

EXPERIMENT - 5

Student Name: Mohd Shahid UID: 23BCS10258

Branch: BE-CSE Section/Group: KRG_1-B

Semester: 5th Date of Performance: 30/08/2025

Subject Name: ADBMS Subject Code: 23CSP-333

1. Aim: --- Medium Level Problem ---

a) Performance Benchmarking: Normal View vs. Materialized View

- 1. Create a large dataset:
 - Create a table names transaction_data (id , value) with 1 million records.
 - take id 1 and 2, and for each id, generate 1 million records in value column
 - Use Generate_series () and random() to populate the data.
- 2. Create a normal view and materialized view to for sales_summary, which includes total_quantity_sold, total_sales, and total_orders with aggregation
- 3. Compare the performance and execution time of both.

--- Hard Level Problem ---

b) Securing Data Access with Views and Role-Based Permissions

The company TechMart Solutions stores all sales transactions in a central database. A new reporting team has been formed to analyze sales but they should not have direct access to the base tables for security reasons.

The database administrator has decided to:

- 1. Create restricted views to display only summarized, non-sensitive data.
- 2. Assign access to these views to specific users using DCL commands (GRANT, REVOKE).

2. Platform Used:

Microsoft SQL Server Management Studio

3. SQL Code:

```
CREATE TABLE transaction_data (
  id INT,
  value NUMERIC
);
-- Insert 1 million records for id = 1
INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value)
SELECT 1, (random() * 100)::numeric
FROM generate_series(1, 1000000);
-- Insert 1 million records for id = 2
INSERT INTO transaction_data (id, value)
SELECT 2, (random() * 100)::numeric
FROM generate_series(1, 1000000);
-- WITH NORMAL VIEW
CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW sales_summary_view AS SELECT
  COUNT(*) AS total_orders,
  SUM(value) AS total_sales,
  AVG(value) AS avg_transaction
FROM transaction_data
GROUP BY id;
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT * FROM sales_summary_view;
```

QUERY PLAN 8 Finalize GroupAggregate (cost=25226.29..25279.46 rows=200 width=76) (actual time=364.318..375.012 rows=2 loops=1) Group Key: transaction_data.id 3 -> Gather Merge (cost=25226.29..25272.96 rows=400 width=44) (actual time=364.304..374.995 rows=6 loops=1) Workers Planned: 2 4 5 Workers Launched: 2 6 -> Sort (cost=24226.26.24226.76 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.350..289.351 rows=2 loops=3) 7 Sort Key: transaction_data.id 8 Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB Worker 0: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB 10 Worker 1: Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB 11 -> Partial HashAggregate (cost=24216.12..24218.62 rows=200 width=44) (actual time=289.302..289.304 rows=2 loops=3) 12 Group Key: transaction_data.id 13 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB 14 Worker 0: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB 15 Worker 1: Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB 16 -> Parallel Seq Scan on transaction_data (cost=0.00..19226.21 rows=665321 width=36) (actual time=0.023..80.878 rows=66... Planning Time: 0.276 ms 17 18 Execution Time: 375.102 ms

-- WITH MATERIALIZED VIEW

CREATE MATERIALIZED VIEW sales_summary_mv AS SELECT id,
COUNT(*) AS total_orders,
SUM(value) AS total_sales,
AVG(value) AS avg_transaction
FROM transaction_data
GROUP BY id;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT * FROM sales_summary_mv;

	QUERY PLAN text
1	Seq Scan on sales_summary_mv (cost=0.0017.80 rows=780 width=76) (actual time=0.0140.016 rows=2 loops=
2	Planning Time: 0.858 ms
3	Execution Time: 0.031 ms

```
CREATE VIEW vW_SALES_REPORT AS
SELECT
  S.order id,
  S.order date,
  P.product name,
  C.customer_name,
  (P.unit price * S.quantity) - ((P.unit price * S.quantity) * S.discount percent / 100)
AS total cost
FROM customer_info AS C
JOIN sales_records AS S
  ON S.customer_id = C.customer_id
JOIN product_list AS P
  ON P.product_id = S.product_id;
SELECT * FROM vW SALES REPORT;
CREATE ROLE SALES_USER
LOGIN
PASSWORD 'sales_password';
GRANT SELECT ON vW_SALES_REPORT TO SALES_USER;
REVOKE SELECT ON vW SALES REPORT FROM SALES USER;
```