MATH 25800 (Honors Basic Algebra II) Notes

Steven Labalme

January 6, 2023

Weeks

Week 1

???

1.1 Rings, Subrings, and Ring Homomorphisms

1/4:
- Intro to the course.
- What will be covered: Most of Chapters 7-12 in Dummit and Foote (2004).
  - Mostly rings, a bit of modules.
    - Modules tend to get more complicated.
  - The topics covered in class will all be in the book, but not necessarily in the same order.
  - Some of Nori's definitions will be different from those used in the book.
    - Different enough, in fact, to get us the wrong answers in PSet and Exam questions.
    - We should use his, though.
    - He diverges from the book because his is the mathematical literature standard.
    - Three main differences: Definition of a ring, subring, and ring homomorphism.
- Homework will be due every Wednesday.
  - The first will be due next week (on Wednesday, 1/11).
  - Rings, subrings, and ring homomorphisms, only, are needed for the first HW.
- Grading breakdown.
  - HW (30%).
  - Midterm (30%) — third or fourth week.
  - Final (40%).
- Office hours for Nori in Eckhart 310.
  - M (3:00-4:30).
  - Tu (3:30-5:00).
  - Th (3:00-4:30).
- Callum is our TA; Ray is for the other section. Their OH are TBA.
- All important course info will be in Files on Canvas.
- There will be course notes provided for the course.
- If we think something Nori writes down looks suspicious, feel free to ask!

- We now start the course content.
- **Ring**[1]: A triple $(R, +, \times)$ comprising a set R equipped with binary operations $+$ and $\times$ that satisfies the following three properties.
  (i) $(R, +)$ is an abelian group.
  (ii) $(R, \times)$ is associative, i.e.,
  $$a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c$$
  for all $a, b, c \in R$.
  (iii) The left and right distributive laws hold, i.e.,
  $$a \times (b + c) = (a \times b) + (a \times c) \qquad (b + c) \times a = (b \times a) + (c \times a)$$
  for all $a, b, c \in R$.
- Misc comments.
  - The parentheses on the RHSs in (iii) indicate the "standard" order of operations.
  - We still often drop the $\times$ in favor of $a \cdot b$ or simply ab.
  - We haven't postulated multiplicative inverses. That makes things more tricky :)
- We define left- and right-multiplication functions for every element $a \in R$.
  - These are denoted $l_a : R \to R$ and $r_a : R \to R$. In particular,
  $$l_a(b) = a \times b \qquad r_a(b) = b \times a$$
  for all $b \in R$.
  - The statement "l_a, r_a are group homomorphisms[2] from $(R, +)$ to itself, i.e.,
  $$l_a(b + c) = l_a(b) + l_a(c)$$
  for all $b, c \in R$" is equivalent to (iii).
- **Additive identity** (of R): The unique element of R that satisfies the following constraint. *Denoted by* $\mathbf{0}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$.
  $$0_R + a = a + 0_R = a$$
  for all $a \in R$.
  - The existence and uniqueness of 0_R follows from property (i) of rings (groups must have an identity element, which in this case is the *additive* identity since it corresponds to the addition operation).
- Similarly, we know that unique additive inverses exist for all $a \in R$. We denote these by $\boldsymbol{-a}$.
- Since l_a is a group homomorphism, this must mean that
  $$l_a(0_R) = 0_R \qquad l_a(-b) = -l_a(b)$$
  $$a \times 0_R = 0_R \qquad a \times (-b) = -(a \times b)$$
  for all $a, b \in R$.
  - The same holds for r_a/positions interchanged.
  - These are consequences of the distributive law.

[1] Definition from Dummit and Foote (2004).

[2] Since we will soon introduce other types of homomorphisms (e.g., ring homomorphisms) beyond the one type with which we are familiar, we now have to specify that a homomorphism of the type dealt with in MATH 25700 is a *group* homomorphism.

- In Part 1, Dummit and Foote (2004) defines rings as above.
  - In Part 2, Dummit and Foote (2004) takes R to be **commutative**.
  - In Part 3, Dummit and Foote (2004) takes R to be a **ring with identity**.
- **Commutative ring**: A ring R such that
$$a \times b = b \times a$$
for all $a, b \in R$.
- **Ring with identity**: A ring R containing a 2-sided identity, i.e., an element $e \in R$ such that
$$e \times a = a \times e = a$$
for all $a \in R$.
- We now justify that it's ok to denote the 2-sided identity with a single letter.
- Exercise: The identity is unique.

Proof. If e' is also a 2-sided identity, then
$$e = e \times e' = e'$$
□

- In this course, we will always take "ring" to mean "ring with identity." That is, we will always assume that our rings contain a 2-sided identity $e = 1_R$.
- Examples of rings.
  1. $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ all have two binary operations, but are they all rings?
     - $\mathbb{N}$ is not a ring since $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is not an abelian group (or even a group — no additive inverses).
     - The rest are rings. In fact, they are commutative rings.
     - $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ are also **fields**.
  2. Let X be a set, and $f, g : X \to \mathbb{R}$. We can define $f + g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ and $f \times g : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by $(f \times g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$.
     - Thus, the set of all functions from $X \to \mathbb{R}$ — denoted $\text{Fun}(X; \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathbb{R}^X$ — has two binary operations and is a ring.
     - This follows from the fact that the real numbers form a ring.
  3. More generally, let X be a set and let R be a ring. Then $\text{Fun}(X; R) = R^X$ is a ring.
     - The constant function taking the value $1_R \in R$ is the identity of R^X.
  4. Let $X = \{1, 2\}$. Then $R^X \cong R \times R$.
     - Correct topology:
$$(a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2) \qquad (a_1, a_2) \times (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 \times b_1, a_2 \times b_2)$$
     - Implication: The same "formula" shows that if R_1, R_2 are rings, then $R_1 \times R_2$ is a ring.
  5. If R_i is a ring for all $i \in I$, where I could be any indexing set (e.g., $\mathbb{N}$, but need not be countable), then $\prod_{i \in I} R_i$ is also a ring.
     - The identity is $(e_i, e_j, \dots)$.
- **Field**: A commutative ring R with multiplicative inverses for every element except 0_R.

- In the context of groups, we've discussed subgroups, group homomorphisms, the fact that the inclusion of a subgroup into a bigger group is a group homomorphism, and the fact that the image of a group homomorphism is a subgroup.
- Today, let's define subrings and ring homomorphisms and make sure that the corresponding properties remain true.
- Intuitively, a **subring** should be a subset of a ring that is itself a ring under the restricted operations.
- **Subring**: A subset S of a ring R such that...
  (i) For all $a, b \in S$, both $a + b, ab \in S$. For all $a \in S$, $-a \in S$.
  (ii) $1_R \in S$.
- Check that these conditions are sufficient!
- **Ring homomorphism**: A function $f : A \to B$, where A, B are rings, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(a_1 + a_2) &= f(a_1) + f(a_2)\\
f(a_1 \times a_2) &= f(a_1) \times f(a_2)\\
f(1_A) &= f(1_B)
\end{aligned}
$$

  for all $a_1, a_2 \in A$.
- Note that we need the third constraint because we are not postulating the existence of multiplicative inverses.
- Examples:
  1. If S is a subring of a ring R and $i : S \to R$ is the inclusion map, then it is a ring homomorphism.
  2. R_1, R_2 are rings. Then $\pi : R_1 \times R_2 \to R_1$ defined by $\pi(a_1, a_2) = a_1$ for all $(a_1, a_2) \in R_1 \times R_2$ is a ring homomorphism.
  3. $i : R_1 \to R_1 \times R_2$ defined by $i(a) = (a, 0)$ is not a ring homomorphism unless R_2 is trivial since $i(1_{R_1}) = (1_{R_1}, 0) \neq (1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2}) = 1_{R_1 \times R_2}$.
  4. $f : M_2(\mathbb{R}) \to M_3(\mathbb{R})$ defined by inclusion in the upper lefthand corner is not a ring homomorphism for the same reason as the above. To be clear, the functional relation considered here is

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)
$$

- The integers have no subrings except for itself.
  - Consider $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$, for instance. Doesn't work because we postulate the existence of an identity, but $1 \notin \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$.
- Subrings of $\mathbb{Q}$:
  - $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$, the p-adic rationals $\{a/p^n \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$, $\{a/(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r)^n \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$, arbitrary subsets of primes in the denominator.
  - Exercise: There's a bijective correspondence between the subrings of $\mathbb{Q}$ and the power set of the prime numbers.

1.2 Office Hours (Nori)

1/5:

- Is $\mathbb{Z}$ a commutative ring?
  - Yes it is.
- Can you clarify the statement of Problem 1.4?
  - For any ring R, define a function $\Delta : R \to R \times R$ by
    $$\Delta(a) = (a, a)$$
  - Clearly Δ is a ring homomorphism.
  - Then consider the image $\Delta(R) \subset R \times R$.
  - We are asked to show that if $\Delta(\mathbb{Q}) \subset B \subset \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ for B a subring of $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, then either $B = \Delta(\mathbb{Q})$ or $B = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$.

References

Dummit, D. S., & Foote, R. M. (2004). *Abstract algebra* (third). John Wiley and Sons.