

## 4 Observables and Operators

- 2/3: 1. Imagine a one-dimensional free particle ( $V(x) = 0$ ) of mass  $m$  whose mean value of the position and momentum at time  $t = 0$  are given by  $x_0$  and  $p_0$ .

a) Demonstrate that the mean value of the momentum and its powers is time-independent, that is

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle \psi | \hat{p}^n | \psi \rangle) = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

*Hint:* Use the fact that for time-independent operators,  $d\langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle / dt = (i/\hbar) \langle \psi | [\hat{H}, \hat{O}] | \psi \rangle$ .

*Answer.* To prove that the mean value of the momentum is time-independent, it will suffice to show that

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{p}^n] = 0$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To do so, we induct on  $n$ . For the base case  $n = 1$ , we have that

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{p}] = \left[ \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m}, \hat{p} \right] = \frac{1}{2m}(\hat{p}^3 - \hat{p}^3) = 0$$

Now suppose inductively that we have prove the claim for  $n$ , that is, we know that  $[\hat{H}, \hat{p}^n] = 0$ ; we now seek to prove the claim for  $n + 1$ . Here, we have that

$$[\hat{H}, \hat{p}^{n+1}] = \hat{p} \underbrace{[\hat{H}, \hat{p}^n]}_0 + \underbrace{[\hat{H}, \hat{p}^n]}_0 \hat{p} = 0$$

This closes the induction. □

b) Compute  $d\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle / dt$ , and show that

$$\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle(t) = \frac{p_0 t}{m} + x_0 \quad (4.2)$$

*Answer.* We have from Lecture 1.2 that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle) = \frac{\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle}{m}$$

Now part (a) tells us that  $\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle$  is time-independent, which means that if  $\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle = p_0$  at  $t = 0$ , then  $\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle = p_0$  for all time  $t$ . Thus,

$$\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}(\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle) = \frac{p_0}{m}}$$

It follows by integrating that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{d}{dt}(\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle) dt &= \int_0^t \frac{p_0}{m} dt' \\ \langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle(t) - \langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle(0) &= \frac{p_0}{m}t - \frac{p_0}{m}0 \\ \langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle(t) - x_0 &= \frac{p_0 t}{m} \\ \langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle(t) &= \frac{p_0 t}{m} + x_0 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

c) Show also that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\langle \psi | \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle) = \frac{2}{m} \langle \psi | \hat{p} \hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \frac{i\hbar}{m} \quad (4.3)$$

*Hint:* Use the fact that  $[\hat{A}\hat{B}, \hat{C}] = \hat{A}[\hat{B}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]\hat{B}$  and  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}] = \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{C}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{C}$ .

*Answer.* We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\langle \psi | \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle) &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \psi | [\hat{H}, \hat{x}^2] | \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{2m\hbar} \langle \psi | [\hat{p}^2, \hat{x}^2] | \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{2m\hbar} \langle \psi | \hat{p}[\hat{p}, \hat{x}^2] + [\hat{p}, \hat{x}^2]\hat{p} | \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{2m\hbar} \langle \psi | \hat{p}(\hat{x}[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] + [\hat{p}, \hat{x}]\hat{x}) + (\hat{x}[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] + [\hat{p}, \hat{x}]\hat{x})\hat{p} | \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{2m\hbar} (\langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x}[\hat{p}, \hat{x}] | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{p}[\hat{p}, \hat{x}]\hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{x}[\hat{p}, \hat{x}]\hat{p} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | [\hat{p}, \hat{x}]\hat{x}\hat{p} | \psi \rangle) \\ &= \frac{i}{2m\hbar} (\langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x}(-i\hbar) | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{p}(-i\hbar)\hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{x}(-i\hbar)\hat{p} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | (-i\hbar)\hat{x}\hat{p} | \psi \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} (\langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{x}\hat{p} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{x}\hat{p} | \psi \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} (2\langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x} | \psi \rangle + 2\langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x} + i\hbar | \psi \rangle) \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} (4\langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x} | \psi \rangle + 2i\hbar \langle \psi | \psi \rangle) \\ &= \frac{2}{m} \langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \frac{i\hbar}{m} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

d) Compute, in terms of  $\langle \psi | \hat{p}^2 | \psi \rangle$  and  $\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle$ , the values of

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\langle \psi | \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}[(\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle)^2] \quad (4.4)$$

*Hint:* Use the commutation of  $\hat{p}\hat{x}$  with  $\hat{H} = \hat{p}^2/2m$ .

*Answer.* By part (c), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\langle \psi | \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle) &= \frac{2}{m} \frac{d}{dt}(\langle \psi | \hat{p}\hat{x} | \psi \rangle) \\ &= \frac{2i}{m\hbar} \langle \psi | [\hat{H}, \hat{p}\hat{x}] | \psi \rangle \\ &= \frac{i}{m^2\hbar} (\langle \psi | \hat{p}^2 \underbrace{[\hat{p}, \hat{x}]}_{-i\hbar} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{p} \underbrace{[\hat{p}, \hat{p}]}_0 \hat{x} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \hat{p} \underbrace{[\hat{p}, \hat{x}]}_{-i\hbar} \hat{p} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \underbrace{[\hat{p}, \hat{p}]}_0 \hat{x}\hat{p} | \psi \rangle ) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\langle \psi | \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle) = \frac{2}{m^2} \langle \psi | \hat{p}^2 | \psi \rangle$$

By part (b), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [(\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle)^2] &= \frac{d}{dt} [2 \langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle)] \\
 &= 2 \frac{d}{dt} (\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle) \cdot \frac{d}{dt} (\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle) + 2 \langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle \cdot \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle) \\
 &= \frac{2p_0^2}{m^2} + 2x_0 \cdot \underbrace{\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{p_0}{m} \right)}_0 \\
 \boxed{\frac{d^2}{dt^2} [(\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle)^2] &= \frac{2}{m^2} (\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

e) Show that the position and momentum fluctuations are related by

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\sigma_x^2) = \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{m^2} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\sigma_{\hat{O}}^2 = \langle \psi | \hat{O}^2 | \psi \rangle - (\langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle)^2$ , and that the solution to this equation at sufficiently large values of  $t$  is given by

$$\sigma_x \approx \frac{\sigma_p t}{m} \quad (4.6)$$

where  $\sigma_p$  is independent of time. Discuss the implications of this result. Can you understand this result intuitively in terms of the fact that the momentum is not well-defined, meaning that there is a probability of finding the particle at different momentum values at a given time?

*Answer.* By part (d), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\sigma_x^2) &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\langle \psi | \hat{x}^2 | \psi \rangle) - \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [(\langle \psi | \hat{x} | \psi \rangle)^2] \\
 &= \frac{2}{m^2} [\langle \psi | \hat{p}^2 | \psi \rangle - (\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle)^2] \\
 &= \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{m^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we have that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_p t}{m} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left( \frac{\sigma_p^2 t^2}{m^2} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{2\sigma_p^2 t}{m^2} \right) = \frac{2\sigma_p^2}{m^2}$$

Note that by requiring  $t$  be “sufficiently large,” we are eliminating consideration of the case  $t = 0$ , in which we would have  $\sigma_x = 0$  which cannot happen by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle.

We now discuss the implications of this result. In part (a), we proved that the  $\langle \psi | \hat{p}^n | \psi \rangle$  are fixed for all time, which means in particular that

$$\sigma_p^2 = \langle \psi | \hat{p}^2 | \psi \rangle - (\langle \psi | \hat{p} | \psi \rangle)^2$$

is fixed. Thus, Eq. 4.6 essentially implies that for sufficiently large time  $t$ , the uncertainty in the position of the free particle increases approximately linearly with time. We may visualize this as the particle “spreading out” as time passes, much like a wave function might expand after it collapses.

The fact that the momentum is not well-defined is the *reason* that the particle spreads out over time. Essentially, different “parts” of the particle will move with different momenta, so as the free particle “moves,” some parts of it will move faster and some will move slower, causing it to spread out! This further justifies the linear relation, which in these terms essentially says that the greater the uncertainty in momenta, the greater the difference in speed of different parts of the particle, and the greater the spread in  $x$  as time goes on. □

- 2. The power of completeness.** Imagine that I have a complete set  $\{\psi_n\}$  of energy eigenstate functions and that any given wave function  $\psi$  can be expressed as a linear combination of these functions via

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x) \quad (4.7)$$

- a) Demonstrate, using the fact that  $\int \psi_m^*(x) \psi_n(x) dx = \delta_{mn}$ , that these functions fulfill a completeness relation, in the sense that

$$\delta(x_1 - x_2) = \sum_m \psi_m(x_1) \psi_m^*(x_2) \quad (4.8)$$

*Hint:* Demonstrate that if you integrate this sum multiplied by an arbitrary function  $\psi(x_2)$ , you obtain the same function at the point  $\psi(x_1)$ .

*Answer.* Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an arbitrary, smooth function in the variable  $x_2$ . To prove the equality in Eq. 4.8, it will suffice to show that

$$\int \delta(x_1 - x_2) \psi(x_2) dx_2 = \int \sum_m \psi_m(x_1) \psi_m^*(x_2) \psi(x_2) dx_2$$

Note that this integral and all following integrals are over all space, that is,  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . By the properties of the Dirac delta function, the left side of the above equality evaluates to

$$\int \delta(x_1 - x_2) \psi(x_2) dx_2 = \psi(x_1)$$

The right side is a bit more complicated since it involves the expansion of  $\psi$  that we are allowed to do by Eq. 4.7. However, it evaluates directly all the same, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sum_m \psi_m(x_1) \psi_m^*(x_2) \psi(x_2) dx_2 &= \int \sum_m \psi_m(x_1) \psi_m^*(x_2) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x_2) \right) dx_2 \\ &= \sum_m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_m(x_1) \int \psi_m^*(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_2 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(x_1) \\ &= \psi(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by transitivity, we have the desired equality.  $\square$

- b) Imagine that you want to calculate the mean value of some real function  $h(x)$  of the operator  $\hat{x} = x$  that can be expressed as a product of two real functions, i.e.,  $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$ . For instance,  $x^4 = x^2 x^2$ . Then to compute the mean value of  $h(x)$  in a particular energy eigenstate described by  $\psi_n$ , one needs to compute

$$\langle \psi_n | h(x) | \psi_n \rangle = \int \psi_n^*(x) f(x) g(x) \psi_n(x) dx = \iint \psi_n^*(x_1) f(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \quad (4.9)$$

Verify that this is true by performing the integral over one of two variables,  $x_1$  or  $x_2$ .

*Answer.* Working backward from the RHS of Eq. 4.9, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{RHS} &= \iint \psi_n^*(x_1) f(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &= \int \left[ \int \psi_n^*(x_1) f(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) dx_1 \right] g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_2 \\
 &= \int [\psi_n^*(x_2) f(x_2)] g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_2 \\
 &= \int \psi_n^*(x) f(x) g(x) \psi_n(x) dx \\
 &= \int \psi_n^*(x) h(x) \psi_n(x) dx \\
 &= \langle \psi_n | h(x) | \psi_n \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

□

- c) Use this expression to obtain the mean value of  $h(x)$  as a function of a sum of the products of the matrix elements  $f_{mn}(x)$  and  $g_{mn}(x)$ , defined as

$$f_{mn} = \int \psi_m^*(x) f(x) \psi_n(x) dx \qquad g_{mn} = \int \psi_m^*(x) g(x) \psi_n(x) dx \quad (4.10)$$

*Answer.* By part (a) and Eq. 4.8, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi_n | h(x) | \psi_n \rangle &= \iint \psi_n^*(x_1) f(x_1) \delta(x_1 - x_2) g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &= \iint \psi_n^*(x_1) f(x_1) \left[ \sum_m \psi_m(x_1) \psi_m^*(x_2) \right] g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &= \sum_m \iint \psi_n^*(x_1) f(x_1) \psi_m(x_1) \psi_m^*(x_2) g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &= \sum_m \int \left[ \int \psi_n^*(x_1) f(x_1) \psi_m(x_1) dx_1 \right] \psi_m^*(x_2) g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_2 \\
 &= \sum_m \int f_{nm} \psi_m^*(x_2) g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_2 \\
 &= \sum_m f_{nm} \int \psi_m^*(x_2) g(x_2) \psi_n(x_2) dx_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \psi_n | h(x) | \psi_n \rangle = \sum_m f_{nm} g_{mn}$$

□

- d) Apply the above to compute the mean value of  $x^4 = x^2 x^2$  for the harmonic oscillator in its energy eigenstate. *Hint:* Use the ladder operators.

*Answer.* By part (b), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi_n | x^4 | \psi_n \rangle &= \sum_m \langle n | x^2 | m \rangle \langle m | x^2 | n \rangle \\
 &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2\omega^2} \sum_m \langle n | (a_+ + a_-)^2 | m \rangle \langle m | (a_+ + a_-)^2 | n \rangle \\
 &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2\omega^2} \sum_m \left( \langle n | a_+^2 | m \rangle + \langle n | a_-^2 | m \rangle + 2 \langle n | a_+ a_- | m \rangle + \langle n | 1 | m \rangle \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left( \langle m | a_+^2 | n \rangle + \langle m | a_-^2 | n \rangle + 2 \langle m | a_+ a_- | n \rangle + \langle m | 1 | n \rangle \right) \\
 &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2\omega^2} \sum_m \left( \sqrt{(m+1)(m+2)} \langle n | m+2 \rangle + \sqrt{m(m-1)} \langle n | m-2 \rangle + (2m+1) \langle n | m \rangle \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left( \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \langle m | n+2 \rangle + \sqrt{n(n-1)} \langle m | n-2 \rangle + (2n+1) \langle m | n \rangle \right) \\
 &= \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2\omega^2} \left( \sqrt{(n-1)n} \langle n | n \rangle + \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} \langle n | n \rangle + (2n+1) \langle n | n \rangle \right) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left( \sqrt{(n+1)(n+2)} \langle n+2 | n+2 \rangle + \sqrt{n(n-1)} \langle n-2 | n-2 \rangle + (2n+1) \langle n | n \rangle \right) \\
 \boxed{\langle \psi_n | x^4 | \psi_n \rangle} &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2\omega^2} \left( \sqrt{n(n-1)} + \sqrt{(n+2)(n+1)} + 2n+1 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

*Comment:* In Dirac notation, the above procedure is equivalent to adding an identity operator, in the sense that

$$\sum_m |m\rangle \langle m| = I \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\langle n | \hat{O}_1 \hat{O}_2 | n \rangle = \langle n | \hat{O}_1 I \hat{O}_2 | n \rangle = \sum_m \langle n | \hat{O}_1 | m \rangle \langle m | \hat{O}_2 | n \rangle = \sum_m O_{1,nm} O_{2,mn} \quad (4.12)$$

3. In class, and in PSet 3, we computed the time dependence of the mean value of  $\hat{x}$  in a harmonic oscillator and showed that it had some resemblance with the classical case. Use your preferred computational code language and the form of the energy eigenstate solutions in terms of Hermite polynomials and Gaussian factors to compute the variation of the mean value of the position as a function of time for different coefficients  $c_n$  with

$$\Psi(x, t) = \sum_n c_n \psi_n(x) e^{-iE_n t/\hbar} \quad (4.13)$$

Additionally, demonstrate numerically that — as expected — it always moves with a classical frequency  $\omega$  such that  $E_n = \hbar\omega(n + 1/2)$ . Compute also the value of  $|\psi(x, t)|^2$  and draw it for different times to show that its shape does not vary over a period of time and is also recovered after half a period but for the opposite values of  $x$ , i.e.,

$$|\psi(x, t + T/2)|^2 = |\psi(-x, t)|^2 \quad (4.14)$$

*Hint:* This is shown analytically in Wagner's notes.

Do this also for a coherent state where  $c_{n+1}/c_n = 1/\sqrt{n+1}$ . In order to perform this computation, define  $\hbar = 1 = m = \omega$  and don't worry about the overall normalization. You may use for guidance the Mathematica code that is posted on Canvas and which does some of this.