

Logic

YouTube Link:

1) <https://youtu.be/HWQLez87vqM?list=PLhQjrBD2T381PopUTYtMSstgk-hsTGkVm>

2) https://youtu.be/yo7aULLUz_0

Propositional Logic Concepts

- Logic is a study of principles used to
 - distinguish correct from incorrect reasoning.
- Formally it deals with
 - the notion of truth in an abstract sense and is concerned with the principles of valid inferencing.
- A proposition in logic is a declarative statements which are either **true or false** (but not both) in a given context. For example,
 - “Jack is a male”

Cont..

- Given some propositions to be true in a given context,
 - logic helps in inferencing new proposition, which is also true in the same context.
- Suppose we are given a set of propositions such as
 - “It is hot today” and
 - “If it is hot, it will rain”, then
 - we can infer that
 - “It will rain today”.

This Lecture

- There are various forms of logic, of which the simplest is probably **propositional calculus** (also known as sentence logic), and the most commonly used in AI is **first order predicate calculus** (also known as first order predicate logic).

A Story

- **You roommate comes home; he/she is completely wet**
- You know the following things:
 - Your roommate is wet
 - If your roommate is wet, it is because of rain, sprinklers, or both
 - If your roommate is wet because of sprinklers, the sprinklers must be on
 - If your roommate is wet because of rain, your roommate must not be carrying the umbrella
 - The umbrella is not in the umbrella holder
 - If the umbrella is not in the umbrella holder, either you must be carrying the umbrella, or your roommate must be carrying the umbrella
 - You are not carrying the umbrella
- **Can you conclude that the sprinklers are on?**
- **Can AI conclude that the sprinklers are on?**

Knowledge Base For The Story

- RoommateWet
- RoommateWet => (RoommateWetBecauseOfRain OR RoommateWetBecauseOfSprinklers)
- RoommateWetBecauseOfSprinklers => SprinklersOn
- RoommateWetBecauseOfRain => NOT(RoommateCarryingUmbrella)
- UmbrellaGone
- UmbrellaGone => (YouCarryingUmbrella OR RoommateCarryingUmbrella)
- NOT(YouCarryingUmbrella)

Syntax

- What do well-formed sentences in the knowledge base look like?
- A **BNF grammar**:
- $Symbol \rightarrow P, Q, R, \dots, RoommateWet, \dots$
- $Sentence \rightarrow True \mid False \mid Symbol \mid NOT(Sentence) \mid (Sentence \text{ AND } Sentence) \mid (Sentence \text{ OR } Sentence) \mid (Sentence \Rightarrow Sentence)$
- We will drop parentheses sometimes, but formally they really should always be there

Propositional Calculus

- Propositional calculus is built out of simple statements called **propositions** which are either **true or false**.
 - London is a city.
 - Ice is hot.

Syntax and Semantics of Logics

- Syntax
 - How we can construct legal sentences in the logic
 - Which symbols we can use (English: letters, punctuation)
 - How we are allowed to write down those symbols
- Semantics
 - How we interpret (read) sentences in the logic
 - i.e., what the meaning of a sentence is
- Example: “All lecturers are six foot tall”
 - Perfectly valid sentence (syntax)
 - And we can understand the meaning (semantics)
 - This sentence happens to be false (there is a counter-example)

Propositional Logic

- Syntax
 - Propositions such as P meaning “it is wet”
 - Connectives: and, or, not, implies, equivalent
 - Brackets, T (true) and F (false)
- Semantics
 - How to work out the truth of a sentence
 - Need to know how connectives affect truth
 - E.g., “ P and Q ” is true if and only if P is true and Q is true
 - “ P implies Q ” is true if P and Q are true or if P is false
 - Can draw up truth tables to work out the truth of statements

Well Formed Formulas (WFFs)

- Logical Sentences are also called Well Formed Formulas (WFFs).
- A WFF is defined as follows:
 - A symbol is a sentence
 - If \mathbf{S} is a sentence, then $\neg\mathbf{S}$ is a sentence (negation)
 - If \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 are sentences, $(\mathbf{S}_1 \wedge \mathbf{S}_2)$ is a sentence (conjunction)
 - If \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 are sentences, $(\mathbf{S}_1 \vee \mathbf{S}_2)$ is a sentence (disjunction)
 - If \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 are sentences, $(\mathbf{S}_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_2)$ is a sentence (implication)
 - If \mathbf{S}_1 and \mathbf{S}_2 are sentences, $(\mathbf{S}_1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{S}_2)$ is a sentence (biconditional)
 - Precedence $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \Rightarrow, \Leftrightarrow$

Propositional Calculus

- These are joined together to form more complex statements by **logical connectives**, expressing simple ideas such as **and**, **or**, **not**, **if...then...**

Propositional Calculus

- There are standard symbols for these:
 - \wedge stands for “and”,
 - \vee stands for “or”,
 - \neg stands for “not”,
 - \Rightarrow stands for “if ... then ...”,
 - \Leftrightarrow stands for “if and only if”.

Propositional Calculus

Example of a statement written in propositional calculus:

- **R** stands for “It is raining”
- **G** stands for “I have got a coat”
- **W** stands for “I will get wet”.

$$\mathbf{R} \wedge \neg \mathbf{G} \Rightarrow \mathbf{W}$$

is a way of writing

“If it is raining and I have not got a coat, then I will get wet.”

$$P \rightarrow Q$$

- When is $P \rightarrow Q$ true? Check all that apply
 - ☐ $P=Q=\text{true}$
 - ☐ $P=Q=\text{false}$
 - ☐ $P=\text{true}, Q=\text{false}$
 - ☐ $P=\text{false}, Q=\text{true}$

$$P \rightarrow Q$$

- When is $P \rightarrow Q$ true? Check all that apply
 - ☒ $P=Q=\text{true}$
 - ☒ $P=Q=\text{false}$
 - ☐ $P=\text{true}, Q=\text{false}$
 - ☒ $P=\text{false}, Q=\text{true}$
- We can get this from the truth table for \rightarrow

Semantics

- Given a model, It should be able to tell you whether a sentence is true or false
- Truth table defines semantics of operators:

A	B	NOT(A)	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$A \Rightarrow B$
false	false	true	false	false	true
false	true	true	false	true	true
true	false	false	false	true	false
true	true	false	true	true	true

Semantics

- With these symbols, 8 possible models, can be enumerated automatically.
- Rules for evaluating truth with respect to a model m :
 - $\neg S$ is true iff S is false
 - $S_1 \wedge S_2$ is true iff S_1 is true **and** S_2 is true
 - $S_1 \vee S_2$ is true iff S_1 is true **or** S_2 is true
 - $S_1 \Rightarrow S_2$ is true iff S_1 is false **or** S_2 is true
 - i.e., is false iff S_1 is true **and** S_2 is false
 - $S_1 \Leftrightarrow S_2$ is true iff $S_1 \Rightarrow S_2$ is true **and** $S_2 \Rightarrow S_1$ is true

Truth Tables For Connectives

- Truth tables are used to define logical connectives
- and to determine when a complex sentence is true given the values of the symbols in it

P	Q	$\neg P$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$	$P \Rightarrow Q$	$P \Leftrightarrow Q$
<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>
<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>false</i>
<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>false</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>	<i>true</i>

Example of A Truth Table Used for A Complex Sentence

P	H	$P \vee H$	$(P \vee H) \wedge \neg H$	$((P \vee H) \wedge \neg H) \Rightarrow P$
<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>
<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>True</i>	<i>False</i>	<i>True</i>

More terms

- A **valid sentence** or **tautology** is a sentence that is True under all interpretations, no matter what the world is actually like or what the semantics is.
 - Example: “It’s raining or it’s not raining”
- An **inconsistent sentence** or **contradiction** is a sentence that is False under all interpretations. The world is never like what it describes.
 - “It’s raining and it’s not raining.”
- **P entails Q**, written $P \rightarrow Q$, means that whenever P is True, so is Q. In other words, all models of P are also models of Q.

Validity

- A sentence is valid, if it is true in **all** models

True,

$A \vee \neg A$

$A \Rightarrow A$

$(A \wedge (A \Rightarrow B)) \Rightarrow B$

□ Tε

A	B	NOT(A)	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$A \Rightarrow B$
false	false	true	false	false	true
false	true	true	false	true	true
true	false	false	false	true	false
true	true	false	true	true	true

Example

- Show that " It is humid today and if it is humid then it will rain so it will rain today" is a valid argument.
- **Solution:** Let us symbolize English sentences by propositional atoms as follows:
A : It is humid
B : It will rain
- Formula corresponding to a text:
$$\alpha : ((A \rightarrow B) \wedge A) \rightarrow B$$
- Using truth table approach, one can see that α is true under all four interpretations and hence is valid argument.

Cont..

Truth Table for $((A \rightarrow B) \wedge A) \rightarrow B$				
A	B	$A \rightarrow B = X$	$X \wedge A = Y$	$Y \rightarrow B$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	F	T
F	F	T	F	T

Tautologies

- A sentence is a **tautology** if it is true for any setting of its propositional symbols

P	Q	P OR Q	NOT(P) AND NOT(Q)	(P OR Q) OR (NOT(P) AND NOT(Q))
false	false	false	true	true
false	true	true	false	true
true	false	true	false	true
true	true	true	false	true

- $(P \text{ OR } Q) \text{ OR } (\text{NOT}(P) \text{ AND } \text{NOT}(Q))$ is a tautology

Is This A Tautology?

$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \text{ OR } (Q \Rightarrow P)$$

Satisfiability

- A sentence is satisfiable if it is true in **some** model

$$A \vee B, \\ C$$

- A sentence is unsatisfiable if it is true in **no** models

$$A \wedge \neg A$$

- How can we

- Error
satisfiability

A	B	NOT(A)	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$	$A \Rightarrow B$
false	false	true	false	false	true
false	true	true	false	true	true
true	false	false	false	true	false
true	true	false	true	true	true

Logical Equivalences

- Two sentences α and β are equivalent, if they are true in the same set of models, which is written as $\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta$
 - they have the same truth value for every setting of their propositional variables

P	Q	P OR Q	NOT(NOT(P) AND NOT(Q))
false	false	false	false
false	true	true	true
true	false	true	true
true	true	true	true

- P OR Q and NOT(NOT(P) AND NOT(Q)) are logically equivalent
- Tautology = logically equivalent to True