

MATH 27300 (Basic Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations)  
Problem Sets

Steven Labalme

October 19, 2022

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>IVP Examples and Physical Problems</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Linear Algebra</b>	<b>11</b>

# 1 IVP Examples and Physical Problems

## Required Problems

- 10/12: 1. Classify the following ordinary differential equations (systems) by indicating the order, if they are linear, and if they are autonomous.

(1)  $y'(x) + y(x) = 0$ .

*Answer.*

Order	Linear?	Autonomous?
1	Yes	Yes

□

(2)  $y''(t) = t \sin(y(t))$ .

*Answer.*

Order	Linear?	Autonomous?
2	No	No

□

(3)  $x' = -y, y' = 2x$ .

*Answer.*

Order	Linear?	Autonomous?
1	Yes	Yes

□

(4)  $y'(t) = y(t) \sin(t) + \cos(y(t))$ .

*Answer.*

Order	Linear?	Autonomous?
1	Yes	No

□

2. Transform the following differential equations to first-order systems.

(1)  $y^{(3)} + 2y'' - y' + y = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ y'' \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$x' = \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ y'' \\ y^{(3)} \end{pmatrix}$$

so, by comparing components between the above two vectors and then using the original linear equation to define the last entry (with substitutions), we obtain

$\begin{aligned} x'_1 &= x_2 \\ x'_2 &= x_3 \\ x'_3 &= -2x_3 + x_2 - x_1 \end{aligned}$
---

□

(2)  $x'' - t \sin x' = x$ .

*Proof.* In an analogous manner to the above, we can determine that

$$\begin{cases} y_1' = y_2 \\ y_2' = y_1 + t \sin y_2 \end{cases}$$

□

3. Solve the following differential equations with initial value  $x(0) = x_0$ . Also identify the set of  $x_0$  for which these solutions are extendable to the whole of  $t \geq 0$ . When a solution cannot be extended to the whole of  $t \geq 0$ , determine its lifespan in terms of  $x_0$ .

*Example:* Solve  $x' = x^2$  with  $x(0) = x_0$ . By separation of variables, the solution reads

$$\int_{x_0}^x \frac{dw}{w^2} = \int_0^t d\tau$$

where the integral on the left-hand side cannot pass through  $w = 0$ . The result is

$$-\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x_0} = t \iff x(t) = \frac{x_0}{1 - x_0 t}$$

When  $x_0 \leq 0$ , the solution exists throughout  $t \geq 0$ . When  $x_0 > 0$ , the solution only exists in  $[0, 1/x_0)$ .

(1)  $x' = x \sin t$ .

*Proof.* By separation of variables, the solution reads

$$\int_{x_0}^x \frac{dw}{w} = \int_0^t \sin \tau d\tau$$

The result is

$$\ln \frac{x}{x_0} = 1 - \cos t \iff x(t) = x_0 e^{1 - \cos t}$$

The set of  $x_0$  for which this solution is extendable to the whole of  $t \geq 0$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ .

□

(2)  $x' = t^2 \tan x$ .

*Proof.* By separation of variables, the solution reads

$$\int_{x_0}^x \cot w dw = \int_0^t \tau^2 d\tau$$

where the integral on the left-hand side cannot pass through  $x = \pi n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The result is

$$\ln \left| \frac{\sin x}{\sin x_0} \right| = \frac{t^3}{3} \iff x(t) = \arcsin \left( e^{t^3/3} \sin x_0 \right)$$

The set of  $x_0$  for which the solution is extendable to the whole of  $t \geq 0$  is  $\emptyset$  because  $\cot(x)$  blows up periodically. When  $x_0 = \pi n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is no solution because cotangent is undefined at these values and the improper integral blows up. When  $x_0 \neq \pi n$ , the solution only exists in

$$\left[ 0, \sqrt[3]{3 \ln \left| \frac{1}{\sin(x_0)} \right|} \right)$$

□

(3)  $x' = 1 + x^2$ .

*Proof.* By separation of variables, the solution reads

$$\int_{x_0}^x \frac{1}{1+w^2} dw = \int_0^t d\tau$$

The result is

$$\tan(x) - \tan(x_0) = t \iff \boxed{x(t) = \arctan(t + \tan(x_0))}$$

The set of  $x_0$  for which the solution is extendable to the whole of  $t \geq 0$  is

$$\boxed{\mathbb{R} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} + \pi n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}}$$

□

(4)  $x' = e^x \sin t$ .

*Proof.* By separation of variables, the solution reads

$$\int_{x_0}^x e^{-w} dw = \int_0^t \sin \tau d\tau$$

The result is

$$-e^{-x} + e^{-x_0} = 1 - \cos t \iff \boxed{x(t) = -\ln(e^{-x_0} - 1 + \cos t)}$$

The set of  $x_0$  for which the solution is extendable to the whole of  $t \geq 0$  is

$$\boxed{\{x_0 \in \mathbb{R} \mid x_0 < \ln(1/2)\}}$$

When  $x_0 \geq \ln(1/2)$ , the solution only exists in

$$\boxed{[0, \arccos(1 - e^{-x_0}))}$$

□

4. Consider the harmonic oscillator equation, as mentioned in class:

$$x'' + \mu x' + \omega^2 x = 0$$

Here, the initial data  $x(0) = x_0$  and  $x'(0) = x_1$  are real numbers.

- (1) Derive two linearly independent *real* solutions when  $\mu > 0$ . (Hint: You should consider the cases  $\mu < 2\omega$  and  $\mu > 2\omega$  separately.)

*Proof.* We first state and prove the following claim: If  $r$  is a zero of the characteristic polynomial  $r^2 + ar + b = 0$ , then  $e^{rx}$  is a solution to the ODE  $y'' + ay' + by = 0$ . The proof is simple — plugging  $y = e^{rx}$  and its derivatives  $y' = re^{rx}$  and  $y'' = r^2e^{rx}$  into the original ODE, we have that

$$r^2e^{rx} + are^{rx} + be^{rx} = (r^2 + ar + b)e^{rx} = 0$$

iff  $r^2 + ar + b = 0$ , i.e., if  $r$  is a root of said polynomial, as desired.

With this guiding idea, we will find the roots of

$$r^2 + \mu r + \omega^2 = 0$$

Using the quadratic formula, the two roots are

$$r_1 = \frac{-\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\omega^2}}{2} \quad r_2 = \frac{-\mu - \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4\omega^2}}{2}$$

We now divide into two cases ( $\mu > 2\omega$  and  $\mu < 2\omega$ ). If  $\mu > 2\omega$ , then  $r_1, r_2$  are real and we take

$$\boxed{e^{r_1 t}, e^{r_2 t}}$$

to be our linearly independent, real solutions.

On the other hand, if  $\mu < 2\omega$ , then  $r_1, r_2$  are of the form  $\alpha \pm i\beta$ . However, we can still obtain real solutions from these by taking the following linear combinations.

$$s_1 = r_1 + r_2 = 2\alpha \quad s_2 = i(r_1 - r_2) = 2\beta$$

Thus, we take

$$\boxed{e^{s_1 t}, e^{s_2 t}}$$

to be our linearly independent, real solutions.

Thus, our general solution is of the form

$$x(t) = Ae^{c_1 t} + Be^{c_2 t}$$

where  $c_1 = r_1, s_1$  and  $c_2 = r_2, s_2$  for some  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ . Plugging in the initial conditions, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= x(0) = A + B \\ x_1 &= x'(0) = Ac_1 + Bc_2 \end{aligned}$$

which we can solve for  $A, B$ , yielding

$$\begin{cases} A = \frac{x_1 - x_0 c_2}{c_1 - c_2} \\ B = \frac{x_0 c_1 - x_1}{c_1 - c_2} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, our final particular solution is

$$\boxed{x(t) = \frac{x_1 - x_0 c_2}{c_1 - c_2} e^{c_1 t} + \frac{x_0 c_1 - x_1}{c_1 - c_2} e^{c_2 t}}$$

□

- (2) Recall that  $\mu = b/m$  and  $\omega^2 = k/m$ . Recall also that the mechanical energy for the oscillator reads

$$E = \frac{1}{2}m|x'|^2 + \frac{1}{2}kx^2$$

Compute the time derivative of  $E$  and conclude that  $E$  is exponentially decaying for  $b > 0$ , i.e., the mechanical energy is not conserved in this case. Does this violate the law of conservation of mechanical energy?

*Proof.* Applying the chain rule, we have that

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = mx'x'' + kxx'$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{dt} &= mx'(-\mu x' - \omega^2 x) + kxx' \\ &= x'(-bx' - kx) + kxx' \\ &= -b(x')^2 \end{aligned}$$

Now  $x' \neq 0$  (as an exponential function). Hence,  $(x')^2 > 0$ . This and  $b > 0$  show that  $\frac{dE}{dt}$  is always equal to a negative value. But this is characteristic of exponential decay, as desired.

Mechanical energy is conserved; it is dispersed from system to surroundings by the drag  $b$ . □

5. Use the transformation  $y = tw$  to convert

$$y' = f(y/t)$$

to an ODE in  $w$ . Write down this equation for  $w$ . Use this transformation to solve

$$tyy' + 4t^2 + y^2 = 0, \quad y(2) = -7$$

Determine the lifespan (you can use a calculator for an approximate value).

*Proof.* If  $y = tw$ , then

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = w + t \frac{dw}{dt}$$

Thus, the ODE in terms of  $w$  is

$$\boxed{\frac{dw}{dt} = \frac{f(w) - w}{t}}$$

which is a separable differential equation.

We have that

$$tyy' + 4t^2 + y^2 = 0 \iff y' = -4 \left(\frac{y}{t}\right)^{-1} - \frac{y}{t}$$

Using the above transformation yields

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = \frac{(-4w^{-1} - w) - w}{t}$$

Transforming the initial condition as well gives

$$w(2) = \frac{y(2)}{2} = -\frac{7}{2}$$

We can simplify and solve the above as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw}{-4w^{-1} - 2w} &= \frac{dt}{t} \\ -\frac{1}{4} \int_{-7/2}^w \frac{2v \, dv}{v^2 + 2} &= \int_2^t \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\ -\frac{1}{4} [\ln(w^2 + 2) - \ln(14.25)] &= \ln\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \\ w &= \pm \frac{1}{t^2} \sqrt{228 - 2t^4} \\ \boxed{y(t) = -\frac{1}{t} \sqrt{228 - 2t^4}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that we pick the negative in the final step to fit the initial condition.

The lifespan of  $y(t)$  can be determined by calculating when  $228 - 2t^4 = 0$ . This occurs such that the lifespan is approximately

$$\boxed{[0, 3.27]}$$

□

6. Use the transformation  $w = y^{1-\alpha}$  to convert Bernoulli's equation

$$y' + p(t)y = q(t)y^\alpha, \quad \alpha \neq 0, 1$$

to an ODE in  $w$ . Write down this equation for  $w$ . Use this transformation to solve

$$6y' - 2y = ty^4, \quad y(0) = -2$$

Determine the lifespan (you can use a calculator for an approximate value).

*Proof.* If  $w = y^{1-\alpha}$ , then

$$y = w^{1/(1-\alpha)} \qquad \frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{w^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}}{1-\alpha} \frac{dw}{dt}$$

Thus, the ODE in terms of  $w$  is

$$\boxed{\frac{w^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}}{1-\alpha} \frac{dw}{dt} + p(t)w^{1/(1-\alpha)} = q(t)w^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}}$$

which is an exact differential equation.

We have that

$$6y' - 2y = ty^4 \iff y' + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)y = \left(\frac{t}{6}\right)y^4$$

Using the above transformation yields

$$-\frac{w^{-4/3}}{3} \frac{dw}{dt} - \frac{w^{-1/3}}{3} = \frac{tw^{-4/3}}{6}$$

We can simplify and evaluate the above as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}w^{-4/3} \frac{dw}{dt} + \frac{1}{3}w^{-1/3} &= -\frac{t}{6}w^{-4/3} \\ \frac{dw}{dt} + w &= -\frac{t}{2} \\ e^t \frac{dw}{dt} + e^t w &= -\frac{t}{2}e^t \\ \frac{d}{dt}(e^t w) &= -\frac{t}{2}e^t \\ e^t w &= -\frac{1}{2} \int te^t dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}e^t(t-1) + C \\ w &= -\frac{1}{2}(t-1) + Ce^{-t} \\ y^{-3} &= -\frac{1}{2}(t-1) + Ce^{-t} \\ y &= \left[-\frac{1}{2}(t-1) + Ce^{-t}\right]^{-1/3} \end{aligned}$$

We now apply the initial condition.

$$\begin{aligned} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(0-1) + Ce^{-0}\right]^{-1/3} &= y(0) \\ \left[\frac{1}{2} + C\right]^{-1/3} &= -2 \\ C &= -\frac{5}{8} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the solution to the ODE in question is

$$\boxed{y(t) = \left[-\frac{1}{2}(t-1) - \frac{5}{8}e^{-t}\right]^{-1/3}}$$

The equation does not have finite lifespan.

□



7. Show that

$$(4bxy + 3x + 5)y' + 3x^2 + 8ax + 2by^2 + 3y = 0$$

is an exact equation, no matter what value  $a, b$  take. Find the implicit relation satisfied by the solution  $y(x)$  and  $x$ .

*Proof.* To show that an equation of the form  $gy' + f = 0$  is exact, it will suffice to confirm that

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Since the equation in question is of this form, we may evaluate directly:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = 4by + 3 \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 4by + 3$$

By transitivity, we have the desired result.

We now want to find  $F$  such that  $\partial F/\partial x = f$  and  $\partial F/\partial y = g$ . Starting with the former constraint, we can determine that

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \int (3x^2 + 8ax + 2by^2 + 3y) dx \\ &= x^3 + 4ax^2 + 2bxy^2 + 3xy + h(y) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(y)$  is a functional “constant” of integration. We now differentiate with respect to  $y$ .

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 4bxy + 3x + \frac{dh}{dy}$$

Knowing that  $\partial F/\partial y = g$ , we can use the above equation to solve for  $h$  as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 4bxy + 3x + 5 &= 4bxy + 3x + \frac{dh}{dy} \\ \frac{dh}{dy} &= 5 \\ h(y) &= 5y \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we know that

$$F(x, y) = x^3 + 4ax^2 + 2bxy^2 + 3xy + 5y$$

□

8. Let  $a, b$  be constants. For Euler’s equation

$$t^2 y'' + aty' + by = f(t)$$

consider the transformation  $w(\tau) = y(e^\tau)$ . What is the differential equation satisfied by  $w(\tau)$ ? Use this transformation to solve

$$2t^2 y'' + 3ty' - 15y = 0, \quad y(1) = 0, \quad y'(1) = 1$$

*Proof.* The differential equation satisfied by  $w(\tau)$  is

□

9. Suppose there is a capacitor with capacitance  $C$  being charged by a battery of fixed voltage  $V_0$ . Suppose there is a resistor  $R$  connected to  $C$ . Then the charge  $Q(t)$  of the capacitor satisfies the differential equation

$$RQ'(t) + \frac{Q(t)}{C} = V_0$$

This is the equation for an RC charging circuit.

Find the explicit solution of this equation with  $Q(0) = 0$ . Explain why the product  $RC$  is important in determining the charging time. For  $R = 10^3 \Omega$ ,  $V_0 = 1 \text{ V}$ ,  $C = 1 \mu\text{F}$ , how much time does it take for the capacitor to be charged to 98%? (You may use a calculator.)

*Proof.* We can evaluate the ODE as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dQ}{dt} + \frac{1}{RC}Q &= V_0 \\ e^{t/RC} \frac{dQ}{dt} + \frac{1}{RC} e^{t/RC} Q &= e^{t/RC} V_0 \\ \frac{d}{dt} (Q e^{t/RC}) &= e^{t/RC} V_0 \\ Q e^{t/RC} &= RC V_0 e^{t/RC} + C_1 \\ Q(t) &= RC V_0 + C_1 e^{-t/RC}\end{aligned}$$

We now apply the initial condition.

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= Q(0) \\ &= RC V_0 + C_1 \\ C_1 &= -RC V_0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the solution to the ODE in question is

$$Q(t) = RC V_0 (1 - e^{-t/RC})$$

The product  $RC$  (technically referred to as the time constant) is important in determining charging time because it is directly proportional to the rate of exponential charging. Indeed, if  $RC$  doubles, the capacitor will take twice as long to charge (and vice versa, for example, if  $RC$  halves).

The amount of time it takes for the capacitor to charge to 98% under the given conditions ( $R = 10^3 \Omega$  and  $C = 10^{-6} \text{ F}$ ) may be determined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}0.98 &= 1 - e^{-t/RC} \\ t &= -RC \ln(0.02) \\ t &= 3.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}\end{aligned}$$

□

10. A parachutist is falling from a plane. Suppose the parachute is opened at height  $H$ , when the falling velocity is  $v_0$ . Suppose that the air resistance exerted on the parachute is proportional to the square of the velocity with ratio  $\eta$ . Let the gravitational constant be  $g$ , and suppose that the total mass of the parachutist and the parachute is  $m$ . Write down the differential equation satisfied by the shift  $x$ , together with the initial conditions. Solve this IVP. What is the velocity as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ? Can you derive the final velocity based on physical considerations?

*Proof.* For the sake of simplicity, we will write a one-dimensional differential equation corresponding to vertical displacement. Let's begin.

When the parachutist is falling freely, there is only one (idealized) force acting on them: gravity ( $F_g$ ). As soon as the parachute is opened, another force is added to the mix: drag ( $F_d$ ). By Newton's second law, the net force is equal to the parachutist/parachute's mass times their acceleration. Taking a convention of upwards displacement being positive, we can thus write that

$$\sum F_z = F_d - F_g = ma$$

Since  $a = x''$ ,  $F_g = g$ , and  $F_d = \eta v^2 = \eta (x')^2$ , the differential equation satisfied by the shift  $x$  is

$$mx'' = \eta (x')^2 - g$$

Let the time at which the parachute is opened be  $t = 0$ . Then the initial conditions are

$$x(0) = H \qquad x'(0) = v_0$$

To solve this IVP, we substitute  $v = x'$  and evaluate the resulting first-order differential equation to start:

$$\begin{aligned} mv' &= \eta v^2 - g \\ \frac{dv}{v^2 - g/\eta} &= \frac{\eta}{m} dt \\ \int_{v_0}^v \frac{dw}{w^2 - g/\eta} &= \int_0^t \frac{\eta}{m} d\tau \\ \coth^{-1}(v) - \coth^{-1}(v_0) &= \frac{\eta}{m} t \\ v &= \coth\left(\frac{\eta}{m}t + \coth^{-1}(v_0)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the velocities are greater than one (a reasonable assumption; if not, change units), the hyperbolic cotangent is perfectly acceptable to use here. Returning the substitution  $v = x'$ , we can determine that

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \coth\left(\frac{\eta}{m}t + \coth^{-1}(v_0)\right) \\ \int_H^x dz &= \int_0^t \coth\left(\frac{\eta}{m}\tau + \coth^{-1}(v_0)\right) d\tau \\ x - H &= \frac{m}{\eta} \ln\left(\sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{m}t + \coth^{-1}(v_0)\right) \sqrt{v_0^2 - 1}\right) \\ \boxed{x = H + \frac{m}{\eta} \ln\left(\sinh\left(\frac{\eta}{m}t + \coth^{-1}(v_0)\right) \sqrt{v_0^2 - 1}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

The final velocity approaches  $\boxed{1}$ .

□

## Bonus Problems

- 1. The Catenoid.** Suppose there are two metal rings of radius  $a$  placed parallel to each other in an  $xyz$ -coordinate space, with the  $x$ -axis passing through their centers. Suppose these two rings are contained in the planes  $x = l$  and  $x = -l$ , respectively. An axial symmetric soap film is spanned by these two rings. Suppose its shape is obtained by rotating the graph of the function  $y = y(x)$  with respect to the  $x$ -axis. In order to attain a stable configuration, the surface area is supposed to be minimal among all such surfaces of revolution.

  - (1) Write down the surface area functional in terms of  $y(x)$ , its derivative, and the boundary conditions for this variational problem.
  - (2) Derive the Euler-Lagrange equation and find the solution. The shape is called a **catenoid**.
  - (3) If the two rings are very far away from each other, i.e.,  $l$  is very large, will the catenoid still be of minimal area among all competing surfaces that span these two rings? You do not have to give a mathematically rigorous answer; just imagine the physical situation. (Hint: What about two distinct disks spanned by these two rings?)
- 2. A Formulation of the Isoperimetric Problem.** Recall from multivariable calculus that in order to find a local extremum of the function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  under the constraint  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$ , we can introduce a parameter  $\lambda$  called the **Lagrange multiplier** and find the stationary point of the function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - \lambda g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

- (1) Write down the equations that must be satisfied by the stationary point  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of the function  $f - \lambda g$  with the parameter  $\lambda$  involved.
- (2) Use the Lagrange multiplier method to find the maxima and minima of  $f(x, y) = x + y$  under the constraint  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ .
- (3) Now let us generalize this method to functionals. If we aim to find the extrema of a functional

$$J[y] = \int_a^b F(x, y(x), y'(x)) \, dx$$

under the constraint

$$R[y] = \int_a^b G(x, y(x), y'(x)) \, dx = 0$$

where  $F(x, z, w)$  and  $G(x, z, w)$  are known functions, we can try to find the extrema of the functional

$$J[y] - \lambda R[y]$$

first. What is the Euler-Lagrange equation satisfied by this extrema (with  $\lambda$  involved)?

- (4) Now let us consider a version of the isoperimetric problem. We aim to find the function  $y(x)$ , whose graph connects two given points  $(a, A)$ ,  $(b, B)$  on the  $xy$ -plane, with a prescribed arclength

$$l = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + |y'(x)|^2} \, dx$$

such that the area between the graph and the  $x$ -axis is the largest. The functional in consideration is

$$J[y] = \int_a^b y(x) \, dx$$

with constraint

$$R[y] = \int_a^b \sqrt{1 + |y'(x)|^2} \, dx = l$$

Write down the Euler-Lagrange equation involving the multiplier  $\lambda$  and show that the solution must be a part of a circle.

## 2 Linear Algebra

### Required Problems

- 10/19: 1. This question helps to complete the computations omitted in class. In deriving the Kepler orbits for the two-body problem, we have successfully reduced the differential equation satisfied by the curve  $r = r(\varphi)$  to

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\varphi}\right)^2 + r^2 = \frac{2GMr^3}{l_0^2} + \frac{2Er^4}{ml_0^2}$$

Show that the function  $\mu = 1/r$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\left(\frac{d\mu}{d\varphi}\right)^2 + \mu^2 = \frac{2GM\mu}{l_0^2} + \frac{2E}{ml_0^2}$$

By differentiating with respect to  $\varphi$  again, this reduces to either  $d\mu/d\varphi = 0$  or

$$\frac{d^2\mu}{d\varphi^2} + \mu - \frac{GM}{l_0^2} = 0$$

Find the general solution of the latter, hence conclude that  $r = r(\varphi)$  represents a conic section. *Hint:* There is a very obvious particular solution.

2. The general formula for the inverse of an  $n \times n$  invertible matrix is very lengthy. However, for a  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , there is a very simple formula. Try to find it; this could be very helpful if you can remember it.

3. Compute the determinant of the following matrices. Determine whether they are invertible or not.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 3 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. Determine whether the following linear systems admit solution(s); if they do, write down the solution (or the formula for the general solution).

(1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(2)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(3)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

5. Find the connecting matrix from the basis  $(p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3)$  to the new basis  $(q_1 \ q_2 \ q_3)$ , where

$$(p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (q_1 \ q_2 \ q_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

That is, represent  $q_1, q_2, q_3$  as linear combinations of  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ .

6. Let  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ . The rotation through angle  $\theta$  in the plane is represented by the matrix

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Compute its determinant, characteristic polynomial, and eigenvalues. Compute its eigenvectors in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . You need to use the Euler formula  $e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ . For two angles  $\theta, \varphi$ , compute the product  $R(\theta)R(\varphi)$  and represent it in terms of  $\theta + \varphi$ . What is the geometric meaning of this equality?

8. Find the algebraic and geometric multiplicities of the eigenvalues of the following matrices.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

9. Compute the Jordan normal form of the following  $2 \times 2$  matrices.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that you not only need to find all the Jordan blocks, but also need to find the Jordan basis matrix  $Q$  such that  $Q^{-1}AQ$  is in Jordan normal form.

10. Compute the Jordan normal form of the following  $3 \times 3$  matrices.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -5 & 2 \\ 5 & -7 & 3 \\ 6 & -9 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that you not only need to find all the Jordan blocks, but also need to find the Jordan basis matrix  $Q$  such that  $Q^{-1}AQ$  is in Jordan normal form. *Hint:* These three matrices represent three different possibilities of nondiagonalizable Jordan normal forms of a  $3 \times 3$  matrix:  $A$  reduces to  $(2 \times 2) \oplus (1 \times 1)$  Jordan blocks with different eigenvalues,  $B$  reduces to  $(2 \times 2) \oplus (1 \times 1)$  Jordan blocks with the same eigenvalue, and  $C$  reduces to a  $3 \times 3$  Jordan block.

## Bonus Problems

1. You may find the characteristic root method for the second-order equation  $y'' + ay' + b = 0$  quite abrupt. This problem helps you see where it comes from. The origin of this method is in fact a comparison with the linear recursive relation

$$y_{n+2} + ay_{n+1} + by_n = 0$$

where  $a, b$  are given complex numbers.

- (1) The linear recursive relation  $y_{n+1} + ay_n = 0$  gives rise to a geometric sequence

$$y_0, y_0(-a), y_0(-a)^2, \dots$$

We now want to try to reduce the second-order recursive relation  $y_{n+2} + ay_{n+1} + by_n = 0$  to a first-order relation. Thus, we look for complex numbers  $\lambda, \mu$  such that

$$(y_{n+2} - \lambda y_{n+1}) - \mu(y_{n+1} - \lambda y_n) = 0$$

Then  $\lambda, \mu$  should be the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$X^2 + aX + b$$

Taking  $\lambda, \mu$  as known quantities, find the general formula for  $y_n$ , regarding  $y_0, y_1$  as known quantities. *Hint:*  $y_{n+1} - \lambda y_n$  is a geometric sequence with ratio  $\mu$ . You should also discuss  $\mu \neq \lambda$  and  $\mu = \lambda$  separately.

- (2) Use the method of part (1) to find the general formula for the linear recursive relation

$$y_{n+2} - 2y_{n+1} + y_n = 0$$

Use the same method to find the general formula for the Fibonacci sequence

$$F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$$

2. In this exercise, we aim to prove an important theorem in linear algebra:

*Complex Hermitian matrices are always diagonalizable.*

Here the term “Hermitian” means that the matrix equals its conjugate transpose. In terms of entries, this means that in general,  $a_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ji}$ . For example,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & -i \\ 1 & 3 & -2i \\ i & 2i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is Hermitian.

- (1) Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the standard Hermitian inner product, that is, for  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x^j \bar{y}^j$$

Show that for any  $n \times n$  real matrix,

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, A^* y \rangle$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $A^*$  denotes the conjugate transpose of  $A$ . For example,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2i \\ 0 & 3+i & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \iff A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 3-i & 0 \\ -2i & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (2) Suppose now that  $A$  is Hermitian. Use part (1) to show that any eigenvalue of  $A$  must be a real number. Show further that if  $x, y$  are eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues, then  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ , that is,  $x$  is orthogonal to  $y$ .
- (3) Prove that every Hermitian matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable. *Hint:* Take any eigenvector  $v_1$  of  $A$ . Decompose  $\mathbb{C}^n$  into the direct sum of  $\text{span}(v_1)$  and its orthogonal complement. Show that the orthogonal complement is an invariant subspace for  $A$ .