

8 Algebras

3/3: **8.1.** Let M_1, M_2 be $A[X]$ -modules for an arbitrary ring A , and let $T_i \in \text{End}_A(M_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be defined by $T_i(m) = Xm$ for all $m \in M_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). Let $S : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ be a function.

(i) Prove that S is an $A[X]$ -module homomorphism iff. . .

(a) S is an A -module homomorphism;

(b) $T_2S = ST_1$.

Proof. Suppose first that S is an $A[X]$ -module homomorphism. S is an A -module homomorphism by restriction to A ; we already have the group homomorphism condition on the abelian group and the commutativity with scalars as a subset of $A[X]$. Let $m \in M_1$ be arbitrary. Then

$$T_2S(m) = T(Sm) = XS(m) = S(Xm) = ST_1(m)$$

Now suppose that conditions (a)-(b) hold. To prove that S is an $A[X]$ -module homomorphism, it will suffice to show that S is a group homomorphism and commutes with scalar multiplication. Just check axioms?? \square

(ii) Prove that S is an $A[X]$ -module isomorphism iff. . .

(a) S is an A -module isomorphism;

(b) $T_2 = ST_1S^{-1}$.

Proof. Suppose first that S is an $A[X]$ -module isomorphism. S is an A -module isomorphism by restriction to A as above. Let $m \in M_2$ be arbitrary. Then

$$ST_1S^{-1}m = S(T_1(S^{-1}m)) = S(XS^{-1}(m)) = S(S^{-1}(Xm)) = Xm = T_2m$$

Now suppose that conditions (a)-(b) hold. To prove that S is an $A[X]$ -module isomorphism, it will suffice to show that S is a group homomorphism and commutes with scalar multiplication. Just check axioms?? \square

8.2. Consider (M, T) with $M = A^n$ and $T(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. Prove that the corresponding $A[X]$ -module is isomorphic to $A[X]/(X^n)$.

Proof. Lecture 3.1: $A[X]/(X^n) \cong \{p \in A[X] : \deg(p) < n\} \cong (A^n, +)$ as groups. The group isomorphism is defined like f below. (M, T) is the $A[X]$ -module with action

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\ell} b_n X^n \right) (a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\ell} b_n T^n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

It follows from the definition that $T^i = 0$ for $i \geq n$. Define the isomorphism $f : M \rightarrow A[X]/(X^n)$ by $(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}) \mapsto a_0 + a_1X + \dots + a_{n-1}X^{n-1}$. Turn $A[X]/(X^n)$ into an $A[X]$ -module under the action of left multiplication by elements of $A[X]$. Does f commute with scalar multiplication? Let $b \in A[X]$ be arbitrary. Then

$$f(ba) = f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} b_i T^i(a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})\right) = f\left(\sum_{p=0}^0 a_p b_{0-p}, \sum_{p=0}^1 a_p b_{1-p}, \dots, \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} a_p b_{n-1-p}\right)$$

\square

8.3. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation. Consider the pair (V, T) . Why is V a finitely generated torsion $F[X]$ -module?

8.4. $T : V \rightarrow V$ is diagonalizable if there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of V consisting of eigenvectors of T , i.e., $Te_i = a_i e_i$ for some $a_i \in F$.

- (i) What is the minimal polynomial of T ?

Proof. $\ker(\rho)$ is the set of all polynomials in $F[X]$, the corresponding linear transformations of which annihilate V . Consider the $F[X]$ -module (V, T) . We know that $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F[X]/(X - a_i)$. Thus, a basis of the $F[X]$ -module V is the e_i 's. We want to construct a linear transformation that is a polynomial in T of minimal degree such that $p(T)v = 0$ for an arbitrary $v \in V$. Let $f_1 e_1 + \cdots + f_n e_n$ be arbitrary. The smallest polynomial that sends e_i to 0 is $(X - e_i)$. The smallest polynomial that sends e_i and e_j to zero is $(X - e_i)(X - e_j)$. Suppose one of smaller degree did. Then it's a monomial $X - a$ that's monic up to units. But unless $X - a \in (X - a_i), (X - a_j)$, we have no bueno. Thus, $(X - a_i) \mid X - a$ and $(X - a_j) \mid X - a$, so we have a contradiction. Inducting, we get that the minimal polynomial is $\prod_{i=1}^n (X - a_i)$. \square

- (ii) What condition on a_1, \dots, a_n is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a cyclic vector for T ?

Proof. Some mutual divisibility relation? Distinct would be sufficient, right? The minimal polynomial is of degree n ?

Suppose a cyclic vector $v = f_1 e_1 + \cdots + f_n e_n$ exists. Then $Tv = f_1 a_1 e_1 + \cdots + f_n a_n e_n$.

A necessary and sufficient condition is that

$$\boxed{\text{The } a_1, \dots, a_n \text{ are all distinct.}}$$

Suppose first that the above condition holds. Let $v = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$. Then $Tv = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n e_n$. Suppose that $Tv = bv$ for some $b \in F$. Then $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = b$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $b_1 v + b_2 Tv = 0$. Then $b_1 + b_2 a_i = 0$ for all i , so $a_i = -b_1/b_2$. I.e., the polynomial has at most one solution. Inducting, such polynomials have at most so many solutions. Done.

Now suppose inductively that $T^k v = b_1 v + b_2 Tv + \cdots + b_{k-1} T^{k-1} v$ for some $k < n$. Then

$$a_1^k f_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n^k f_n e_n = b_1$$

Now suppose that $v = f_1 e_1 + \cdots + f_n e_n$ is a cyclic vector for T . Then $Tv \neq bv$ for any $b \in F$. In particular, $a_1 f_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_n f_n e_n \neq b f_1 e_1 + \cdots + b f_n e_n$, so at least two of the a_i 's are distinct. $b_0 v + b_1 Tv \neq 0$ for any not-both-zero b_0, b_1 . Then $(b_0 + b_1 a_1) f_1 e_1 + \cdots + (b_0 + b_1 a_n) f_n e_n \neq 0$. Choose b_0, b_1 such that $b_0 + b_1 a_1 = 0$. Then there is some i such that $b_0 + b_1 a_i \neq 0$; this a_i must be distinct. Scaling up, choose b_0, \dots, b_{n-1} such that a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} go to zero, i.e., such that $b_0 + \cdots + b_{n-1} X^{n-1} = (X - a_1) \cdots (X - a_{n-1})$. Then a_n is distinct. We can build up our sequence WLOG so that each new a_i is a_2 , for instance, then a_3 , then so on. \square

- 8.5.** Let V be an n -dimensional vector space. Let $T \in \text{End}_F(V)$. Let $A = \{S \in \text{End}_F(V) : ST = TS\}$. *Hint:* We may regard V as an $F[X]$ -module. Identify A with $\text{End}_{F[X]}(V)$. And then use the rational canonical from.

- (i) Show that the dimension of A (as an F -vector space) is greater than or equal to n .

Proof. The scalar matrices are certainly a subspace.

How about the subspace of diagonal matrices? \square

- (ii) Show that the equality is attained iff T has a cyclic vector.

- 8.6.** Let $f \in R[X]$ be a monic polynomial of degree n . Let M be a free R -module with basis e_1, \dots, e_n .

- (i) Show that there is a unique R -module homomorphism $T : M \rightarrow M$ such that $T(e_i) = e_{i+1}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $f(T)e_1 = 0$.
- (ii) Show that $f(T)v = 0$ for all $v \in M$.
- (iii) Let $b \in R$. Define $S : M \rightarrow M$ by $S(v) = bv - Tv$ for all $v \in M$. Compute $\Lambda^k(S)e_1 \cdots e_k$. for all $k = 1, \dots, n$ inductively and deduce that $\det(S) = f(b)$.

8.7. Let V be a vector space over a field F . Let $v_1, \dots, v_r \in V$.

- (i) Prove that if v_1, \dots, v_r are linearly dependent, then $v_1 \cdots v_r \in \Lambda^r(V)$ equals zero.
- (ii) Prove that if v_1, \dots, v_r are linearly independent, then $v_1 \cdots v_r \in \Lambda^r(V)$ is nonzero.
- (iii) Prove that if W is a linear subspace of V and w_1, \dots, w_r is a basis of W , then the one-dimensional subspace $Fw_1 \cdots w_r$ of $\Lambda^r(V)$ depends only on W , i.e., it does not depend on the choice of the basis w_1, \dots, w_r . It is conventional to refer to this one dimensional subspace as $\det(W) \subset \Lambda^r(V)$.
- (iv) If W_1, W_2 are both r -dimensional subspaces of V , and if the one-dimensional subspaces $\det(W_1)$ and $\det(W_2)$ of $\Lambda^r(V)$ are equal to each other, show that $W_1 = W_2$.

8.8. Let V be a vector space of dimension 4, and let $\omega \in \Lambda^2(V)$ be nonzero. Prove that $\omega^2 = 0$ iff $F\omega = \det(W)$ for a two-dimensional subspace $W \subset V$.

8.9. Prove that the characteristic polynomial is monic of degree n . Prove that the coefficient of λ^{n-1} in the characteristic polynomial of L is the negative of the trace of L , which is defined to be the sum of the diagonal terms of the matrix that represents L when a basis e_1, \dots, e_n is specified.

8.10. Deduce the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for fields from Problem 8.6 and the fact that every torsion $F[X]$ -module is the direct sum of cyclic modules.

- 8.11.**
- (i) Show that the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for fields implies the theorem for integral domains as well.
 - (ii) Show that the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_{n^2}]$ implies the theorem for all $L : R^n \rightarrow R^n$ where R is a commutative ring.