

CSE 564 Algorithms

Program 8

Nishil Shah, Vikramaditya Pandey

All times are in nanoseconds

We searched the file “tale.txt” for three different sizes - a small size, a large size and a “long” size using the different search algorithms.

[0] - "it was the dover"
[1] - "i am doubtful said"
[2] - "Nishil is nice person"

smallBruteForce
[354, 148, 126]

smallKnuthMorrisPratt
[476, 319, 353]

smallBoyerMoore
[98, 91, 91]

smallRabinKarp
[96, 78, 80]

[0] - "it is a far far better thing that i do than i have ever done"
[1] - "to take care of him there were no other passengers that night but"
[2] - "we have been to your residence said the first and not being so"

largeBruteForce
[31, 31, 31]

largeKnuthMorrisPratt
[247, 298, 323]

largeBoyerMoore
[31, 29, 29]

largeRabinKarp
[30, 25, 25]

[0] - "less horrible sentence had there been a chance of any one of its " +
"savage details being spared by just so much would he have lost in " +
"his fascination the form that was to be doomed to be so shamefully "
+
"mangled was the sight the immortal creature that was to be so " +
"butchered and torn asunder yielded the sensation whatever gloss";

[1] - "i think he whispered to miss pross after anxious consideration " +
"i think we had best not speak to him just now or at all disturb him " +
"i must look in at tellsons so i will go there at once and come back " +
"presently then we will take him a ride into the country and dine " +
"there and all will be well";

[2] - "light of his i see the blots i threw upon it faded away i see " +
"him foremost of just judges and honoured men bringing a boy of my "
+
"name with a forehead that i know and golden hair to this place " +
"then fair to look upon with not a trace of this days disfigurement " +
"and i hear him tell the child my story with a tender and a faltering";

longBruteForce
[35, 35, 35]

longKnuthMorrisPratt
[1317, 1223, 1349]

longBoyerMoore
[37, 37, 37]

longRabinKarp
[24, 24, 24]

Summary of results

	Brute Force	KnuthMorrisPratt	BoyerMoore	RabinKarp
Small string 1	354	476	98	96
Small string 2	148	319	91	78
Small String 3	126	353	99	803
Large String 1	31	247	31	30
Large String 2	31	298	29	25
Large String 3	31	323	29	253
Long String (XLarge) 1	35	1317	37	24
Long String (XLarge) 2	35	1223	37	24
Long String (XLarge) 3	35	1349	37	24

As we can see from above, the algorithms behave differently for different sizes of strings.

The big trend here is that the search time for a very small pattern is the highest. The search time for a long pattern is less than that for a very small pattern. However, the search time increases again as the pattern becomes X Large.

Brute Force: The expected performance is $1.1N$. Here we see that between the large and the very large string, the time goes up marginally. This is what is expected in theory.

Kunth Morris Pratt: The expected performance is $1.1N$. Here we see that between the large and the very large string, the time goes up marginally. This is what is expected in theory.

Boyer Moore: The expected performance is N/M . We see that initially the time goes down as the pattern size increases between small and large patterns. However, the time increases between the large pattern and the X large pattern. This is contrary to what we expect.

Rabin Karp: The expected performance is $7N$. The performance is not in line with theory.