Person presenting: Steven Labalme Person giving feedback: Daniel Luma

This form is a template for giving feedback on final oral presentations. The <u>presenter</u> should upload this form to Gradescope together with any auxiliary documents (comments on slides, a summary of a discussion afterwards, etc.) in order for both people to earn their respective credit for this assignment.

As a reminder, everyone must get feedback on their presentation from 2 people and must give feedback to at least one other person. For presenters, the first feedback form by May 13, and the second is due by the final presentation. I will check who gave feedback only once presentations are complete.

Content

In one sentence (and in your own words!), what was this presentation about? What was the main result?

The presentation was on the desiration of the Hermite & Legendre Egns.

What did you find most interesting about this subject?

This is a reelly cool application of complex analysis. It doesn't require a deep understanding of complex analysis in order to be understood, it is a fon of work but nothing too elaborate.

Were there any places in the talk that you were confused?

Not really, most steps were shown throughout the derivation. It anything, that also led to some confusion since it would be overwhelming.

Were there any pictures, examples, or analogies that you found especially enlightening?

The analogies of the uses of the Hermite Eqn (Microvara) and Legendre Eqn (Atoms).

Mechanics

How long was this presentation? Did you think that anything should be given more or less time?

22 minutes

The talk was well-organized

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree

The speaker demonstrated proficient board work or use of slides.

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly disagree

The speaker communicated in a clear, precise manner.

Strongly agree Agree Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

General feedback

The speaker captured my interest.

Strongly agree Agree

Neutral

Disagree

Strongly disagree

What is (at least) one thing you liked about the talk?

I like the introduction into the topic The equations have their roots in Quantum Mechanics which I don't know so the visuals and the connections to tangable things which are slightly more common knowledge helped a ton.

What is (at least) one thing you thought could be improved? (be constructive!)

One thing I think could be improved is spending slightly less time on the intermediary steps of the derivations. I think you should focus move on the interesting connections / uses of complex Amalysis.

Other than that , good presentation! I really enjoyelist!