







# Improving Conceptual Understanding of Forces through Peer Instruction

A Two-Cycle Action Research Based on FCI Diagnostics

Mary Gwadoline Yusus  
Shafiq Rasulan  
nov 2025



# Trouble in Mechanics



## Trouble

01


Persistent Misconception

02

Overemphasis on Formula-Based Learning

03

Limited Student Engagement and Interaction



## Impact

01

poor performance in reasoning-based physics problems


02

Learning becomes rote and fragile, shallow conceptual learning


03

Low Self Efficacy

# Trouble in Mechanics




Proposed  
Solution?



Peer  
Instruction  
(Mazur's Method)



Target  
Group



8 KMSW STUDENTS  
BASED ON EARLY  
DIAGNOSTIC DATA



## **ACTION OBJECTIVES**

**To improve students' conceptual understanding of force and motion through the implementation of Peer Instruction (Mazur's method) in classroom lessons.**

**(Goal: 80%)**



## **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

### **QUANTITATIVE:**

- **To measure the conceptual gain between Diagnostic Test and Post Cycle 2 Test after each action research cycle.**

### **Qualitative:**

- **To explore students' experiences, perceptions, and self-efficacy in learning force concepts through Peer Instruction discussions.**

# Why Mazur's Peer Instruction?



Promotes Conceptual Change through Cognitive Conflict.  
(Posner et al., 1982).




Enhances Learning through Peer Discussion and Social Constructivism.  
(Vygotsky, 1978)




Proven Effectiveness in Increasing Conceptual Gains  
(Mazur, 1997; Crouch & Mazur, 2001; Hake, 1998)





What makes Mazur's  
Peer Instruction?

# Action Research Cycle 1




**Kemmis and McTaggart**






# Cycle 1 Reflection

The results from Cycle 1 showed that while students' conceptual understanding of force improved moderately, many still relied on memorized formulas rather than reasoning through concepts. Peer discussions helped expose misconceptions, but some concept questions were too abstract. Therefore, the next cycle will include more relatable, real-life examples and clearer discussion prompts to strengthen conceptual dialogue and reasoning.






# Action Research Cycle 2



**Kemmis and McTaggart**

# Results!


Diagnostic:  
26.8%




Cycle 1:  
56.3%



# Results!



# Qualitative Results!



# Reflections



**Peer Instruction effectively enhanced students' conceptual understanding and confidence in learning force concepts.**



**Clear evidence of conceptual gains, with all students achieving above 80% by the end of Cycle 2**



**Increased self-efficacy and enjoyment in collaborative learning**

## Pedagogical Recommendations

### Adopt Active Learning Strategies

Incorporate Peer Instruction regularly in physics lessons to shift from teacher-centered to learner-centered approaches that promote active reasoning and conceptual understanding.

### Encourage Collaborative Dialogue

Foster structured peer discussion where students explain, defend, and revise their ideas, supporting learning through social interaction as proposed by Vygotsky (1978).

### Integrate Formative Assessment

Use short conceptual quizzes, clicker responses, or mini HFCl items to provide ongoing feedback and identify misconceptions during lessons.

### Develop Students' Metacognition

Embed reflection activities after each Peer Instruction session so students can monitor their reasoning processes and recognize conceptual shifts.