## Week 9

# ???

### 9.1 ???

11/27:

- Announcements.
  - OH on Wednesday at 5:30 PM this week; not Tuesday.
  - There will be extra OH next week pre-exam.
    - Roughly like Monday/Wednesday next week.
  - Midterm will be returned on Wednesday; we can pick them up in-person in his office starting then.
  - There are some grade boundaries: Pass/Fail we can do til Friday, withdrawal we can do til 5:00 PM today.
- Let's finish the conversation about induction/restriction and prove the **branching theorem**.
- Reminder to start.
  - We have two mathematical categories, G-reps and H-reps where  $H \leq G$ .
  - These catagories are related by functors.
  - $\operatorname{Res}_H^G: G\text{-reps} \to H\text{-reps}$  and vice versa for  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G$ .
  - Restrictions are stupidly simple.
  - Inductions, most hands-on, we take copies of W times cosets. Formulaically,

$$\operatorname{Ind}_H^G W = g_1 W \oplus \cdots \oplus g_k W$$

where k = (G: H) and  $G = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{k} g_i H$ .

- In more detail, the action of g on  $g_i w$  is that of  $g_{\sigma(i)} h_i w$ .
- This is a genuinely hard construction.
- A matrix of this thing will be a permutation matrix via

copy from board

■ Note that

$$g_1W \oplus \cdots \oplus g_kW \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(\mathbb{C}[G], W)$$

- ightharpoonup Recall that elements of the set on the right above are functions  $f:G\to W$  such that f(h(g))=hf(g).
- $\succ$  We map between the two via  $f(g) \mapsto f(gx')$ .
- What is nice about induced representations is that  $\dim[\operatorname{Ind}_H^GW] = (\dim W)[G:H]$ .

- Moreover, there is a very easy statement, the **Frobenius formula**.
  - Recall that

$$\tilde{\chi}_W(g) = \begin{cases} 0 & g \notin H \\ \chi_W(g) & g \in H \end{cases}$$

■ With this, we average.

$$\chi_{\operatorname{Ind}_H^G W}(g) = \sum_{x \in G} \tilde{\chi}_W(xgx^{-1})$$

- Essentially, we're taking a whole bunch of conjugates, summing them up, and dividing to get rid of overcounting.
- We now move onto **Frobenius reciprocity**, which is a relation between the functors/relations  $\operatorname{Ind}_H^G$  and  $\operatorname{Res}_H^G$ .
  - The first point where category theory gets interesting is the notion of **adjoint functors**, which we are about to touch on. It is a very subtle notion.
  - Here's version 1 of the statement of Frobenius reciprocity.
    - Recall that we have a scalar product on the space of class function, given by

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_1(g) \chi_2(g^{-1})$$

where  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are class functions on G.

■ Recall that if  $\chi_1 = \chi_V$  and  $\chi_2 = \chi_W$ , then

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i^{n_i}, \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i^{m_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i m_i$$

 $\blacksquare$  Then the statement is as follows. If V is a G-rep and W is an H-rep, then

$$(V, \operatorname{Ind}_H^G W)_G = (\operatorname{Res}_H^G V, W)_H$$

- $\triangleright$  Denoting scalar product in G and scalar product in W of the characters of each representation.
- This is similar to the relation between adjoint maps  $V \to W$  and  $W^* \to V^*$ .
- Version 2.
  - We have that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, \operatorname{Ind}_H^G W) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_H(\operatorname{Res}_H^G V, W)$$

where the isomorphism is canonical.

- We will not check this last definition; we can tediously do it with definitions, and there's nothing complicated. Rudenko leaves this as an exercise to us.
- Constructing...something: Take  $v \in V$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $\varphi : V \to W$ . We send  $g \mapsto \varphi(gv)$ .
- We now prove Version 1.

*Proof.* We have

$$(\chi_{V}, \chi_{\operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} W})_{G} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{1} \in G} \chi_{V}(g_{1}) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g_{2} \in G} \tilde{\chi}_{W}(g_{2}g_{1}^{-1}g_{2}^{-1}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|H| \cdot |G|} \sum_{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G} \chi_{V}(g) \tilde{\chi}_{W}(g_{2}g_{1}^{-1}g_{2}^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g_{1}, g_{2} \in G} \chi_{V}(\underbrace{g_{2}g_{1}g_{2}^{-1}}) \tilde{\chi}_{W}(\underbrace{g_{2}g_{1}^{-1}g_{2}^{-1}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{|H|} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} |G| \chi_{V}(h) \tilde{\chi}_{W}(h^{-1})$$

$$= (\chi_{V}|H, \chi_{W})_{H}$$

$$= (\operatorname{Res}_{H}^{G} V, \chi_{W})_{H}$$

From line 3 to line 4: Fix h; then  $g_2g_1g_2^{-1}=h$  iff  $g_1=g_2^{-1}hg_2$ , so we have overcounted by |G| times.  $\Box$ 

- We now come to the branching theorem at long last.
- Example first.
  - Consider  $S_n > S_{n-1}$ , where  $S_{n-1}$  is the subgroup of permutations fixing n. I.e.,  $S_3 > S_2 = \{e, (12)\}$ .
  - Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of n; there's notation for this!
  - Let  $\mu \leq \lambda$  be a Young diagram of a partition of n-1.
  - Then
    - 1. We have

$$\operatorname{Res}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\mu \le \lambda} V_{\mu}$$

- Example: Draw out pictures
- 2. We have

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\mu} = \bigoplus_{\mu \le \lambda} V_{\lambda}$$

- Example: Draw out pictures
- The reason that this theorem is called the branching theorem originates from the following diagram, which (when continued) encapsulates the main idea of the theorem. *picture* 
  - This graph helps you understand induction and restriction.
  - Dimensions are the number of paths from the left to a a final Young diagram.
    - $\blacksquare$  For example, the dimension of (3,1) is 3 because there are 3 paths to it (*list them*).
  - Number of paths formula is equivalent to standard Young tableaux!
- Theorem (Branching): The following two statements are true.

$$\operatorname{Res}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\mu \le \lambda} V_{\mu} \tag{9.1}$$

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} V_{\mu} = \bigoplus_{\mu \le \lambda}^{\mu \le \lambda} V_{\lambda} \tag{9.2}$$

*Proof.* We'll talk about the general idea of the proof now, and maybe do the details next time.

 $(1) \iff (2)$ : We have that stuff at bottom of board

(1): Let's look at an example. Here's a YD of  $S_8$ . We want to restrict it down to  $S_7$ . Recall that  $\overline{V_{\lambda}} = \operatorname{span}(S_8 : \Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3)(x_4 - x_5)(x_6 - x_7))$ . Now in  $S_7$ , we fix  $x_8$ . Consider subrepresentations of  $V_{\lambda}$  filtered by degree as follows.

#### copy from board

The proof comes from the fact that if we now take quotients of these subrepresentations, then since  $x_8$  can only appear in three boxes, ...

• Practice with the above example and think it through.

#### 9.2 ???

- 11/29: Announcements.
  - OH today at 5:30.
  - Our midterms are graded; we can look at them in his office whenever (I can do this during OH!).
  - Today, we'll formulate the main result he wants to prove next time.
  - Goal is still to understand representations of  $S_n$ .
    - We've constructed all of them using Specht modules, but what else do we want?
    - We have dimension, we want characters, etc.
  - The main idea is to look at symmetric polynomials once again.
    - Consider  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n}$ .
    - We have proven the fundamental theorem that  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]^{S_n}=\mathbb{Q}[\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_n]$  where  $\sigma_k=\sum_{1\leq i_1\leq \cdots\leq i_k\leq n}x_{i_1}\cdots x_{i_k}$ .
    - We also proved in the homework that these rings are equal to  $\mathbb{Q}[p_1,\ldots,p_k]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}[h_1,\ldots,h_k]$  where

$$p_k = \sum_{i_1 \le \dots \le i_k} x_1 \dots x_k$$

- Example: If n=3, then

$$h_2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3$$

- Table of bases for n, k.

Table 9.1: ...

- Now take

$$\Lambda_k = [\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_k]]_{\deg=k-1} \cong [\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]]_{\deg=k-1} \cong \dots$$

■ Alternatively, we can think of this thing as

$$\Lambda_k = (\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots])_k$$

with  $\sigma_1^k, \sigma_2 \sigma_1^{k-1}, \dots$ 

– We call  $\Lambda$  the ring of symmetric functions and define it to be equal to

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots]$$

- In every complete component, only finitely many of the  $\sigma$  will participate, so we get finite things.
- This is a graded ring! We have

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{k \ge 0} \Lambda_k$$

and  $\Lambda_k \otimes \Lambda_\ell = \Lambda_{k+\ell}$ 

- This construction is called the **projective limit**, and we may have encountered it in commutative algebra under the definition

$$\Lambda = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$$

- We have identifies such as  $p_2 = \sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2$ . This means that

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^2 - 2(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + \dots) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots$$

- Observation:  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Lambda_n$ .
- Now, we need to take a vector space on ring representations; we've done this already with the representation ring.
- Let  $R_n$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space of functions  $\chi: S_n \to \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\chi(x\sigma x^{-1}) = \chi(\sigma)$ . This is our favorite space of class functions.
- Theorem (Frobenius characteristic map): There is an isomorphism of vector spaces and of rings called the Frobenius characteristic: ch:  $\bigoplus_{n>0} R_n \to \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Take  $\chi_V \in R_k$ , and  $\chi_W \in R_\ell$ . Let V an  $S_k$ -rep, and W an  $S_\ell$ -rep. We know that

$$S_k \times S_\ell = S_{k+\ell}$$

So what we can do is induction  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S_k \times S_\ell}^{S_{k+\ell}}(V \otimes W)$ . Call this operation  $\chi_V \boxtimes \chi_W$ .

Now we write down the formula:

$$\operatorname{ch}(\chi) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_r} \chi(\sigma) p_1^{\lambda_1(\sigma)} \cdots p_k^{\lambda_k(\sigma)}$$

where  $\lambda_1(\sigma), \lambda_2(\sigma), \ldots$  represent the cycle structure of  $\sigma$ ; each  $\lambda_i$  is a number of cycles of length  $1, 2, \ldots$ 

- Examples.
  - 1.  $S_1$ .
    - Sends the YD (1) to  $p_1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + \cdots$ .
  - 2.  $S_2$ .
    - Sends (2) to  $\frac{1}{2!}(p_1^2 + p_2) = \frac{1}{2}((x_1 + x_2)^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1x_2 = h_2$ .
    - It also sends (1,1) to  $\frac{1}{2!}(p_1^2-p_2)=\frac{1}{2}((x_1+x_2)^2-x_1^2-x_2^2)=x_1x_2=\sigma_2$ .

– Let's check our formula. What is  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S_1 \times S_1}^{S_2}(1) \otimes (1)$ ? Since the induction of the trivial representation is the regular representation, which we can decompose, we know that this induction equals  $(1,1) \oplus (2)$ . It follows that  $p_1^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_2 = (x_1 + x_2)^2$ .

3.  $S_3$ .

- Sends (3) to

$$\frac{1}{3!}(p_1^3 + 3p_1p_2 + 2p_3) = \frac{1}{6}[(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^3 + 3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) + 2(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}[6(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3) + 6(x_1^2x_2 + x_1x_2^2 + x_1x_3^2 + x_1^2x_3 + \cdots) + 6x_1x_2x_3]$$

$$= h_3$$

- Sends (1, 1, 1) to

$$\frac{1}{3!}(p_1^3 - 3p_1p_2 + 2p_3) = \frac{1}{6}[(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^3 - 3(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) + 2(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3)]$$

$$= x_1x_2x_3$$

$$= \sigma_3$$

- Sends (2,1) to

$$\frac{1}{3!}(2p_1^3 - p_3) = \frac{1}{6}[2(x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3) + 6(x_1^2x_2 + \dots) + 12x_1x_2x_3]$$
$$= (x_1^2 + \dots) + 2x_1x_2x_3$$

- Again, we can check that

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S_2 \times S_1}^{S_3}[(1,1) \otimes (1)] = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$$

- We compute  $\operatorname{Ind}_{S_2}^{S_3}(1,1) = (1,1,1) \oplus (2,1)$  via the branching formula: There are only two ways to add a box!
- We have  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 = (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3) x_1x_2x_3$ .
- Do we need to be fluent in the techniques by which you expanded all of the polynomials above??
- Thus, we have two conjectures:

$$\operatorname{ch}[(n)] = h_n$$
  $\operatorname{ch}[\underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_{n \text{ times}}] = \sigma_n$ 

- The theorem is cool because it sends all of representation theory to some symmetric polynomial game!
- How do we compute  $\operatorname{ch}(V_{\lambda})$ ?
  - We say it equals  $S_{\lambda}$ , where  $S_{\lambda}$  is a Schur polynomial.
  - Take the YT of  $\lambda$ . Recall standard YTs.
  - **Semistandard** (YT): Things strictly increase in columns, but only monotonically increase in rows. *draw picture!*
  - The six semistandard ones give us the Schur polynomial.
  - Relation to RSK correspondence.
- Proving why this stuff is true is not hard.
- To understand why this is true, Google the Schur-Weyl duality.