Week 8

Stability Grab Bag

8.1 Midterm 2 Review

- 11/14: Still 3 problems total and 5 points each.
 - The problems will be calculations based on the basic concepts.
 - Figure out the stable and unstable subspaces of some finite systems.
 - Figure out whether or not a system is stable.
 - Prove whether or not a function is planar linear
 - Starting with the classification of planar linear autonomous systems.
 - We have y' = Ay where A is a 2×2 real matrix.
 - As a result of the realness, the eigenvalues behave regularly, i.e., there are only finitely many types
 of eigenvalues. These are...
 - 1. Real, nonzero, same sign. Depending on the sign, we'll either have a source or a sink. The orbits will be a distorted graph of a power function. If asked to investigate the phase portrait, then we need to figure out the stable and unstable subspaces and clearly indicate a basis. If asked to draw, we need to clearly indicate which subspaces are stable and unstable. We also need to clearly indicate the direction of the phase lines. First case: Everything is stable; second case: Everything is unstable. We draw the eigenspaces as well with arrows on the "axes." Figure 5.3a-5.3b.
 - 2. Real, different sign. One stable and one unstable subspace. We need to clearly indicate how the axes are tilted. Figure 5.3c.
 - 3. A is similar to the Jordan block with zero eigenvalues and 1 in the upper right hand corner. Then

$$A \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 4. Purely imaginary eigenvalues. These must appear in a conjugate pair. The phase diagram will be concentric ellipses, and we essentially have the harmonic oscillator equation. If we have to sketch, we must show how the ellipses are tilted.
- 5. Complex eigenvalues $\sigma \pm i\beta$. Either we have a spiral source or a spiral sink. It's meaningless to indicate how the spiral tilts here, so don't bother trying. Determining whether they spin clockwise or counterclockwise. If

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

then our fundamental solution is

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

and we rotate counterclockwise. Since $A^2 = -\mu^2 I_2$, $e^{tA} = I_2 \cos \mu t + \mu^2 I_2 \sin t$. Negative reverses everything. Harmonic oscillator goes counterclockwise.

- There is an online website that gives us phase portraits for an equation. We can use this to help develop intuition.
- If you have a set of eigenvectors, how do you know how to tilt it?
 - Shao goes over examples of eigenvalues and eigenvectors.
- This is not something you need to memorize, but something you need to be able to recover.
- This is not a course for math majors; thus, there will not be proofs concerning the contraction mapping principle. We will not be asked to show existence, uniqueness, continuous difference, or differentiability with respect to parameters.
- We do need to know Grönwall's inequality, however.
- Grönwall's inequality: If $\phi:[p,T]\to\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\phi(t) \le b + a \int_0^t \phi(\tau) d\tau$$

then

$$\phi(t) \le b e^{at}$$

- Usually stated in the integral form, and we usually only need a special case.
- We may need to prove this; the proof mimics the derivation of the Duhamel formula.
- $-a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
- We need to memorize the proof.
- We also need to be able to recognize when we can and should use it. Let $\phi(t) = \Phi'(t) \le b + a\Phi(t)$, $\Phi(0) = 0$. Then $\phi(t) \le b + a \int_0^t \varphi(\tau) d\tau$.
- Use it when we want to bound a function that satisfies either an integral or a differential quantity.
- This is the only proof in the theory of ODE systems we need to memorize.
- We need to master the methods to compute perturbation series.
 - Suppose our IVP depends on a parameter μ differentiably.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} = f(t; y(t; \mu); \mu), y(t_0) = x(\mu), \mu \approx 0$$

- If the parameter is close to zer, then you should be able to compute the μ -derivative with respect to the parameter.
- By Taylor expanding with respect to the parameter, you should be able to recover solutions that are close to the actual.

$$y(t; \mu) = y_0(t) + y_1(t)\mu + y_2(t)\mu^2 + O(\mu^3)$$

- We are typically satisfied with approximations to the second order.
- We expand our ODE into a Taylor series of μ . The differentiability with respect to parameters theorem (see Lecture 6.2 or Theorem 2.11 in Teschl (2012)) tells us that this is legitimate.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(y_0(t)) = f(t; y_0(t); 0), y_0(t_0) = x(0)$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(y_1(t)) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}y_1(t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}, y_1(t) = \frac{\partial x}{\partial u}$$

- Just know the basic Taylor expansions (trig ones and exponential functions; usually we'll stick to polynomials, though).
- Use the ansatz $y(t; \mu) = y_0(t) + y_1(t)\mu + y_2(t)\mu^2 + O(\mu^3)$.
- Substitute $y(t; \mu)$ into $f(t, y(t; \mu); \mu)$. Expand $f(t; y(t; \mu); \mu)$ into a Taylor series of μ . Balance the coefficients of $\mu^0, \mu^1, \mu^2, \dots$
- Then you will get a series of equations that is theoretically solvable. Then a sequence of ODEs for $y_0(t), y_1(t), y_2(t), \ldots$
- Your ODEs for y_1, y_2, \ldots should not involve μ (because they are coefficients in the Taylor expansion with respect to μ . Coefficients of a Taylor series shouldn't involve the argument); if it does, there is something going wrong.
- As for the initial value, $y_0(t_0) + y_1(t_0)\mu + y_2(t_0)\mu^2 + \cdots$. This implies that something equals $x(\mu)$. The Taylor coefficients of $x(\mu)$ at $\mu = 0$.
- These are the general steps you use to find the perturbative series expansion.
- The computations on the exam will not be too heavy.
- If you're still unclear on the calculation, look through the HW answer keys.
- Conclusion: The Grönwall's inequality is something we need to remember from the theory; the perturbative procedure is something we need to be able to do.
- Why do we expand with respect to μ ?
 - We do it with respect to μ because our function is a function of μ . Differentiability and smallness imply we can use the Taylor series.
- Shao reiterates: Definitely read through the key to HW5!!! All the steps you will need to do are done completely and in detail.
- There will be things that are in HW6 (the one due Friday) that will appear on the exam because we have discussed these things in lecture.
- The definitions of Lyapunov stability and asymptotic stability. These will appear in the exam. We need to *clearly* remember the definitions.
- Consider y' = f(y), $f(x_0) = 0$ (an autonomous system with a fixed point; we can transform our system via $(y x_0)' = f(x_0 + (y x_0))$ to translate our fixed point to zero; implies $y' = f(x_0 + y)$, y = 0 is a fixed point). We should be able to determine the asymptotic stability near x_0 by computing the linearization (i.e., the Jacobian $f'(x_0)$) at the fixed point.
 - Regarding determining stability near x_0 , remember the following theorem.
 - Theorem: If all eigenvalues of $f'(x_0)$ have negative real parts, then x_0 is asymptotically stable. If at least one eigenvalue has real part greater than zero, then x_0 is not Lyapunov stable.
 - We should be able to apply the above criterion in practice.
 - We should also be able to reproduce the proof of the first part of Lyapunov's theorem (related to a question in HW6).
 - Lyapunov functions: $f(x_0) = 0$. Definition:
 - 1. L(x) is C^1 near x_0 , $L(x_0) = 0$. L(x) > 0 for x near x_0 .
 - 2. $\nabla L(x) \cdot f(x) \leq 0$ for x near x_0 iff $L(\phi_t(x)) \leq L(x)$, $t \geq 0$. If $L(\phi_t(x))$ is always strictly decreasing, then it is a strict Lyapunov function.
 - Theorem (Lyapunov's theorem): Usually, we can explicitly determine a Lyapunov function:
 - 1. If there is a Lyapunov function near the fixed point, then it is Lyapunov stable. For trajectories starting at nearby points, the trajectory can never excape nearby points.
 - 2. If there is a strict Lyapunov function, then it is asymptotically stable.

- We need to be able to apply this theorem in practice; we don't need to know the proof.
- Examples of Lyapunov functions: Newton's second law.
 - Suppose you have a particle moving within a potential field with potential function U, i.e.,

$$mx'' = -U'(x)$$

- Then by a standard process, you can convert it to a planar linear system by introducing the variable v (the velocity), i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ -U(x)'/m \end{pmatrix}$$

- Then $E(x,v) = \frac{m}{2}v^2 + U(x)$ is constant along the orbits, that is,

$$\nabla E(x, v) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v \\ -U'(x)/m \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

- The gradient of the energy function is orthogonal to the vector field.
- -E(x,v) is a Lyapunov function (global). This happens and induces a fixed point exactly where the velocity is zero and the function takes on a critical value.
- Linearization at the fixed point $(x_0, 0)$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{U''(x_0)}{m} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $E(x_0, v) > E(x_0, 0)$ for $x \sim x_0$, $v \sim 0$ iff U takes a minimum at x_0 . The energy function cannot always stay larger than the energy at the fixed point. Satisfies second Lyapunov condition, but not the first.

One question: Classification of planar linear autonomous systems, one on Grönwall, one on qualitative asymptotic analysis using Lyapunov. Three questions total. There will also be some questions (parts of questions, I guess) on perturbative series.

8.2 Misc. Stability Tools

11/18:

• Let y' = f(y), where $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth function and x_0 is a fixed point (i.e., $f(x_0) = 0$).

- Hyperbolic (fixed point of f): A fixed point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for which $f'(x_0)$ has neither purely imaginary nor zero eigenvalues.
- If x_0 is a hyperbolic fixed point of A, then we know that for the linear system y' = Ay, the eigenvalues of A are never purely imaginary by definition.
 - This allows us to decompose \mathbb{R}^n into the direct sum of the **stable subspace** and the **unstable subspace** of the system.
- Stable subspace (of x_0 under A): The space of all generalized eigenvectors of A corresponding to eigenvalues λ with Re $\lambda < 0$. Also known as attracting subspace. Denoted by \mathbb{E}_s .
- Unstable subspace (of x_0 under A): The space of all generalized eigenvectors of A corresponding to eigenvalues λ with Re $\lambda > 0$. Also known as repelling subspace. Denoted by \mathbb{E}_u .
- \bullet But what if f is not a linear transformation? Then we cannot guarantee the subspace structure, so we need to generalize.
- Stable subset (of x_0 under f): The set of all vectors attracted to x_0 . Also known as attracting subset. Denoted by $W_s(x_0)$. Given by

$$W_s(x_0) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \phi_t(x) \to x_0 \text{ as } t \to +\infty \}$$

• Unstable subset (of x_0 under f): The set of all vectors repelled from x_0 . Also known as repelling subset. Denoted by $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x_0})$. Given by

$$W_u(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \phi_t(x) \to x_0 \text{ as } t \to -\infty\}$$

- Notice that if f = A, then the stable (resp. unstable) subset equals the stable (resp. unstable) subspace.
- Theorem (stable manifold theorem): Let y' = f(y) and let x_0 be a hyperbolic fixed point of f. Then there exists a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 such that $U(x_0) \cap W_s(x_0)$ is a smooth submanifold of dimension $\dim \mathbb{E}_s[f'(x_0)]$ that is tangent to $\mathbb{E}_s[f'(x_0)]$ at x_0 . An analogous statement holds for $U(x_0) \cap W_u(x_0)$.
- k-dimensional smooth submanifold (of \mathbb{R}^n): A subset congruent to the graph

$$G = (w_1, \dots, w_k; h_1(w), \dots, h_{n-k}(w))$$

of some smooth function $h: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$.

- Example: (w, w^2) is a 1-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^2 .
 - We know it as the graph of the unit parabola.
- Example: $(w_1, w_2, \sqrt{1 (w_1^2 + w_2^2)})$ is a 2-dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{R}^3 .
 - In particular, it is the positive hemisphere of the unit two-sphere.
- Homeomorphism: A continuous, invertible function with continuous inverse.
 - Essentially, it's a coordinate change function.
- Theorem (Hartman-Grobman Theorem): Let y' = f(y), x_0 a hyperbolic fixed point, and $A = f'(x_0)$. Then there exists a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ and a homeomorphism $h: U(x_0) \to B(x_0, d)$ such that

$$h \circ \phi_t = e^{tA} \circ h$$

for |t| small.



Figure 8.1: Hartman-Grobman Theorem visualization.

- In laymen's terms: Near the hyperbolic fixed point, the orbits are just slight distortions of the linearized system.
- Corollary: Suppose A, B are matrices with no purely imaginary eigenvalues. Then the flows of A, B are topologically conjugate iff dim $\mathbb{E}_s(A) = \dim \mathbb{E}_s(B)$ (equivalently, iff dim $\mathbb{E}_u(A) = \dim \mathbb{E}_u(B)$).
- Example: Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



Figure 8.2: Topologically conjugate flows.

- Consider the linear autonomous systems y' = Ay and x' = Bx.
- Then since

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & te^t \\ 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad e^{tB} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix}$$

we know that the flows are

$$y(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & te^t \\ 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 e^t \begin{pmatrix} t \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$x(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = c_1 e^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 e^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Since both A, B have no purely imaginary eigenvalues, these flows will be topologically conjugate
 by the Corollary.
 - Indeed, we can kind of see that one is a distortion of the other in Figure 8.2.
- We can't expect the coordinate change from Hartman-Grobman to be smooth, but it will exist.
- Example: Let

$$\binom{x}{y}' = \binom{-x+y+3y^2}{y}$$

- We can solve this to get

$$\phi_t \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ze^{-t} + w \sinh(t) + w^2(e^{2t} - e^{-t}) \\ we^t \end{pmatrix}$$

- Notice that the origin 0 is a fixed point.
- From this, we can determine that (how??)

$$W_s(0) = x$$
-axis
$$W_u(0) = \left\{ \left(\frac{y}{2} + y^2, y \right) \mid y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

- What if we can't solve the system in the above example explicitly?
 - Take the Jacobian at 0:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Find its stable and unstable subspaces. Calculate eigenvalues and eigenvectors to be

$$\lambda_1 = -1 \qquad \qquad \lambda_2 = 1$$

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Thus, we get v_1 (the x-axis) as the stable subspace, and v_2 as the unstable subspace.
- General procedure for planar systems:
 - 1. Find all fixed points.
 - 2. Determine the stability of the fixed points. If hyperbolic, then apply the stable manifold and Hartman theorems. If the eigenvalues are purely imaginary, try to find a Lyapunov function.
 - 3. Decompose the plane into regions in which the monotonicity of

$$\begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

is determined, i.e., the signs of the two components of the vector field are determined. This step requires more improvisation.

• Example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta \\ \omega \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \omega \\ -\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

picture

- We only care where $-\pi < \theta < \pi$. The fixed points are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \pm \begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- At 0, the linearization has purely imaginary eigenvalues. We have Lyapunov function

$$E(\theta, \omega) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 + (1 - \cos \theta)$$

- At $(\pi, 0)$, the linearization is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has eigenvalues and eigenvectors

$$\lambda_1 = 1 \qquad \lambda_2 = -1$$

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Thus, we get orbits around 0 in the θ, ω plane and two subspaces that converge/diverge to $(\pi, 0)$. All of these lines are compatible tangentially.

8.3 Chapter 9: Local Behavior Near Fixed Points

From Teschl (2012)

12/6:

Section 9.1: Stability of Linear Systems

- Goal for the chapter: "Show that a lot of information on the stability of a flow near a fixed point can be read off by linearizing the system around the fixed point" (Teschl, 2012, p. 253).
- Recall the stability discussion for linear systems

$$\dot{x} = Ax$$

from Section 3.2.

- Additionally, our definition from Section 6.5 is invariant under a linear change of coordinates, so we may work in JCF.
- Recall that the long-term behavior is determined by the real part of the eigenvalues.
- "In general, it depends on the initial condition, and there are two linear manifolds $E^+(e^A)$ and $E^-(e^A)$ such that if we start in $E^+(e^A)$ (resp. $E^-(e^A)$), then $x(t) \to 0$ as $t \to +\infty$ (resp. $t \to -\infty$)" (Teschl, 2012, p. 253).

Section 9.2: Stable and Unstable Manifolds

- Goal: Transfer results from the previous section to nonlinear equations.
- Stable set (of a fixed point): The set of all points converging to the fixed point x_0 for $t \to +\infty$. Denoted by $W^+(x_0)$. Given by

$$W^{+}(x_{0}) = \{x \in M \mid \lim_{t \to +\infty} |\Phi(t, x) - x_{0}| = 0\}$$

• Unstable set (of a fixed point): The set of all points converging to the fixed point x_0 for $t \to -\infty$. Denoted by $W^-(x_0)$. Given by

$$W^{-}(x_0) = \{ x \in M \mid \lim_{t \to -\infty} |\Phi(t, x) - x_0| = 0 \}$$

- Both the stable and unstable sets are invariant under the flow.
- We know that for small t, the solutions are adequately described by the linearization, but what about for large t?
 - In this section, we generalize the Section 6.5 result for n = 1 stability and $A = f'(x_0)$ to higher dimensions.
- **Hyperbolic** (fixed point of f): A fixed point x_0 for which the linearization $f'(x_0)$ has no eigenvalues with zero real part.
 - Note that this is equivalent to the definition from class: The "zero real part" condition can be divided into two cases (equal to zero and nonzero but purely imaginary). This definition says no "zero real part;" that definition says not either of the latter two cases.