$ is positive definite.
- e) If A is indefinite, and B is positive definite, then $A + B$ is indefinite.

- 4.3. Let A be a 2×2 Hermitian matrix such that $a_{1,1} > 0$, $\det A \geq 0$. Prove that A is positive semidefinite.

- 4.4. Find a real symmetric $n \times n$ matrix such that $\det A_k \geq 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, but the matrix A is not positive semidefinite. Try to find an example for the minimal possible n .

- 4.5. Let A be an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix such that $\det A_k > 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $\det A \geq 0$. Prove that A is positive semidefinite.

- 4.6. Find a real symmetric 3×3 matrix A such that $a_{1,1} > 0$, $\det A_k \geq 0$ for $k = 2, 3$, but the matrix A is not positive semidefinite.

Chapter 8

- 1.1. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ be a system of vectors in X such that there exists a system $\mathbf{v}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_r$ of linear functionals such that

$$\mathbf{v}'_k(\mathbf{v}_j) = \delta_{jk}$$

- a) Show that the system $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ is linearly independent.
- b) Show that if the system $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ is not generating, then the "biorthogonal" system $\mathbf{v}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_r$ is not unique. (Hint: Probably the easiest way to prove that is to complete the system $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ to a basis [see Proposition 2.5.4].)

- 3.1. Prove that if for linear transformations $T, T_1 : X \rightarrow Y$

$$\langle T\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}' \rangle = \langle T_1\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}' \rangle$$

for all $x \in X$ and for all $\mathbf{y}' \in Y'$, then $T = T_1$. (Hint: Probably one of the easiest ways of proving this is to use Lemma 8.1.3.)

- 3.2. Combine the Riesz Representation Theorem (Theorem 8.2.1) with the reasoning in Section 3.1.3 above to present a coordinate-free definition of the Hermitian adjoint of an operator in an inner product space.

- 3.3. Let $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ be a basis in X and let $\mathbf{v}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_n$ be its dual basis. Let $E = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$ for $r < n$. Prove that $E^\perp = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}'_{r+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}'_n\}$. (This problem gives a way to prove Proposition 8.3.6.)

Chapter 9

- 1.1.** (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem for diagonalizable matrices). As discussed in Section 9.1, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem states that if A is a square matrix and

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \lambda^k$$

is its characteristic polynomial, then $p(A) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k A^k = \mathbf{0}$ (assuming that by definition, $A^0 = I$). Prove this theorem for the special case when A is similar to a diagonal matrix, i.e., $A = SDS^{-1}$. (Hint: If $D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ and p is any polynomial, can you compute $p(D)$? What about $p(A)$?)

- 2.1.** An operator A is called **nilpotent** if $A^k = \mathbf{0}$ for some k . Prove that if A is nilpotent, then $\sigma(A) = \{0\}$ (i.e., that 0 is the only eigenvalue of A). Can you do it without using the spectral mapping theorem?